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Abstract  We examine the evidence for large-scale tropical hydroclimate changes over the Common Era based on a compilation of 67 tropical hydroclimate records from 55 sites and assess the consistency between the reconstructed hydroclimate changes and those simulated by transient model simulations of the last millennium. Our synthesis of the proxy records reveals several regionally coherent patterns on centennial time scales. From 800 to 1000 CE, records from the eastern Pacific and parts of Mesoamerica indicate a pronounced drying event relative to background conditions of the Common Era. In addition, 1400–1700 CE is marked by pronounced hydroclimate changes across the tropics, including dry and/or isotopically enriched conditions in South and East Asia, wet and/or isotopically depleted conditions in the central Andes and southern Amazon in South America, and fresher and/or isotopically depleted conditions in the Maritime Continent. We find notable dissimilarities between the regional hydroclimate changes and global-scale and hemispheric-scale temperature reconstructions, indicating that more work needs to be done to understand the mechanisms of the widespread tropical hydroclimate changes during the LIA. Apropos to previous interpretations of large-scale reorganization of tropical Pacific climate during the LIA, we do not find support for a large-scale southward shift of the Pacific Intertropical Convergence Zone, while evidence for a strengthened Pacific Walker Circulation and/or an equatorward contraction of the monsoonal Asian-Australian rain belt exists from limited geographic regions but require additional paleoclimate constraints. Transient climate model simulations exhibit weak forced long-term tropical rainfall changes over the last millennium but provide several important insights to the proxy reconstructions.

1. Introduction

The hydrologic cycle is expected to change in profound ways under continued increases in atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations and yet our understanding of how regional rainfall patterns will respond to anthropogenic climate change remains highly uncertain. The short (spanning roughly half a century) and unevenly distributed precipitation records around the globe currently limit our ability to constrain models and thus make confident projections of future precipitation responses (Biasutti et al., 2018). However, the efficacy of the climate models used to project future climate can be tested against past records. In particular, the last 2,000 years, known as the Common Era, has been a major reconstruction target for the paleoclimate community over the last decade (Konecky et al., 2020; PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013, 2019; PAGES 2k-PMIP3 Group, 2015; Tierney et al., 2015) given its relative abundance of data and the similarity of the Earth's boundary conditions to present.

Global compilations of temperature reconstructions of the Common Era generally indicate a long-term cooling trend over the last millennium that extended into the middle of the 19th century, which was driven by increased volcanic activity (Atwood et al., 2016; Schurer et al., 2014), as well as solar, greenhouse gas, land use, and orbital forcings (Otto-Bliensner et al., 2016; Schurer et al., 2013; Swingedouw et al., 2011). Recent compilations of temperature-sensitive proxy data suggest that global mean surface temperatures cooled by ∼0.2°C over the last millennium (PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013, 2019) with slightly larger cooling in the Northern Hemisphere (Hegerl et al., 2007; Mann et al., 2009; Marcott et al., 2013; Moberg et al., 2005) than the Southern Hemisphere (Neukom et al., 2014). Embedded within this global cooling trend, the Little Ice Age (LIA) is characterized as a period of regionally variable cooling during the second half of the last millennium ∼1450–1850 CE (Cunningham et al., 2013; Kobashi et al., 2011; Masse et al., 2008; Neukom.
et al., 2014; PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013). The spatial and temporal heterogeneity of the LIA reflects the complex array of forcings, feedbacks, and internal variability operating in the climate system at that time (Fernandez-Donado et al., 2013; Kaufman et al., 2009; Lehner et al., 2013). Evidence for amplified cooling in central Greenland (exceeding 1.0°C) has supported interpretations of Arctic amplified cooling during the LIA (Kobashi et al., 2011), which is also seen in transient climate model simulations of the last millennium (Atwood et al., 2016).

In the tropics, widespread changes in rainfall patterns are thought to have occurred during the LIA. In Asia, weakened summer monsoon rainfall and a prevalence of extreme droughts around 1300–1700 CE have been inferred from oxygen isotopes in cave deposits in India and China, from tree ring records from Southeast Asia, and from relative abundances of foraminifera from the Arabian Sea (Anderson et al., 2002; Buckley et al., 2010; Sinha et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2005; Zhang et al., 2008). Some studies have suggested that these rainfall changes contributed to the collapse of several major civilizations in India, China, and Southeast Asia (Buckley et al., 2010; Sinha et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2008). On the opposite side of the Pacific basin in the Southern Hemisphere, an intensified South American summer monsoon ca. 1300–1500 CE and continuing through 1800 CE, has been inferred from records of oxygen isotopes in cave deposits, glacial ice, and authigenic calcite in lake sediments (Vuille et al., 2012).

