

# Clouds, Aerosol, and Precipitation in the Marine Boundary

## Layer: An ARM Mobile Facility Deployment

Capsule: A 21-month deployment to Graciosa Island in the northeastern Atlantic Ocean is providing an unprecedented record of the clouds, aerosols and meteorology in a poorly-sampled remote marine environment

**Robert Wood**<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Wyant<sup>1</sup>, Christopher S. Bretherton<sup>1</sup>, Jasmine Rémillard<sup>6</sup>, Pavlos Kollias<sup>2</sup>, Jennifer Fletcher<sup>1</sup>, Jayson Stemmler<sup>1</sup>, S. deSzoek<sup>3</sup>, Sandra Yuter<sup>4</sup>, Matthew Miller<sup>4</sup>, David Mechem<sup>5</sup>, George Tselioudis<sup>6</sup>, Christine Chiu<sup>7</sup>, Julian Mann<sup>7</sup>, Ewan O'Connor<sup>7,18</sup>, Robin Hogan<sup>7</sup>, Xiquan Dong<sup>8</sup>, Mark Miller<sup>9</sup>, Virendra Ghate<sup>9</sup>, Anne Jefferson<sup>10</sup>, Qilong Min<sup>11</sup>, Patrick Minnis<sup>12</sup>, Rabindra Palinkonda<sup>13</sup>, Bruce Albrecht<sup>14</sup>, Ed Luke<sup>15</sup>, Cecile Hannay<sup>16</sup>, Yanluan Lin<sup>17</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Department of Atmospheric Science, University of Washington, Seattle WA*

<sup>2</sup>*McGill University*

<sup>3</sup>*Oregon State University*

<sup>4</sup>*North Carolina State University*

<sup>5</sup>*University of Kansas*

<sup>6</sup>*Columbia University*

<sup>7</sup>*University of Reading*

<sup>8</sup>*University of North Dakota*

<sup>9</sup>*Rutgers University*

<sup>10</sup>*NOAA CIRES*

<sup>11</sup>*SUNY Albany*

<sup>12</sup>*NASA Langley Research Center*

<sup>13</sup>*Science Systems and Applications, Inc., Hampton, Virginia.*

<sup>14</sup>*University of Miami*

<sup>15</sup>*Brookhaven National Laboratory*

<sup>16</sup>*National Center for Atmospheric Research*

<sup>17</sup>*Ministry of Education Key Laboratory for Earth System Modeling, Center for Earth System Science, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China*

<sup>18</sup>*Finnish Meteorological Institute, Finland*

35  
36  
37

## **ABSTRACT**

38           The Clouds, Aerosol, and Precipitation in the Marine Boundary Layer (CAP-MBL)  
39 deployment at Graciosa Island in the Azores generated a 21 month (April 2009-December 2010)  
40 comprehensive dataset documenting clouds, aerosols and precipitation using the Atmospheric  
41 Radiation Measurement (ARM) Mobile Facility (AMF). The scientific aim of the deployment is  
42 to gain improved understanding of the interactions of clouds, aerosols and precipitation in the  
43 marine boundary layer.

44           Graciosa Island straddles the boundary between the subtropics and midlatitudes in the  
45 Northeast Atlantic Ocean, and consequently experiences a great diversity of meteorological and  
46 cloudiness conditions. Low clouds are the dominant cloud type, with stratocumulus and cumulus  
47 occurring regularly. Approximately half of all clouds contained precipitation detectable as radar  
48 echoes below the cloud base. Radar and satellite observations show that clouds with tops from 1-  
49 11 km contribute more or less equally to surface-measured precipitation at Graciosa. A wide  
50 range of aerosol conditions was sampled during the deployment consistent with the diversity of  
51 sources as indicated by back trajectory analysis. Preliminary findings suggest important two-way  
52 interactions between aerosols and clouds at Graciosa, with aerosols affecting light precipitation  
53 and cloud radiative properties while being controlled in part by precipitation scavenging.

54           The data from at Graciosa are being compared with short-range forecasts made a variety  
55 of models. A pilot analysis with two climate and two weather forecast models shows that they  
56 reproduce the observed time-varying vertical structure of lower-tropospheric cloud fairly well,  
57 but the cloud-nucleating aerosol concentrations less well. The Graciosa site has been chosen to  
58 be a permanent fixed ARM site that became operational in October 2013.

## 59 INTRODUCTION

60 The complex interactions among clouds, aerosols and precipitation are major sources of  
61 uncertainty in our ability to predict past and future climate change (Lohmann and Feichter 2005,  
62 Stevens and Feingold 2009, Quaas et al. 2009, Isaksen et al. 2009). Marine low clouds are  
63 particularly susceptible to perturbations in aerosols because they are spatially extensive (Warren  
64 et al. 1988), relatively optically thin (e.g. Turner et al. 2007, Leahy et al. 2012) and often form in  
65 pristine air masses (Platnick and Twomey 1994). Increases in aerosol concentrations due to  
66 anthropogenic emissions lead to increases in cloud droplet concentration that increase cloud  
67 brightness by increasing the overall surface area of droplets. These *aerosol indirect effects*  
68 (AIEs) are the dominant contributor to the overall aerosol radiative forcing in most climate  
69 models, yet are extremely poorly constrained and can vary by a factor of five across models  
70 (Quaas et al. 2009).

71 Climate models indicate that a major fraction of the global aerosol indirect radiative  
72 forcing signal is associated with marine low clouds (Quaas et al. 2009, and see Fig. 3 in  
73 Kooperman et al. 2012), which are poorly simulated in climate models (Zhang et al. 2005,  
74 Wyant et al. 2010). A range of models from simple theoretical models to sophisticated cloud  
75 resolving simulations all indicate that the Twomey effect (increased cloud reflectance stemming  
76 from the reduction of drop size by condensation on a larger number of nuclei) is by itself  
77 insufficient to explain how low clouds respond to changes in aerosols. They show that a  
78 significant fraction of the overall aerosol indirect effect may be related to precipitation  
79 suppression by aerosols and its impact upon the turbulent kinetic energy and moisture budget of  
80 the boundary layer (Albrecht 1989, Ackerman et al. 2004, Lohmann and Feichter 2005, Penner et  
81 al. 2006, Wood 2007). Because a significant fraction of the precipitation falling from low clouds

82 evaporates before reaching the surface (Comstock et al. 2004), this adds additional complexity to  
83 the ways in which precipitation can impact cloud dynamical responses to aerosols.

84         Recent field measurements are shedding important new light on the factors controlling  
85 precipitation rates in marine low clouds and particularly the role that aerosols may play in  
86 suppressing it (Wood 2005, Geoffroy et al. 2008, Wood 2012, Terai et al. 2012). These studies  
87 all show that, for a given amount of condensate or cloud thickness, precipitation from low clouds  
88 decreases with increasing cloud droplet concentration. However, existing field datasets are  
89 statistically limited by a relatively low number of cases. As such, it has proven challenging to  
90 fully understand the role of precipitation suppression by aerosols. Spaceborne cloud radar  
91 overcomes some of these sampling limitations and provides evidence that light precipitation is  
92 susceptible to increased concentrations of droplets (e.g. Kubar et al. 2009, Wood et al. 2009) and  
93 aerosols (L'Ecuyer et al. 2009). However, current spaceborne radar data suffer some limitations  
94 such as low sensitivity, low vertical resolution and near-surface ground clutter contamination. In  
95 addition, spaceborne aerosol column-integrated aerosol optical property retrievals do not  
96 necessarily provide good constraints on cloud condensation nuclei concentrations (Liu and Li  
97 2014). There is, therefore, a need to increase our surface sampling of aerosol-cloud-precipitation  
98 processes using state-of-the-art remote sensing in conjunction with ground-based in situ  
99 measurements of aerosol optical and cloud-forming properties.

100         The need for improved long-term but comprehensive measurements at a marine low  
101 cloud site motivated the Clouds, Aerosol, and Precipitation in the Marine Boundary Layer (CAP-  
102 MBL, [www.arm.gov/sites/amf/grw](http://www.arm.gov/sites/amf/grw)) deployment of the Department of Energy Atmospheric  
103 Radiation Measurement Mobile Facility (AMF) to the island of Graciosa in the eastern Atlantic  
104 Ocean. Graciosa is a small island (~60 km<sup>2</sup> area) situated at 39.1°N, 28.0°W in the Azores

105 archipelago (Fig. 1), at a latitude that straddles the boundary between the subtropics and the  
106 midlatitudes. As such, Graciosa is subject to a wide range of different meteorological conditions,  
107 including periods of relatively undisturbed trade-wind flow, midlatitude cyclonic systems and  
108 associated fronts, and periods of extensive low level cloudiness. Measurements were made from  
109 April 2009 to December 2010.

110 CAP-MBL was designed to gather an extended record of high-quality data on clouds and  
111 aerosol properties in a remote marine environment needed to improve the treatment of clouds  
112 and aerosols in climate models. An important additional consideration for the deployment is the  
113 ability to provide high-quality ground-based remote sensing and *in situ* data that can be used in  
114 conjunction with spaceborne remote sensing to provide improved mapping and understanding of  
115 the properties of marine low clouds over the remote oceans. The CAP-MBL deployment's  
116 continuous record also allows for greater statistical reliability in the observed relationships  
117 between aerosols, clouds and precipitation than is possible with aircraft, yet retains the  
118 advantages of in-situ sampling of aerosol properties that are difficult to constrain with satellite  
119 data. Table 1 lists the key science questions that the CAP-MBL deployment is designed to  
120 address.

## 121 **OBSERVATIONS**

122 Table 2 details the suite of remote sensing instrumentation deployed as part of the campaign, and  
123 Table 3 describes the *in situ* measurements. These tables also provide information describing the  
124 physical variables derived from the instrumentation. The AMF measurements were all situated at  
125 the airport on the northern, low-lying side of the island. Of all the instruments, perhaps most  
126 important for CAP-MBL are the 95 GHz radar together with the ceilometer and the microwave  
127 radiometer that together provide critical information on cloud boundaries, light precipitation, and

128 condensate amounts. The frequent soundings provide important information about MBL  
129 structure needed for model evaluation and to initialize process models. The cloud condensation  
130 nucleus counter, which is part of the ARM Aerosol Observing System is a critical measurement  
131 to provide constraints on the different aerosol influences on clouds. Figure 1 shows the location  
132 of the measurements on Graciosa and the broader Azores archipelago. In addition, during  
133 summer 2010, a small radiation platform was deployed at a trace-gas site established by NOAA  
134 close to the summit of the volcanic island of Pico (elevation 2350 m) some 60 km south of  
135 Graciosa (see e.g. Honrath et al. 2004). This suite included a Multi-filter Rotating Shadow-band  
136 Radiometer (MFRSR) and broadband shortwave and longwave radiometers. The scientific  
137 objective of this deployment was to measure the radiative fluxes and aerosol optical thickness  
138 above the marine boundary layer clouds and thereby provide a constraint that could be used in  
139 conjunction with surface radiation measurements at Graciosa to directly measure the cloud  
140 optical thickness in broken cloud fields.

141           The surface and in situ measurements are complemented by analyses of 3-km  
142 Meteosat-9 hourly images from the SEVIRI instrument using the visible-infrared-shortwave-  
143 infrared split window technique (see Minnis et al. 2011) over a domain bounded by 33°N, 43°N,  
144 23°W, and 33°W. The SEVIRI analyses yield a variety of cloud and radiative properties  
145 including cloud cover, liquid water path, optical thickness, effective radius, cloud top  
146 temperature and height (Minnis et al. 2008).

## 147 **CLOUD AND METEOROLOGICAL VARIABILITY**

148           The specific CAP-MBL science questions (Table 1) include two focused on the impact of  
149 synoptic and seasonal variability on clouds and aerosols. To begin to address these, we note a  
150 marked seasonality in the surface pressure patterns near Graciosa (Fig. 2a,b). The winter season

151 exhibits a strong meridional gradient of surface pressure between the semi-permanent Icelandic  
152 low and the Azores high (Fig. 2a). Surface winds are predominantly from the southwest in  
153 January (Fig. 2c). The large values of the standard deviation of the 500 hPa geopotential height  
154 over this region indicate a substantial amount of variability in the winter-season storm track  
155 (Fig. 2a). Graciosa is usually either in the southern portion or to the south of individual winter-  
156 season midlatitude cyclone tracks. This is reflected in the satellite cloud fraction data which  
157 show a seasonal peak in total cloud fraction in the winter (Fig. 2e).

158         During summer, the Icelandic low disappears and the Azores high pressure system  
159 strengthens (Fig. 2b), leading to reduced high and overall cloud cover (compare Figs. 2e and 2f,  
160 also Fig. 3) and an increased prevalence of fair weather conditions. Surface wind speeds in July  
161 are weaker than in winter and the wind direction ranges from southwesterly to northeasterly (Fig.  
162 2d), depending upon the exact position of the Azores High. The prevalent surface high-pressure  
163 conditions are associated with substantially reduced variability in the 500 hPa geopotential  
164 height, implying that synoptic intrusions from high latitudes are far less frequent (Fig. 2b).

165         Figure 3a shows a time-height cross section of reflectivity from the vertically-pointing  
166 W-band radar for the entire campaign, showing the range of conditions as a result of synoptic  
167 and seasonal variability. Strong reflectivity at low levels, indicative of significant precipitation,  
168 tends to occur during October to May and is often associated with relatively deep systems, in  
169 some cases extending all the way to the tropopause. Interestingly, the seasonal cycle in the height  
170 of the tropopause is strikingly evident. Low clouds are common through the entire year with an  
171 annual average coverage of approximately 50% (Rémillard et al. 2012, Dong et al. 2013). The  
172 primary modulation of the seasonal cycle of overall cloudiness is driven by high clouds  
173 (Rémillard et al. 2012, Dong et al. 2013). Despite slightly fewer low clouds during summer

174 (more low liquid clouds are observed from space during summer, Fig. 2g,h, because of the  
175 reduced masking by high clouds), wintertime low clouds are frequently associated with deeper  
176 synoptic systems, and so the incidence of fair weather low clouds (stratocumulus and cumulus  
177 with no clouds above) is greatest in summer when the static stability is greatest (Rémillard et al.  
178 2012, Dong et al. 2013). This makes the less disturbed summertime environment a more useful  
179 time to focus on the key science goals of CAP-MBL (Table 1), which will be easier to address  
180 when low clouds are exclusively present.

181         An analysis of the frequency of occurrence of different weather states derived through a  
182 cluster analysis of cloud property distributions (Fig. 4, based on Tselioudis et al. 2013), indicates  
183 that the Azores experience the range of different weather states with a similar frequency to that  
184 experienced globally. The Azores experience the low cloud weather states somewhat more  
185 frequently than the planet as a whole with fewer instances of clear skies and fair weather  
186 conditions, and more frequent occurrences of trade cumulus and stratocumulus, and this probably  
187 reflects the marine environment. The Azores also experience a range of middle and high level  
188 clouds that do not occur frequently in other stratocumulus regions, highlighting the complexity  
189 of the meteorological influences on clouds in the region. This is a result of the location of the  
190 Azores in the transition between the subtropical and midlatitude dynamic regimes, which also  
191 makes the location a particularly useful one to both study cloud changes in such dynamical  
192 transitions and to test the ability of models ranging from cloud resolving to GCM to simulate  
193 those cloud changes.

194         Although an excellent site for studying low clouds, Graciosa experiences a much greater  
195 degree of meteorological variability than is found in the subtropical stratocumulus sheets and the  
196 tropical trades that have been the subject of much recent research (e.g. Rauber et al. 2007,

197 Mechoso et al. 2013). This is exemplified by a common meteorological metric called the  
198 Estimated Inversion Strength (EIS), which is a bulk measure of the strength of the boundary  
199 layer capping inversion based on the average static stability between the surface and 700 hPa  
200 (Wood and Bretherton 2006). Figure 5 compares histograms of EIS summer when low cloud  
201 amount peaks, derived from CAP-MBL soundings with those from soundings taken from ships  
202 over the southeastern Pacific subtropical stratocumulus region during the peak low cloud season  
203 (austral spring) during VOCALS (de Szoeke et al. 2012). One can immediately see that during  
204 summertime Graciosa experiences a wider distribution of EIS values and a lower mean EIS than  
205 does the southeastern Pacific. There is actually very little overlap of the EIS distributions. The  
206 weaker inversions over Graciosa help explain why the low cloud cover during summer (~50%,  
207 Rémillard et al. 2012, Dong et al. 2013) is significantly less than that over the southeastern  
208 Pacific. The weaker and more variable inversions are also manifested in a much greater spread in  
209 the heights of summertime boundary layer clouds during summer over Graciosa compared with  
210 the southeastern Pacific region during VOCALS (Fig. 6).

## 211 **AEROSOL AND CLOUD MICROPHYSICAL VARIABILITY AND AIR MASS** 212 **ORIGINS**

213 The Azores are influenced by a wide variety of air masses. The subtropical lower troposphere  
214 largely experiences conditions of large scale subsidence in which the MBL is continually being  
215 diluted by free-tropospheric (FT) air with a supply timescale of several days. The surface air  
216 therefore typically includes particles that have been entrained into the MBL over several days. It  
217 is therefore challenging to attribute the aerosol concentration measured at a given time to a single  
218 source. That said, daily trajectories during summer 2009 (Fig. 7) are useful for revealing the  
219 diversity of air masses arriving at Graciosa, which predominantly have North American,

220 subtropical Atlantic and north Atlantic origins if traced back 10 days. This diversity yields  
221 strong variability in the concentration of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN). Some high CCN  
222 concentration events can be traced back to trajectories passing over industrialized regions of  
223 North America at low levels (Fig. 8b). Relatively high CCN concentrations indicative of  
224 pollution influence can even be found in air masses that, according to trajectory analysis, have  
225 been confined to the marine subtropical environment for the previous 10 days (Fig. 8a). This  
226 likely occurs because the MBL entrained significant layers of pollution from the FT during its  
227 excursion around the meandering subtropical high. Initial attempts to construct composite  
228 trajectories for different aerosol loadings have not been fruitful because such a diverse range of  
229 trajectories are found for any given loading. This indicates just how challenging it is to determine  
230 how the synoptic meteorological variability impacts aerosols (Table 1).

231         Besides synoptic scale variability in aerosols at Graciosa, there are also interesting  
232 seasonal variations in cloud and aerosol microphysical properties that are observed with a  
233 number of different sensors. The CAP-MBL deployment provided the first opportunity for  
234 comprehensive characterization of the seasonal variability in aerosol and cloud microphysical  
235 properties in the Azores. Prior to this, it was known from gas phase measurements taken in the  
236 free troposphere (FT) on Pico (Fig. 1b) that pollution and biomass burning aerosols from North  
237 America frequently reach the remote North Atlantic region (Honrath et al. 2004) with a distinct  
238 springtime maximum in the key indicator of combustion, carbon monoxide (Val Martin et al.  
239 2008).

240         The seasonal cycle of cloud droplet concentration ( $N_d$ ) estimates (Fig. 9a) shows a  
241 spring/summer maximum and a minimum during winter, although different estimates yield  
242 somewhat different annual cycles, an issue that needs further assessment by direct comparison of

243 retrievals for individual cases and by comparison with in situ data from aircraft. It is encouraging  
244 that all three  $N_d$  estimates have similar annual mean values and that the  $N_d$  cycle agrees  
245 qualitatively with the annual cycle of CCN concentration, especially at low supersaturations (Fig.  
246 9b). This provides some preliminary evidence that the key processes involved in the Twomey  
247 effect are in operation in these clouds, which is one of the key scientific questions of CAP-MBL  
248 (Table 1). Determining the exact annual cycle of  $N_d$  using surface and satellite remote sensing  
249 requires a longer data record than is available from CAP-MBL and a more systematic  
250 comparison between different retrieval approaches than has been attempted thus far.

251         There are well-defined springtime peaks in submicron aerosol scattering (Fig. 9c) and  
252 AOD at Graciosa during CAP-MBL (Fig 9d). Boreal spring favors transport from industrialized  
253 continental areas, due to strong zonal westerlies and increased lofting of pollutants by cold fronts  
254 extending southward from midlatitude cyclones (Liang et al. 2004, Zhao et al. 2012). Although  
255 transport from continents is expected to be favored during the spring months, a picture consistent  
256 with the spring maximum in CO (Fig. 9d), there is also the possibility that reduced precipitation  
257 sinks during summertime also help to control the seasonal variability. Springtime aerosol  
258 maxima have also been observed over the Pacific at Mauna Loa (Bodhaine 1996, Andrews et al.  
259 2012) and modeling studies indicate peak zonal intercontinental aerosol transport during Boreal  
260 springtime at all longitudes (e.g., Zhao et al. 2012). Aerosol extinction profiles derived from  
261 micropulse lidar during CAP-MBL show that the excess aerosol scattering in spring at Graciosa  
262 is confined below approximately 1 km altitude (Kafle and Coulter 2013). Supermicron aerosol  
263 scattering (difference between total and submicron scattering) exceeds the submicron scattering  
264 by a factor of 2-5 (Fig. 9c), with the greatest scattering during winter and spring, broadly  
265 consistent with greater sea-salt aerosol flux as wind speed increases (Fig. 2c,d).

266           Although the lack of enhanced FT scattering during springtime could lead one to  
267 conclude that long range transport is *not* responsible for the springtime maxima in aerosol  
268 loading at Graciosa, it is important to point out that free-tropospheric aerosols are typically  
269 smaller than those in the PBL and so their scattering signature is relatively weak and falls below  
270 the detection limit for spaceborne and most surface lidars. Despite this, when these particles are  
271 entrained into the PBL they grow due to aqueous phase deposition of sulfur species, and  
272 hygroscopically due to the high relative humidity in the boundary layer compared with the FT  
273 (Clarke et al. 2013). Aerosol single scattering albedo measurements during CAP-MBL (not  
274 shown) indicate that aerosols are more absorbing during springtime, consistent with the idea that  
275 combustion aerosols from North America are potentially influential on the remote Atlantic  
276 during this season.

## 277 **PRECIPITATION AT GRACIOSA**

278 Understanding the factors controlling precipitation, especially that falling from clouds in the  
279 MBL, is one of the main scientific questions being addressed by CAP-MBL (Table 1).  
280 Remarkably, the W-band radar shows that detectable precipitation echoes are present below  
281 cloud base for approximately half of all clouds at Graciosa (Rémillard et al. 2012). The near  
282 ubiquity of precipitation at the site is surprising given that the clouds are typically thin and often  
283 contain quite low condensate amounts. Precipitation at Graciosa is associated with clouds of all  
284 altitudes (Fig. 10a,b) such that clouds with top heights between 1 and 11 km all contribute  
285 roughly equally to surface precipitation in the annual mean. Even though low clouds produce  
286 relatively weak surface precipitation they occur in sufficient quantity (Fig. 10c) that their  
287 precipitation is climatologically important. In summertime, most precipitating clouds have tops  
288 lower than 5 km (Fig. 10b,c). Approximately 20% of the surface precipitation ( $\sim 1 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$  out of

289 an annual mean of  $\sim 5 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ ) originates from clouds with tops below 3 km (Fig. 10a). During  
290 the months of June-August, clouds with tops below 4 km contribute more than half of all surface  
291 precipitation (Fig. 10b), and, surprisingly, this is also the case in late winter. The cumulative  
292 contribution to precipitation as a function of quasi-instantaneous (30 s) rain rate (Fig. 10d)  
293 indicates that 20% of precipitation accumulation is associated with conditional precipitation rates  
294 lower than  $\sim 3 \text{ mm hr}^{-1}$ . An accurate accounting of the precipitation climatology at Graciosa  
295 must therefore include light precipitation from relatively shallow cloud systems.

## 296 **INTERACTIONS BETWEEN CLOUDS, AEROSOLS, AND PRECIPITATION**

297 A feature of the CAP-MBL deployment is the ability to simultaneously observe clouds, aerosols  
298 and precipitation and to understand how these variables interact with each other. Interactions are  
299 two way, with aerosols potentially impacting precipitation most likely via the suppression of  
300 warm rain (Albrecht et al. 1989) but in turn aerosols are strongly scavenged by precipitation,  
301 even in the relatively weak drizzle from low clouds (Wood 2006, Duong et al. 2011). Indeed,  
302 climatological aerosol concentrations over the remote oceans may be determined by warm rain  
303 processes (Wood et al. 2012). The CAP-MBL deployment's continuous record allows for greater  
304 statistical reliability in the observed relationships between aerosols, clouds and precipitation than  
305 is possible with aircraft, but retains the advantages of in-situ sampling of aerosol properties that  
306 are difficult to constrain with satellite data. That said, the Azores exhibits stronger synoptic  
307 variability than is found in the subtropical/tropical marine low clouds regions, making the  
308 separation of aerosol effects on clouds from those caused by meteorological forcing somewhat  
309 more challenging than in other regions dominated by low clouds. Because the summertime is  
310 less synoptically variable and contains more single layer low clouds than the winter (Dong et al.  
311 2014), it makes the summer a more productive starting point for analyses.

312 We illustrate a variety of aerosol-cloud-precipitation interactions using two case studies.  
313 First, we highlight a case where very low observed aerosol concentrations coincide with shallow,  
314 precipitating MBL clouds. Very low aerosol concentration events are a regular occurrence over  
315 the southeastern Pacific (Terai et al. 2013), where they tend to be associated with changes in the  
316 large-scale cloud morphology, and particularly the occurrence of open mesoscale cellular  
317 convection, which frequently occurs in the form of pockets or rifts within otherwise overcast  
318 stratocumulus (Stevens et al. 2005, Wood et al. 2008). According to a satellite-derived  
319 climatology, open mesoscale cellular convection occurs approximately 15% of the time during  
320 periods free of high clouds at the Azores (Muhlbauer et al. 2014). Factors controlling the  
321 preferred mesoscale morphology and transitions between different types of morphology is one of  
322 the key CAP-MBL science questions (Table 1). Figure 11 shows a case where a rift of open cells  
323 advects over Graciosa on 8-9 August 2009. The passage is marked by reductions in CCN  
324 concentrations that are close to an order of magnitude (Fig. 11b). Ship tracks can be seen in the  
325 satellite image within the rift, a region where SEVIRI retrievals show cloud droplet effective  
326 radii exceeding 20  $\mu\text{m}$  (Fig. 11a). The ship tracks are also evident as lines of relatively small  
327 effective radius values in the rift (Fig. 11a). Immediately prior to the passage, clouds in the  
328 boundary layer were drizzling (Fig. 11e) although it is not clear if this precipitation influences  
329 the CCN concentrations in the rift itself. Strong aerosol depletion events have been observed in  
330 the tropics and subtropics (Clarke et al. 1998, Wood et al. 2008, Sharon et al. 2006, Petters et al.  
331 2006) and in the Arctic (Mauritsen et al. 2011), with the likely cause in each case being  
332 precipitation scavenging. Strong CCN depletion events occur quite frequently at the Azores, and  
333 typically occur under conditions of light southerly winds and weak warm advection. It is  
334 important that we better understand the factors controlling the clean marine background aerosol

335 and its variability because climate model experiments show that the strength of the global aerosol  
336 indirect effect is strongly sensitive to the preindustrial aerosol conditions (Hoose et al. 2009,  
337 Ghan et al. 2013).

338 Figure 12 shows a case of overcast marine stratocumulus with variable precipitation over  
339 the course of four hours on 7 November 2010. CCN concentrations are fairly steady between  
340 1245 and 1600 UTC. The cloud liquid water path (LWP) varies considerably, and appears to be a  
341 primary modulator of the cloud base precipitation rate including periods of virga as well as  
342 precipitation of several mm day<sup>-1</sup> at its heaviest between 13 and 14 UTC. Interestingly, in the  
343 early part of the record shown in Fig. 12, the cloud droplet concentration and CCN levels are  
344 higher, so despite LWP values of 100-200 g m<sup>-2</sup> between 12 and 13 UTC (similar to those  
345 between 14 and 15 UTC), little precipitation is falling. This is suggestive of a potential  
346 suppression of precipitation by increased aerosols as has been observed in other stratocumulus  
347 cloud regimes (Geoffroy et al. 2008, Sorooshian et al. 2010, Terai et al. 2012). Indeed, the entire  
348 CAP-MBL data record has been used to quantify the extent of this suppression (Mann et al.  
349 2014), demonstrating the utility of the long AMF dataset for studying the influence of aerosols  
350 on precipitation.

## 351 **CONFRONTING MODELS**

352 A primary motivation for the Graciosa measurements is to facilitate the improvement of  
353 climate and weather forecast models. (Table 1). Other modeling groups are also making various  
354 uses of CAP-MBL data as detailed in Table 4.

355 The current skill of a few climate and weather forecast models in hindcasting clouds and  
356 aerosols at Graciosa is briefly analyzed below to illustrate the value of this approach for

357 comparing with the current measurements and to frame the opportunities for future improvement  
358 of these models using more detailed analyses. The variety of clouds at Graciosa is a good test of  
359 the moist physical parameterizations in these models. In addition, precipitation and cloud  
360 processing can have major impacts on boundary layer aerosol concentration and size distribution.  
361 Hence, for models with prognostic aerosols, a meaningful comparison of the simulated aerosol  
362 with Graciosa observations requires a good simulation of the precipitation and cloud in the  
363 region.

364           Operational global weather forecasts using the European Centre for Medium-Range  
365 Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) and National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP)  
366 Global Forecast System models were sampled at the nearest grid point to the Graciosa site at  
367 their native vertical resolution. Two global climate models (GCMs), the Community  
368 Atmosphere Model (CAM) Version 5 and the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL)  
369 Atmospheric Model Version 3.9, were run in a hindcast mode (Phillips et al. 2004). Five-day  
370 global forecasts were initialized from daily 00UTC ECMWF analyses for June 1-Nov. 30 2009  
371 interpolated to the climate model grid. The ECMWF analyses were produced for the Year of  
372 Tropical Convection project at a resolution of ~25 km. The initial prognostic aerosol fields and  
373 land surface characteristics for each GCM forecast were carried over from the previous 24 hour  
374 forecast. In order to spin up these fields, daily hindcasts were also performed for the entire year  
375 prior to the forecasts. The results we present use 24-48 hour forecasts, to avoid the initial spin-  
376 up impacts from the ECMWF analysis.

377           Both climate models have much coarser horizontal grids than the weather forecast  
378 models. Only the ECMWF model has a grid fine enough to begin to resolve Graciosa Island

379 itself. Hence, model errors in clouds and aerosols may arise not just from the simulated cloud  
380 and aerosol physics but also from errors in the small-scale circulations and island-scale flow.

381         The CAM5 and GFDL models both use prognostic aerosol schemes including  
382 representations of the interactions of clouds and aerosols. The ECMWF model also includes an  
383 aerosol transport scheme, but it is not allowed to affect the physical forecasts. The NCEP model  
384 does not include an aerosol scheme. We also did not archive accumulated precipitation or  
385 vertically-resolved cloud cover from this model, so it could not be included in the plots below.

386         We analyze the simulated clouds and aerosols during a rainy month (November 2009)  
387 and a dry month (August 2009). Figure 13 compares the accumulated precipitation over the  
388 course of each month observed by the AMF tipping-bucket rain gauge with that predicted by the  
389 12-35 hour ECMWF forecasts and the 24-48 hour forecasts for the two GCMs. This is a  
390 necessarily imperfect comparison of a point measurement, possibly affected by the island terrain,  
391 with a grid-cell mean value. Nevertheless, all the models are able to predict which days will be  
392 relatively rainy, and their monthly accumulations lie within a factor of two of the observations.  
393 This suggests that they capture most of the synoptic-scale variability that might be expected to  
394 drive the day-to-day variations of clouds and aerosols, and furthermore, that hindcasts using the  
395 climate models with interactive aerosol have a chance of simulating the effects of precipitation  
396 scavenging on the aerosol population observed at Graciosa.

397         Figure 14 compares time-height sections of lower-tropospheric cloud cover simulated by  
398 the three models with the cloud boundary product derived from the AMF vertically-pointing  
399 cloud radar and lidar. During both months, all three models skillfully distinguish shallow and  
400 deeper cloud regimes, though the AM3 cloud height appears less variable than observed during

401 the dry month (August). The periods with cloud extending above 4 km usually correspond to  
402 rain events. These plots reiterate the potential for using a more in-depth comparison of global  
403 models with this data set to improve their performance across a range of cloud types that is  
404 different than sampled at long-running mid-latitude supersites in the U. S. and Europe.

405 Figure 15 compares aerosol sampled at ground level at Graciosa with that simulated by  
406 the two climate models. The daily mean cloud condensation nucleus (CCN) concentration at a  
407 supersaturation of 0.1% is shown, which we showed earlier (Fig. 9a,b) is a reasonable proxy for  
408 the boundary-layer cloud droplet concentration. The models, like the observations, show higher  
409 mean CCN in August than December, though both models tend to overestimate CCN on average.  
410 During each of the two months shown, the observed CCN concentration varies by an order of  
411 magnitude, and the models show similar overall levels of variability. The temporal correlation  
412 coefficients of daily-mean  $\log(\text{CCN})$  between the models and the observations are positive for  
413 both models during both months. However, they are not very large. Given  $N = 30$  daily values,  
414 with estimated 1-day lagged autocorrelation of  $r_1 = 0.65$  for the models and  $r_1 = 0.4$  for the  
415 observations, the effective number of independent samples per month is  
416  $N_* = N(1 - r_1 r_2)/(1 + r_1 r_2) = 18$  (Bretherton et al. 1999). With this sample size, the  
417 correlation coefficient between a model and the observations must exceed 0.4 to be significant at  
418 95% confidence using a one-sided test; each model exceeds this level in one of the two analyzed  
419 months.

420 Overall, we conclude that the tested global models are simulating strong precipitation  
421 events and the time-varying vertical cloud distribution at Graciosa fairly well, but aerosol  
422 concentrations less skillfully. This suggests room for improvement in the parameterization of  
423 aerosol processing by clouds, in marine aerosol sources, or errors in long-range aerosol transport.

424 By focusing on particular events, the Graciosa measurements should be useful for separating  
425 these sources of error to provide information specific enough to stimulate improvement of model  
426 simulations of aerosol, cloud and precipitation in remote marine regions.

427

## 428 **SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK**

429 The observations collected during the 21- month CAP-MBL deployment of the AMF on  
430 Graciosa Island in the Azores comprise the longest dataset of its type collected to date in an  
431 extratropical marine environment. This paper described some of the key characteristics of the  
432 clouds, meteorology, aerosols and precipitation at the Azores, including the seasonal cycle,  
433 diverse range of air mass histories, strong synoptic meteorological variability compared with  
434 other low-cloud regimes, and important bidirectional interactions between aerosols, clouds and  
435 precipitation.

436 Although low clouds are the most frequently occurring cloud type, Graciosa is witness to  
437 a range of cloud types that are almost as diverse as those over the Earth as a whole, making the  
438 site an excellent choice for continued measurements by the ARM program. However, these  
439 ground-based measurements and retrievals must be validated by aircraft *in situ* measurements in  
440 order to provide a ground truth for validating the satellite observations and retrievals and to  
441 provide model evaluation data. In addition, the island site does not allow representative  
442 measurements of the surface heat and moisture fluxes over the ocean, but buoy measurements  
443 near Graciosa could potentially provide these. Given the great variety of aerosol, cloud and  
444 precipitation conditions, the data from CAP-MBL and from the permanent site (in operation late  
445 2013) will continue to challenge understanding and provide an unprecedented dataset for the

446 evaluation and improvement of numerical models from cloud-resolving ones to global weather  
447 and climate models.

448  
449  
450  
451

452 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS:**

453  
454 The CAP-MBL deployment of the ARM Mobile Facility was supported by the U.S. Department  
455 of Energy (DOE) Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) Program Climate Research  
456 Facility and the DOE Atmospheric Sciences Program. We are indebted to the scientists and staff  
457 who made this work possible by taking and quality-controlling the measurements. Data were  
458 obtained from the ARM program archive, sponsored by DOE, Office of Science, Office of  
459 Biological and Environmental Research Environmental Science Division. This work was  
460 supported by DOE Grants DE-SC0006865MOD0002 [PI Robert Wood], DE-SC0008468 [PI  
461 Xiquan Dong]; DE-SC0006736 [PI David Mechem], DE-SC0000991 [PI Patrick Minnis], DE-  
462 SC0006712 [PI George Tselioudis], DE- SC0007233 [PI Christine Chiu], DE-SC0006701 [PI  
463 Sandra Yuter]. The CloudSat data were distributed by the CloudSat Data Processing Center at  
464 Colorado State University. MODIS data were obtained from the NASA Goddard Land Processes  
465 data archive. VOCALS data were obtained from the Earth Observation Laboratory (EOL) at the  
466 National Center for Atmospheric Research. The HYSPLIT IV model was obtained from the  
467 NOAA Air Resources Laboratory. Data from the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) were  
468 obtained from the web download tool hosted by NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. The  
469 National Center for Atmospheric Research is sponsored by the National Science Foundation.

470 **REFERENCES**

- 471 Ackerman A.S., Kirkpatrick, M.P., and Stevens D.E., Toon O. B., 2004: The impact of humidity  
472 above stratiform clouds on indirect aerosol climate forcing. *Nature*, **432**, 1014-1017.
- 473 Ahlgrim, M., and R. Forbes, 2013: Improving the representation of low clouds and drizzle in  
474 the ECMWF model based on ARM observations from the Azores. Submitted to *Mon. Wea.*  
475 *Rev.*
- 476 Albrecht, B.A., 1989: Aerosols, Cloud Microphysics, and Fractional Cloudiness. *Science*, **245**  
477 (4923): 1227–30.
- 478 Andrews, E., J.A. Ogren, P. Bonasoni, A. Marinoni, E. Cuevas, S. Rodríguez, J.Y. Sun, D.A.  
479 Jaffe, E.V. Fischer, U. Baltensperger, E. Weingartner, M. Collaud Coen, S. Sharma, A.M.  
480 Macdonald, W.R. Leitch, N.-H. Lin, P. Laj, T. Arsov, I. Kalapov, A. Jefferson, and P.  
481 Sheridan, 2011: Climatology of aerosol radiative properties in the free troposphere, *Atmos.*  
482 *Res.*, **102**, 4, 365-393.
- 483 Bodhaine, B. A., 1996: Aerosol measurements during the Mauna Loa Photochemistry  
484 Experiment 2, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **101**, 14757–14765, doi:[10.1029/95JD02045](https://doi.org/10.1029/95JD02045).
- 485 Bretherton, C. S., M. Widmann, V. P. Dymnikov, J. M. Wallace, and I. Blade, 1999: Effective  
486 number of degrees of freedom of a spatial field. *J. Climate*, **12**, 1990-2009.
- 487 Burleyson, C. D., S. P. deSzoeko, S. E. Yuter, M. Wilbanks, and W. A. Brewer, 2013:  
488 Observations of the diurnal cycle of southeast Pacific marine stratocumulus clouds and  
489 precipitation. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **70**, 3876-3894.
- 490 Chiu, J. C., A. Marshak, W. J. Wiscombe, S. C. Valencia, and E. J. Welton, 2007: Cloud optical  
491 depth retrievals from solar background “signal” of micropulse lidars. *IEEE Geosci. Remote*  
492 *Sens. Lett.*, **4**(3), 456–460, doi:10.1109/LGRS.2007.896722.
- 493 Clarke, A. D., et al., 1998: Particle nucleation in the tropical boundary layer and its coupling to  
494 marine sulfur sources. *Science*, **282**, 89– 92.
- 495 Clarke, A. D., S. Freitag, R. M. C. Simpson, J. G. Hudson, S. G. Howell, V. L. Brekhovskikh, T.  
496 Campos, V. N. Kapustin, and J. Zhou, 2013: Free Troposphere as the dominant Source of  
497 CCN in the Equatorial Pacific Boundary Layer: Long-Range Transport and Teleconnections.  
498 Submitted to *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*

499 Comstock, K. K., Wood, R., Yuter, S. E. and Bretherton, C. S.: Reflectivity and rain rate in and  
500 below drizzling stratocumulus, *Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc.*, 130(603), 2891–2918,  
501 doi:10.1256/qj.03.187, 2004.

502 de Szoeke, S. P., S. Yuter, D. Mechem, C. Fairall, C. Burleyson, and P. Zuidema, 2012:  
503 Observations of stratocumulus clouds and their effect on the eastern Pacific surface heat  
504 budget along 20°S. *J. Climate*, 8542-8567.

505 Dong, X., T.P. Ackerman, and E.E. Clothiaux, 1998: Parameterizations of microphysical and  
506 Shortwave radiative properties of boundary layer stratus from ground-based measurements. *J.*  
507 *Geophys. Res.* 102, 31,681-31,393.

508 Dong, X., B. Xi, A. Kennedy, P. Minnis, and R. Wood, 2014: A climatology of marine aerosol-  
509 cloud-radiation derived from the DOE ARM AMF deployment in the Azores: Part 1: Cloud  
510 fraction and single-layered MBL cloud properties. *Journal of Climate*, in press.

511 Draxler, R. R., and G. D. Rolph, 2003: HYSPLIT (Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated  
512 Trajectory) model, NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD, USA,  
513 <http://www.arl.noaa.gov/ready/hysplit4.html>.

514 Duong, H. T., Sorooshian, A., and Feingold, G., 2011: Investigating potential biases in observed  
515 and modeled metrics of aerosol-cloud-precipitation interactions, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **11**,  
516 4027-4037, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4027-2011, 2011.

517 Frisch, A. S., C. W. Fairall, and J. B. Snider, 1995: Measurement of stratus cloud and drizzle  
518 parameters in ASTEX with a Ka-band doppler radar and a microwave radiometer. *J. Atmos.*  
519 *Sci.*, 52, 2788–2799.

520 Geoffroy, O., Brenguier, J.-L., and Sandu, I., 2008: Relationship between drizzle rate, liquid  
521 water path and droplet concentration at the scale of a stratocumulus cloud system, *Atmos.*  
522 *Chem. Phys.*, **8**, 4641-4654, doi:10.5194/acp-8-4641-2008.

523 Ghan, S. J., S. J. Smith, M. Wang, K. Zhang, K. Pringle, K. Carslaw, J. Pierce, S. Bauer, and P.  
524 Adams, 2013: A simple model of global aerosol indirect effects, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*,  
525 118, 6688–6707, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50567.

526 Honrath, R. E., R. C. Owen, M. Val Marti'n, J. S. Reid, K. Lapina, P. Fialho, M. P. Dziobak, J.  
527 Kleissl, and D. L. Westphal, 2004: Regional and hemispheric impacts of anthropogenic and

528 biomass burning emissions on summertime CO and O<sub>3</sub> in the North Atlantic lower free  
529 troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **109**, D24310, doi:10.1029/2004JD005147.

530 Hoose, C., J. E. Kristjánsson, T. Iversen, A. Kirkevåg, Ø. Seland, and A. Gettelman (2009),  
531 Constraining cloud droplet number concentration in GCMs suppresses the aerosol  
532 indirect effect, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, **36**, L12807, doi:10.1029/2009GL038568.

533 Illingworth, A. J., and Coauthors, 2007: Cloudnet. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **88**, 883–898.

534 Isaksen, I. S. A., and coauthors, 2009: Atmospheric composition change: Chemistry-climate  
535 interactions. *Atmos. Environ.*, **43**, 5138-5192.

536 Jefferson, A., 2010: Empirical estimates of CCN from aerosol optical properties at four remote  
537 sites, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **10**, 6855-6861, doi:10.5194/acp-10-6855-2010.

538 Kafle, D. N. and R. L. Coulter, 2013: Micropulse lidar-derived aerosol optical depth Climatology  
539 at ARM sites worldwide. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **118**, 7293–7308, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50536.

540 Kooperman, G. J., M. S. Pritchard, S. J. Ghan, M. Wang, R. C. J. Somerville, and L. M. Russell,  
541 2012: Constraining the influence of natural variability to improve estimates of global aerosol  
542 indirect effects in a nudged version of the Community Atmosphere Model 5, *J. Geophys.*  
543 *Res.*, **117**, D23204, doi:10.1029/2012JD018588.

544 Kubar, T., D. L. Hartmann, and R. Wood, 2009: Understanding the importance of microphysics  
545 and macrophysics for warm rain in marine low clouds: Part I. Satellite observations. *J. Atmos.*  
546 *Sci.*, **66**, 2953-2972

547 Leahy, L. V., R. Wood, R. J. Charlson, C. A. Hostetler, R. R. Rogers, M. A. Vaughan, and D. M.  
548 Winker, 2012: On the nature and extent of optically thin marine low clouds, *J. Geophys. Res.*,  
549 **117**, D22201, doi:10.1029/2012JD017929.

550 L'Ecuyer, T. S., W. Berg, J. Haynes, M. Lebsock, and T. Takemura, 2009: Global observations  
551 of aerosol impacts on precipitation occurrence in warm maritime clouds, *J. Geophys. Res.*,  
552 **114**, D09211, doi:10.1029/2008JD011273.

553 Liang, Q., L. Jaegle', D. A. Jaffe, P. Weiss-Penzias, A. Heckman, and J. A. Snow, 2004: Long-  
554 range transport of Asian pollution to the northeast Pacific: Seasonal variations and transport  
555 pathways of carbon monoxide, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **109**, D23S07, doi:10.1029/2003JD004402.

556 Liu, J., and Z. Li, 2014: Estimation of cloud condensation nuclei concentration from aerosol  
557 optical quantities: influential factors and uncertainties, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **14**, 471-483,  
558 doi:10.5194/acp-14-471-2014.

559 Lohmann U., Feichter J., 2005: Global indirect aerosol effects: A review. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **5**,  
560 715.

561 Luke, E. P. and P. Kollias, 2013: Separating cloud and drizzle radar moments during  
562 precipitation onset using Doppler spectra. *J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol.*, **30**, 1656–1671.

563 Mann, J. A. L., J. C. Chiu, R. J. Hogan, E. J. O’Connor, T. S. L’Ecuyer, T. H. M. Stein, and A.  
564 Jefferson, 2014: Aerosol impacts on drizzle properties in warm clouds from ARM Mobile  
565 Facility maritime and continental deployments, *J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.*, **119**,  
566 doi:10.1002/2013JD021339.

567 Mauritsen, T., J. Sedlar, M. Tjernström, C. Leck, M. Shupe, M. Martin, B. Sierau, S. Sjögren,  
568 P.O.G. Persson, I. M. Brooks and E. Swietlicki, 2011: An Arctic CCN-limited cloud-aerosol  
569 regime. *Atmos. Chem. and Phys.*, **11**, 165-173.

570 Mechoso, C. R., R. Wood, R. Weller, C. S. Bretherton, A. D. Clarke, H. Coe, C. Fairall, J. T.  
571 Farrar, G. Feingold, R. Garreaud, C. Grados, J. McWilliams, S. P. de Szoeke, S. E. Yuter, P.  
572 Zuidema, 2013: Ocean-cloud-atmosphere-land interactions in the Southeastern Pacific. *Bull.*  
573 *Amer. Meteorol. Soc.*, in press.

574 Minnis, P., S. Sun-Mack, D. F. Young, P. W. Heck, D. P. Garber, Y. Chen, D. A. Spangenberg,  
575 R. F. Arduini, Q. Z. Trepte, W. L. Smith, Jr., J. K. Ayers, S. C. Gibson, W. F. Miller, V.  
576 Chakrapani, Y. Takano, K.-N. Liou, Y. Xie, and P. Yang, 2011: CERES Edition-2 cloud  
577 property retrievals using TRMM VIRS and Terra and Aqua MODIS data, Part I: Algorithms.  
578 *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, **49**, 11, 4374-4400.

579 Muhlbauer, A., I. McCoy, and R. Wood, 2014: Climatology of stratocumulus cloud  
580 morphologies: microphysical and radiative properties. *Submitted to J. Geophys. Res.*

581 O’Connor, E. J., R. J. Hogan and A. J. Illingworth., 2005: Retrieving stratocumulus drizzle  
582 parameters using Doppler radar and lidar. *J. Appl. Meteorol.*, **44**, 14-27.

583 Penner, J. E., Quaas, J., Storelvmo, T., Takemura, T., Boucher, O., Guo, H., Kirkevåg, A.,  
584 Kristjánsson, J. E., and Ø. Seland, 2006: Model intercomparison of indirect aerosol effects,  
585 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **6**, 3391-3405, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3391-2006.

586 Petters, M. D., Snider, J. R., Stevens, B., Vali, G., Faloona, I., and Russell, L., 2006:  
587 Accumulation mode aerosol, pockets of open cells, and particle nucleation in the remote  
588 subtropical Pacific marine boundary layer, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D02206,  
589 doi:10.1029/2004JD005694.

590 Phillips, T. J., and coauthors, 2004: Evaluating parameterizations in general circulation models:  
591 climate simulation meets weather prediction. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **85**, 1903–1915.

592 Platnick, S., and S. Twomey, 1994: Determining the susceptibility of cloud albedo to changes in  
593 droplet concentration with the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer. *J. Appl. Meteor.*,  
594 **33**, 334–347.

595 Platnick, S., et al., 2003: The MODIS cloud products: Algorithms and examples from  
596 Terra, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, **41**, 459-473, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2002.808301.

597 Quaas, J., Ming, Y., Menon, S., Takemura, T., Wang, M., Penner, J. E., Gettelman, A.,  
598 Lohmann, U., Bellouin, N., Boucher, O., Sayer, A. M., Thomas, G. E., McComiskey, A.,  
599 Feingold, G., Hoose, C., Kristjánsson, J. E., Liu, X., Balkanski, Y., Donner, L. J.,  
600 Ginoux, P. A., Stier, P., Grandey, B., Feichter, J., Sednev, I., Bauer, S. E., Koch, D.,  
601 Grainger, R. G., Kirkevåg, A., Iversen, T., Seland, Ø., Easter, R., Ghan, S. J., Rasch, P. J.,  
602 Morrison, H., Lamarque, J.-F., Iacono, M. J., Kinne, S., and Schulz, M.: Aerosol indirect  
603 effects – general circulation model intercomparison and evaluation with satellite data, *Atmos.*  
604 *Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8697-8717, doi:10.5194/acp-9-8697-2009, 2009.

605 Rauber, R.M., B. Stevens, H.T. Ochs, C. Knight, B.A. Albrecht, A.M. Blyth, C.W. Fairall, J.B.  
606 Jensen, S.G. Lasher-Trapp, O.L. Mayol-Bracero, G. Vali, J.R. Anderson, B.A. Baker, A.R.  
607 Bandy, E. Burnet, J.L. Brenguier, W.A. Brewer, P.R.A. Brown, P. Chuang, W.R. Cotton, L. Di  
608 Girolamo, B. Geerts, H. Gerber, S. Göke, L. Gomes, B.G. Heikes, J.G. Hudson, P. Kollias, R.P.  
609 Lawson, S.K. Krueger, D.H. Lenschow, L. Nuijens, D.W. O'Sullivan, R.A. Rilling, D.C. Rogers,  
610 A.P. Siebesma, E. Snodgrass, J.L. Stith, D.C. Thornton, S. Tucker, C.H. Twohy, and P. Zuidema,  
611 2007a: Rain in shallow cumulus over the ocean. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **88**, 1912–1928.

612 Remer, L. A., and Coauthors, 2005: The MODIS Aerosol Algorithm, Products, and  
613 Validation. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **62**, 947–973.

614 Rémillard, J., P. Kollias, E. Luke, and R. Wood, 2012: Marine boundary layer cloud  
615 observations at the Azores. *J. Climate*, 25, 7381-7398.

616 Sorooshian, A., G. Feingold, M. D. Lebsock, H. Jiang, and G. L. Stephens, 2010: Deconstructing  
617 the precipitation susceptibility construct: Improving methodology for aerosol-cloud  
618 precipitation studies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **115**, D17201, doi:10.1029/2009JD013426.

619 Sharon, T. M., Albrecht, B. A., Jonsson, H., Minnis, P., Khaiyer, M. M., VanReken, T. M.,  
620 Seinfeld, J., and Flagan, R., 2006: Aerosol and cloud microphysical characteristics of rifts and  
621 gradients in maritime stratocumulus clouds, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **63**, 983–997.

622 Stevens, B., G. Vali, K. Comstock, R. Wood, M. VanZanten, P.H. Austin, C.S. Bretherton, D.H.  
623 Lenschow, 2005: Pockets of Open Cells (POCs) and drizzle in marine stratocumulus, *Bull.*  
624 *Am. Meteorol. Soc.*, **86**, 51-57.

625 Stevens, B., and G. Feingold, 2009: Untangling aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation in a  
626 buffered system. *Nature*. **461**:7264, 607-613.

627 Terai, C. R., R. Wood, D. C. Leon, and P. Zuidema, 2012: Does precipitation susceptibility vary  
628 with increasing cloud thickness in marine stratocumulus? *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **12**, 4567-4583,  
629 doi:10.5194/acp-12-4567-2012, 2012.

630 Terai, C. R., C. S. Bretherton, R. Wood, and G. Painter, 2013: Aircraft observations of five  
631 pockets of open cells sampled during VOCALS-REx. Submitted to *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*

632 Toledano, C., V. E. Cachorro, A. M. de Frutos, B. Torres, A. Berjon, M. Sorribas, and R. S.  
633 Stone, 2009: Air mass classification and analysis of aerosol types at El Arenosillo (Spain), *J.*  
634 *Appl. Meteorol. Climat.*, **48**, 962–981, 2009.

635 Tselioudis, G., W. Rossow, Y. Zhang and D. Konsta, 2013: Global weather states and their  
636 properties from passive and active satellite cloud retrievals. *J. Clim.* in press.

637 Turner, D. D., and Coauthors, 2007: Thin liquid water clouds: Their importance and our  
638 challenge. *Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.*, **88**, 177–190.

639 Turner, D. D., 2007: Improved ground-based liquid water path retrievals using a combined  
640 infrared and microwave approach, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **112**, D15204,  
641 doi:10.1029/2007JD008530.

642 Val Martin, M., R. E. Honrath, R. C. Owen, and Q. B. Li, 2008: Seasonal variation of nitrogen  
643 oxides in the central North Atlantic lower free troposphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **113**, D17307,  
644 doi:10.1029/2007JD009688.

645 Warren, S. G., C. J. Hahn, J. London, R. M. Chervin, and R. L. Jenne, 1988: Global distribution  
646 of total cloud cover and cloud types over ocean. NCAR Tech. Note NCAR/TN-3171STR,  
647 National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, 42 pp. 1 170 maps.

648 Wood, R., 2005: Drizzle in stratocumulus. Part I: Horizontal and vertical structure. *J. Atmos*  
649 *Sci.*, **62**, 3011-3034.

650 Wood, R., 2006: The rate of loss of cloud condensation nuclei by coalescence in warm clouds.  
651 *J. Geophys. Res.*, **111**, D21205, doi:10.1029/2006JD007553.

652 Wood, R., and C. S. Bretherton, 2006: On the relationship between stratiform low cloud cover  
653 and lower tropospheric stability., *J. Clim.*, **19**, 6425-6432.

654 Wood, R., 2007: Cancellation of aerosol indirect effects in marine stratocumulus through cloud  
655 thinning. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **64**, 2657-2669.

656 Wood, R., K. K. Comstock, C. S. Bretherton, C. Cornish, J. Tomlinson, D. R. Collins, and C.  
657 Fairall, 2008: Open cellular structure in marine stratocumulus sheets. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **113**,  
658 D12207, doi:10.1029/2007JD009371.

659 Wood, R., T. Kubar, and D. L. Hartmann, 2009: Understanding the importance of microphysics  
660 and macrophysics for warm rain in marine low clouds: Part II. Heuristic models of rain  
661 formation. *J. Atmos. Sci.*, **66**, 2973-2990.

662 Wood, R., 2012: Stratocumulus Clouds. *Mon. Wea. Rev.*, **140**, 2373–2423.

663 Wood, R., D. Leon, M. Lebsock, J. Snider, A. D. Clarke. Precipitation driving of droplet  
664 concentration variability in marine low clouds. *J. Geophys. Res.*, **117**, D19210,  
665 doi:10.1029/2012JD018305.

666 Wyant, M. C., Wood, R., Bretherton, C. S., Mechoso, C. R., Bacmeister, J., Balmaseda, M. A.,  
667 Barrett, B., Codron, F., Earnshaw, P., Fast, J., Hannay, C., Kaiser, J. W., Kitagawa, H.,  
668 Klein, S. A., Köhler, M., Manganello, J., Pan, H.-L., Sun, F., Wang, S., and Wang, Y., 2010:  
669 The PreVOCA experiment: modeling the lower troposphere in the Southeast Pacific, *Atmos.*  
670 *Chem. Phys.*, **10**, 4757-4774, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4757-2010.

671 Zhang, M. H., et al., 2005: Comparing clouds and their seasonal variations in 10 atmospheric  
672 general circulation models with satellite measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, **110**, D15S02,  
673 doi:10.1029/2004JD005021.

674 Zhao, T. L., Gong, S. L., Huang, P., and Lavoué, D., 2012: Hemispheric transport and influence  
675 of meteorology on global aerosol climatology, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, **12**, 7609-7624,  
676 doi:10.5194/acp-12-7609-2012.

677

678 **TABLES AND FIGURES**

679

<b>Table 1: The primary science questions addressed during CAP-MBL</b>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Which synoptic-scale features dominate the variability in subtropical low clouds on diurnal to seasonal timescales over the North East Atlantic?</li><li>• Do physical, optical, and cloud-forming properties of aerosols vary with the synoptic features?</li><li>• What is the variability in precipitation frequency and strength in the subtropical cloud-topped MBL on diurnal to seasonal timescales, and is this variability correlated with variability in aerosol properties?</li><li>• Can we find observational support for the Twomey effect in clouds in this region?</li><li>• Are observed transitions in cloud mesoscale structure (e. g. from closed cellular to open cellular convection) influenced by the formation of precipitation?</li><li>• How well can state-of-the-art weather forecast and climate models (run in forecast mode) predict the day-to-day variability of cloud cover and its radiative impacts?</li></ul>

680

681

682

683

685 *Table 2: Key AMF remote sensing instrumentation deployed during the CAP-MBL deployment of*  
 686 *the ARM Mobile Facility at Graciosa from April 2009-December 2010*

Instrument	Key derived parameters	Resolution/Range	Availability
95 GHz Profiling Radar (WACR)	(i) Cloud and precipitation vertical structure (ii) Cloud top height (iii) Drizzle drop size distribution using both Doppler spectral measurements (Frisch et al. 1995, Luke and Kollias 2013) and with MPL below cloud base (O'Connor et al. 2005)	Res.: 43 m Time: 2 s Max range: 15 km	Operational 5 June 2009 to end No data 1-25 Sept 2010 (see Rémillard et al. 2012 for sampling statistics)
Ceilmeter (VCEIL) and Micropulse Lidar (MPL)	(i) Cloud base height (ii) Cloud cover (iii) Precipitation profiling below cloud base (with radar) (iv) cloud visible optical thickness in all-sky conditions	Res.: 15-30 m Time: 30-60 s Max range: ~5 km	VCEIL operational 13 April 2009-end MPL operational 11 April 2009-end (see Rémillard et al. 2012 for sampling statistics)
Microwave Radiometer (MWR) – 23/31/90 GHz	(i) Cloud liquid water path (ii) Column water vapor path	Time: 20 s	Operational 27 April 2009-end (see Rémillard et al. 2012 for sampling statistics)
Radar Wind Profiler (RWP)	(i) horizontal wind profiles (ii) virtual temperature profiles	Time: 6 min	Operational 1 May 2009-end
Visible Spectral Radiometers: MultiFilter Rotating Shadowband Radiometer (MFRSR); Narrow Field of View Radiometer (NFOV); Sunphotometer	(i) Cloud visible optical thickness. Used to infer cloud microphysical properties (droplet concentration, effective radius) in combination with MWR (ii) Aerosol optical properties in clear skies	Time: 20 s (MFRSR)	MFRSR operational 5 May 2009-end NFOV operational 20 Aug 2009-end Sunphotometer operational 1 May 2009-18 April 2011
Atmospheric Emitted Radiance Interferometer (AERI).	Cloud liquid water path (LWP) estimates for thin clouds (combined with MWR, following Turner 2007)	Spectral: 3-19.2 $\mu\text{m}$ with 3.3-36 nm resolution Time: 6 min	Operational Apr-Jun 2009 and Dec 2009-Dec 2010
Broadband Radiometers	Downwelling shortwave and longwave radiative fluxes used to constrain the surface energy budget	Time: 1 min	Operational 15 April 2009-end
Total Sky Imager (TSI)	Cloud coverage and type	Time: 30 s	Operational 14 April 2009-end when solar elevation > 5-10°

688  
689

690  
691

*Table 3: Key AMF in situ measurements obtained during the CAP-MBL deployment of the ARM Mobile Facility at Graciosa from April 2009-December 2010*

<b>Instrument</b>	<b>Key derived parameters</b>	<b>Resolution</b>	<b>Comments</b>
Balloon-borne Sounding System (BBSS)	(i) Atmospheric profile of temperature, humidity and winds (ii) MBL depth (iii) Inversion strength	4 soundings daily (00, 06, 12, 18 UTC)	Operational 16 April 2009-end
Eddy Correlation Systems (ECOR)	Surface turbulent fluxes of latent and sensible heat	Time: 30 mins	Operational 15 April 2009-11 Oct 2010
Surface Meteorological Instruments	Surface temperature, humidity, pressure, winds, precipitation rate (optical rain gauge)	Time: 30 sec	Operational 15 April 2009-end Mounted on 10 m tower
Surface aerosol observing system	Total aerosol concentration > 10 nm diameter (CN counter);	1 minute	Operational 14 Apr 2009-end
	CCN spectra at seven supersaturations (nominally 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.8, 1, 1.1%)	Step through supersaturations, each sampled for 5 minutes	
	Dry (low RH) and wet (scanning RH from 40-90%) aerosol scattering (total and hemispheric backscattering) at three wavelengths (450, 550 and 700 nm) with 1 and 10 micron size cut-off;	1 minute resolution of 30 minute cycles between sub 1 um and sub 10 um aerosol	
	Aerosol absorption (PSAP) at three wavelengths (450, 550 and 700 nm) wavelength	1 minute resolution of 30 minute cycles between sub 1 um and sub 10 um aerosol	

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

<b>Table 4: Modeling Projects using AMF Azores datasets</b>	
<b>Modeling project</b>	<b>Model type and research group</b>
High resolution modeling with explicit aerosol representation to examine detailed microphysical processes observed with WACR. Evaluation of new parameterization of clouds in climate models.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• DHARMA LES and GISS GCM</li> <li>• Andrew Ackerman and George Tselioudis [NASA GISS]</li> </ul>
Cloud resolving model simulations in 2D and 3D at relatively low resolution for entire deployment period.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• System for Atmospheric Modeling (SAM)</li> <li>• Steve Krueger [U. Utah]</li> </ul>
Eddy resolving and regional models for particular cases during deployment to examine relative importance of meteorology and aerosols in driving cloud and precipitation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• COAMPS and/or WRF</li> <li>• David Mechem [U. Kansas]</li> </ul>
Compare cloud, aerosol and precipitation properties extracted from global GCMs with in-situ measurements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CAM5 and GFDL AM3p9</li> <li>• Cecile Hannay [NCAR]; Yanluan Lin [GFDL]</li> </ul>
Use selected cases to compare single column version of a climate model with a cloud resolving model to examine sensitivity of clouds to aerosols.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CAM5 and LES</li> <li>• Joyce Penner [U. Michigan]</li> </ul>
Use selected cases to evaluate turbulent mixing, microphysical process rates, and precipitation susceptibility in single column versions of a climate model.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• CAM5 and CAM-CLUBB</li> <li>• Robert Wood [U. Washington]</li> </ul>

702

703

704

705

706 **FIGURE CAPTIONS**

707 **Figure 1:** (a) Map of Graciosa Island showing terrain elevation, and the location of the AMF site  
708 at the airport approximately 2 km west of the main town Santa Cruz de Graciosa. (b) Map  
709 showing the location of the Azores in the North Atlantic. Colors show the annual mean cloud  
710 droplet concentration for warm, overcast clouds as observed by the Moderate Resolution  
711 Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on the NASA Terra satellite. The Azores receives a  
712 diverse range of airmasses from North America, from the Arctic and from Northern Europe. (c)  
713 Photograph of the AMF site looking to the SE; (d) Map of the location of Graciosa (and Pico) in  
714 the Azores archipelago.

715

716 **Figure 2:** Mean 1000 hPa geopotential heights (color-filled contours) for (a) Jan. and (b) Jul.  
717 generated from the 0000 UTC ERA–Interim reanalysis fields (Dee et al. 2011). Contours of the  
718 standard deviation of the 500 hPa geopotential heights [in meters] are overlaid to indicate  
719 variability in the storm track. (c) and (d) represent surface wind roses for Jan. and July,  
720 respectively. (e)–(h) show maps of MODIS mean total and liquid phase cloud fraction for Dec.,  
721 Jan., and Feb. (DJF) and Jun., Jul., and Aug (JJA) seasons. Cloud fraction is derived on  $1^{\circ}\times 1^{\circ}$   
722 areas averaged over each 3 month season for the years 2002–2012 using the Collection 51 Aqua  
723 MODIS Cloud Phase Infrared Day Histogram Counts product. Day and night observations are  
724 combined. The star in the cloud fraction panels denotes the location of Graciosa Island. A  $3\times 3$   
725 pixel median smoothing filter was applied to the data to remove orbit swath edge sampling  
726 artifacts.

727 **Figure 3:** (a) height-time series of vertically-pointing W-band radar reflectivity for the entire  
728 deployment. Radar data for much of September 2010 are missing; (b) monthly low and high  
729 cloud cover determined using the WACR and ceilometer dataset as described in Rémillard et al.  
730 (2012).

731 **Figure 4:** Frequency of occurrence of different weather states determined using passive and  
732 active cloud sensors at the Azores (solid) and for the globe as a whole (dotted). From Tselioudis  
733 et al. (2013).

734 **Figure 5:** Histogram counts of estimated inversion strength (EIS, Wood and Bretherton 2006) in  
735 1 K bins from summertime (June-August) CAP-MBL 2009 and 2010 soundings (stacked blue  
736 and red bars, respectively) and VOCALS 2008 (October-November, gray bars). Right axis shows  
737 cumulative distributions of EIS for all of CAP-MBL (blue line) and VOCALS (gray line).

738 **Figure 6:** Cloud occurrence frequency as a function of height for Graciosa during JJA (solid)  
739 and from the southeastern Pacific during the VOCALS field campaign (Burleyson et al. 2013).

740 **Figure 7:** Clusters of trajectories arriving at Graciosa during the summer period (May-August  
741 2009) showing the three primary clusters representing North American, Arctic/Northern  
742 European, and recirculating Azores high flow. The Hysplit IV model (Draxler and Rolph, 2003)  
743 was employed and 10 day back trajectories ending at Graciosa 500 m above sea level were run  
744 every day for April-September 2009. NCEP GDAS meteorological data including model vertical  
745 velocity is used to determine the trajectory motion. A cluster analysis was then performed on the  
746 resulting back trajectory set (e.g., Toledano et al., 2009) and a three cluster solution was found to  
747 capture most of the variance.

748

749 **Figure 8:** (a)-(d) Four examples of 10 day airmass back trajectories (see Fig. 7 caption for  
750 details) arriving at Graciosa during May 2009, reflecting characteristic airmasses. Each of the  
751 four panels show the trajectory map (top) and height (below) with ticks every 12 hours. Central  
752 panel shows CCN supersaturation spectra time series measured at Graciosa during the same  
753 month.

754 **Figure 9:** Composite seasonal cycles (using all available data) of (a) cloud droplet concentration  
755 retrieved using the transmitted solar irradiance and microwave radiometer (MWR) LWP  
756 (squares, Dong et al. 1998), from the MPL solar background light (Chiu et al. 2007) and MWR  
757 (black line), and from MODIS (red line,  $1 \times 1^\circ$  box encompassing Graciosa, all years 2001-2010,  
758 Platnick et al. 2003); (b) Surface CCN concentrations at four supersaturations; (c) Aerosol total  
759 and submicron dry extinction. Boxes span the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the data with red bars  
760 indicating medians and the crosses indicating means; (d) Monthly mean aerosol optical depth  
761 and 25/50/75<sup>th</sup> percentile values from the sunphotometer (CIMEL, red) and mean values from  
762 MODIS (black). The composite seasonal cycle of carbon monoxide (CO) measured at the Pico  
763 mountain station from 2002 to 2005 is also shown (see Val Martin et al. 2008).

764  
765 **Figure 10:** Characteristics of precipitation reaching the surface at Graciosa. (a) Cumulative  
766 contribution to surface precipitation accumulation from clouds with tops exceeding the value  
767 shown on the abscissa, using different approaches. The solid line shows precipitation determined  
768 by the raingauge and cloud top height estimated with WACR for columns that are not completely  
769 attenuated and satellite-determined IR cloud top height from SEVIRI for attenuated columns.  
770 Filled circles are from CloudSat; (b) composite seasonal cycle of precipitation from 21 months of  
771 the deployment (blue bars), and the cloud top height corresponding to percentiles of total  
772 precipitation accumulation. For example, the filled circles indicate the cloud top height for which  
773 50% of the total precipitation is associated with shallower clouds; (c) cloud top height  
774 distributions corresponding to 30 s periods where the surface precipitation exceeds  $10 \text{ mm d}^{-1}$ .  
775 Black solid line shows cloud tops from the WACR only and the dotted line shows those from  
776 WACR/SEVIRI merge, both for annual data. The red curve shows corresponding plot for JJA;  
777 (d) contribution to surface accumulation from precipitation rates exceeding the abscissal value.

778 **Figure 11:** (a) MODIS visible image on 8 August 2009 (12:40 UTC) showing rift feature  
779 containing small open cells and shiptracks in a shallow boundary layer about to cross Graciosa.  
780 Overlaid in transparency are the SEVIRI droplet effective radius retrievals from SEVIRI  
781 showing especially large droplets in the rift. Time series (7-10 August) of (b) CCN  
782 concentrations at 0.12% and 0.4% supersaturation, and total aerosol concentration; (c) fraction of  
783 time during each hour that clouds and drizzle are detected overhead; (d) liquid water path, error  
784 bars indicating variability using standard deviation; (e) radar reflectivity (colors), cloud base  
785 (filled black circles), inversion base (blue open circles) and inversion top (blue filled circles).

786 **Figure 12:** Time series of (a) CCN (red) and retrieved cloud droplet concentration  $N_d$  (blue); (b)  
787 liquid water path (blue) and cloud base precipitation rate (red); (c) precipitation rate as a function  
788 of height; also shown are radar-determined cloud top and ceilometer cloud bases; (d) radar  
789 reflectivity; (e) lidar backscatter, for a case of low clouds observed on 7 November 2010.

790 **Figure 13:** Accumulated precipitation during the relatively dry month of Aug. 2009 and the  
791 wetter month of Nov. 2009. Black shows rain gauge measurements at the Graciosa AMF site,  
792 while the colors show model results interpolated to the site location from grid-cell means.

793 **Figure 14:** Time-height plots of daily-mean lower-tropospheric cloud fraction simulated by  
794 models and AMF observed (based on the CloudNet cloud mask, Illingworth et al. 2007) for the  
795 dry and the wet month.

796 **Figure 15:** Daily-mean CCN concentration at 0.1% supersaturation for the models and as  
797 measured at the Graciosa site. The colored numbers for each month are temporal correlation  
798 coefficients between  $\log(\text{CCN})$  for each model vs. the observations.


799

800


801

802

803



806 **Figure 1:** (a) Map of Graciosa Island showing terrain elevation, and the location of the AMF site  
 807 at the airport approximately 2 km west of the main town Santa Cruz de Graciosa. (b) Map  
 808 showing the location of the Azores in the North Atlantic. Colors show the annual mean cloud  
 809 droplet concentration for warm, overcast clouds as observed by the Moderate Resolution  
 810 Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) on the NASA Terra satellite. The Azores receives a  
 811 diverse range of airmasses from North America, from the Arctic and from Northern Europe. (c)  
 812 Photograph of the AMF site looking to the SE; (d) Map of the location of Graciosa (and Pico) in  
 813 the Azores archipelago.  
 814



815

816 **Figure 2:** Mean 1000 hPa geopotential heights (color-filled contours) for (a) Jan. and (b) Jul.  
 817 generated from the 0000 UTC ERA–Interim reanalysis fields (Dee et al. 2011). Contours of the  
 818 standard deviation of the 500 hPa geopotential heights [in meters] are overlaid to indicate  
 819 variability in the storm track. (c) and (d) represent surface wind roses for Jan. and July,  
 820 respectively. (e)–(h) show maps of MODIS mean total and liquid phase cloud fraction for Dec.,  
 821 Jan., and Feb. (DJF) and Jun., Jul., and Aug (JJA) seasons. Cloud fraction is derived on  $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$   
 822 areas averaged over each 3 month season for the years 2002-2012 using the Collection 51 Aqua  
 823 MODIS Cloud Phase Infrared Day Histogram Counts product. Day and night observations are  
 824 combined. The star in the cloud fraction panels denotes the location of Graciosa Island. A  $3 \times 3$   
 825 pixel median smoothing filter was applied to the data to remove orbit swath edge sampling  
 826 artifacts.

827

828

829

830

831

832


833

834

835

836

837




838

839 **Figure 3:** (a) height-time series of vertically-pointing W-band radar reflectivity for the entire  
840 deployment. Radar data for much of September 2010 are missing; (b) monthly low and high  
841 cloud cover determined using the WACR and ceilometer dataset as described in Rémillard et al.  
842 (2012).

843

844

845



846

847 **Figure 4:** Frequency of occurrence of different weather states determined using passive and  
848 active cloud sensors at the Azores (solid) and for the globe as a whole (dotted). From Tselioudis  
849 et al. (2013).

850

851

852

853


854

855

856

857

858




859

860 **Figure 5:** Histogram counts of estimated inversion strength (EIS, Wood and Bretherton 2006) in  
861 1 K bins from summertime (June-August) CAP-MBL 2009 and 2010 soundings (red bars) and  
862 VOCALS 2008 (October-November, gray bars). Right axis shows cumulative distributions of  
863 EIS for all of CAP-MBL (blue line) and VOCALS (gray line).

864

865


866



867


868 **Figure 6:** Cloud occurrence frequency as a function of height for Graciosa during JJA (solid)  
869 and from the southeastern Pacific during the VOCALS field campaign (Burleyson et al. 2013).

870



871  
 872  
 873  
 874  
 875  
 876  
 877  
 878  
 879  
 880  
 881

**Figure 7:** Clusters of trajectories arriving at Graciosa during the summer period (May-August 2009) showing the three primary clusters representing North American, Arctic/Northern European, and recirculating Azores high flow. The Hysplit IV model (Draxler and Rolph, 2003) was employed and 10 day back trajectories ending at Graciosa 500 m above sea level were run every day for April-September 2009. NCEP GDAS meteorological data including model vertical velocity is used to determine the trajectory motion. A cluster analysis was then performed on the resulting back trajectory set (e.g., Toledano et al., 2009) and a three cluster solution was found to capture most of the variance.



882

883

884 **Figure 8:** (a)-(d) Four examples of 10 day airmass back trajectories (see Fig. 7 caption for  
 885 details) arriving at Graciosa during May 2009, reflecting characteristic airmasses. Each of the  
 886 four panels show the trajectory map (top) and height (below) with ticks every 12 hours. Central  
 887 panel shows CCN supersaturation spectra time series measured at Graciosa during the same  
 888 month.

889

890


891


892  
893  
894

895  
896  
897  
898  
899

900 **Figure 9:** Composite seasonal cycles  
901 (using all available data) of (a) cloud  
902 droplet concentration retrieved using  
903 the transmitted solar irradiance and  
904 microwave radiometer (MWR) LWP  
905 (squares, Dong et al. 1998), from the  
906 MPL solar background light (Chiu et al.  
907 2007) and MWR (black line), and from  
908 MODIS (red line,  $1 \times 1^\circ$  box  
909 encompassing Graciosa, all years 2001-  
910 2010, Platnick et al. 2003); (b) Surface  
911 CCN concentrations at four  
912 supersaturations; (c) Aerosol total and  
913 submicron dry extinction. Boxes span  
914 the 25<sup>th</sup> and 75<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the data  
915 with red bars indicating medians and  
916 the crosses indicating means; (d)  
917 Monthly mean aerosol optical depth  
918 and 25/50/75<sup>th</sup> percentile values from  
919 the sunphotometer (CIMEL, red) and  
920 mean values from MODIS (black). The  
921 composite seasonal cycle of carbon  
922 monoxide (CO) measured at the Pico  
923 mountain station from 2002 to 2005 is  
924 also shown (see Val Martin et al. 2008).

925  
926  
927  
928  
929  
930  
931  
932  
933  
934  
935  
936  
937  
938  
939  
940  
941






942

943 **Figure 10:** Characteristics of precipitation reaching the surface at Graciosa. (a) Cumulative  
 944 contribution to surface precipitation accumulation from clouds with tops exceeding the value  
 945 shown on the abscissa, using different approaches. The solid line shows precipitation determined  
 946 by the raingauge and cloud top height estimated with WACR for columns that are not completely  
 947 attenuated and satellite-determined IR cloud top height from SEVIRI for attenuated columns.  
 948 Filled circles are from CloudSat. (b) composite seasonal cycle of precipitation from 21 months of  
 949 the deployment (blue bars), and the cloud top height corresponding to percentiles of total  
 950 precipitation accumulation. For example, the filled circles indicate the cloud top height for which  
 951 50% of the total precipitation is associated with shallower clouds. (c) Cloud top height  
 952 distributions corresponding to 30 s periods where the surface precipitation exceeds 10 mm d<sup>-1</sup>.  
 953 Black solid line shows cloud tops from the WACR only and the dotted line shows those from  
 954 WACR/SEVIRI merge, both for annual data. The red curve shows corresponding plot for JJA.  
 955 (d) Contribution to surface accumulation from precipitation rates exceeding the abscissal value.

956




957

958 **Figure 11:** (a) MODIS visible image on 8 August 2009 (12:40 UTC) showing rift feature  
 959 containing small open cells and shiptracks in a shallow boundary layer about to cross Graciosa.  
 960 Overlaid in transparency are the droplet effective radius retrievals from SEVIRI showing  
 961 especially large droplets in the rift. Time series (7-10 August) of (b) CCN concentrations at  
 962 0.12% and 0.4% supersaturation, and total aerosol concentration; (c) fraction of time during each  
 963 hour that clouds and drizzle are detected overhead; (d) liquid water path, error bars indicating  
 964 variability using standard deviation; (e) radar reflectivity (colors), cloud base (filled black  
 965 circles), inversion base (blue open circles) and inversion top (blue filled circles).

966  
 967  
 968  
 969  
 970  
 971  
 972  
 973

974




975

976 **Figure 12:** Time series of (a) CCN (red) and retrieved cloud droplet concentration  $N_d$  (blue);  
977 liquid water path (blue) and cloud base precipitation rate (red); (c) precipitation rate as a function  
978 of height; also shown are radar-determined cloud top and ceilometer cloud bases, for a case of  
979 low clouds observed on 7 November 2010.

980


981



982

983 **Figure 13:** Accumulated precipitation during the relatively dry month of Aug. 2009 and the  
984 wetter month of Nov. 2009. Black shows rain gauge measurements at the Graciosa AMF site,  
985 while the colors show model results interpolated to the site location from grid-cell means.

986




988

989 **Figure 14:** Time-height plots of daily-mean lower-tropospheric cloud fraction simulated by  
990 models and AMF observed (based on the CloudNet cloud mask, Illingworth et al. 2007) for the  
991 dry and the wet month.

992

993



994

995 **Figure 15:** Daily-mean CCN concentration at 0.1% supersaturation for the models and as  
996 measured at the Graciosa site. The colored numbers for each month are temporal correlation  
997 coefficients between log(CCN) for each model vs. the observations.

998

999