Outside of these major monsoon systems, the nature of LIA hydroclimate changes in other regions of the tropics is more heavily disputed, particularly in and around the tropical Pacific Ocean. For example, different compilations of hydroclimate records from the Pacific basin and surrounding regions have been interpreted as representing either: (a) a southward migration of the mean annual position of the Pacific Intertropical Convergence Zone (ITCZ) (Nelson & Sachs, 2016; Richey & Sachs, 2016; Sachs et al., 2009) and Atlantic ITCZ (Haug et al., 2001), (b) an equatorward contraction of the Asian-Australian monsoons (Denniston et al., 2016; Griffiths et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2015), and/or (c) a strengthened Pacific Walker Circulation (Griffiths et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2015). Importantly, however, the definition of the LIA and the reference period varies widely across these studies; in some cases, the interpretation was based on trends during the LIA (e.g., Richey & Sachs, 2016), in other cases LIA conditions were referenced to the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA) in the early part of the last millennium (Haug et al., 2001; Nelson & Sachs, 2016) or to the modern period (Sachs et al., 2009), and in yet other cases, the MCA and modern periods were used interchangeably, depending on data availability (Yan et al., 2015). Standardizing these comparisons is critical to developing more robust constraints on the regional signatures of tropical hydroclimate changes during the last millennium.

Further complicating the interpretation of the LIA hydroclimate changes, theoretical frameworks to support the proposed rainfall changes are not well established. One theory links a southward migration of the zonal mean Hadley circulation to greater cooling of the Northern Hemisphere than the Southern Hemisphere during the LIA (Chiang & Bitz, 2005; Hwang et al., 2013; Kang et al., 2008). However, the applicability of this zonal mean energetic framework (i.e., the response of the zonal mean atmospheric circulation to changes in the hemispheric energy budget) to the regional scale of the paleoclimate records is unclear, given the relatively weak interhemispheric temperature changes during this time, and the evidence that shifts of the ITCZ are highly zonally variable even under a large interhemispheric asymmetry in forcing (Atwood et al., 2020; Roberts et al., 2017).

Over the past decade there has been a substantial increase in the number of high-resolution, hydroclimate-sensitive proxy records that are publicly accessible under improved paleo-data stewardship efforts. Here, we collect public data to reconstruct robust spatiotemporal patterns of tropical hydroclimate change over the Common Era using a larger suite of hydroclimate records than has previously been considered. Furthermore, we compare the reconstructed hydroclimate patterns to a large suite of transient climate model simulations of the last millennium to shed light on the mechanisms of the long-term hydroclimate changes, including distinguishing between forced and unforced modes of variability.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Proxy Record Selection and Treatment

Tropical hydroclimate records spanning the last millennium were selected from the NCDC/NCEI (https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/) and PANGAEA (https://www.pangaea.de/) paleoclimate data repositories. A total of 67 speleothem, lake and marine sediment, and tropical glacier records were selected based on the following criteria: (a) the original authors interpreted the proxies as representative of hydroclimate conditions, (b) the records were located between 35°S and 35°N, and (c) the records spanned at least 70% of the last millennium (850–1850 CE) with a minimum temporal resolution of 200 years and contained at least one age control point within that period. More stringent record selection criteria were adopted for the cluster analysis and Empirical Orthogonal Function analysis, as detailed in Sections 2.2.1 and 2.2.3. For all analyses, the records were truncated outside of the time period 350–1950 CE and standardized to Z-scores by subtracting the mean and dividing by the standard deviation of the data during this interval. The Z-scores from each record (with zero mean and unit variance) were binned into 100-years windows to focus on the centennial to millennial-scale patterns in the data, and to limit the sensitivity of the results to the age model uncertainties in the reconstructions. The sign of the inferred relationship between rainfall amount and the measured values of the proxies was adopted from the original publication of each record. A lake minerology record from Kiritimati Atoll (Higley et al., 2018) was omitted from our analyses due to a substantial revision in the age model between 1200 CE and the core top (J. Conroy, personal communication). Table 1, Figure 1, and Table S1 provide a summary of the proxy records.

Of the 67 records in the compilation, 24% (16 out of 67) are nonwater isotope records, consisting of sediment grain size, bulk density, magnetic susceptibility, color, elemental composition (Ti/Ca, percent Mg in calcite), δ¹³C of organic matter, and relative diatom abundances in lake and marine sediments (see Table S1). These archives are used to infer runoff intensity (which is related to the intensity, frequency, and/or amount of rainfall) and/or the local moisture balance. The remainder of the records (51 out of 67; 76%) are water isotope-based records, which reflect the isotopic composition (δ²H or δ¹⁸O) of precipitation, groundwater, lake water, or near-surface seawater, and as such track numerous aspects of the hydrologic cycle. δ²H and δ¹⁸O records from closed lake systems generally reflect the local water balance of the lake (i.e., precipitation minus evaporation), while open lake, speleothem, and glacier ice records generally reflect the isotopic composition of precipitation, which integrates information about precipitation and evaporation rates, seasonality of precipitation, moisture sources, transport, and water recycling over land (Konecky et al., 2020). In the tropics, lower (higher) δ²H and δ¹⁸O values in meteoric water generally occur during periods of regionally wetter (drier) conditions, as described by the “amount effect,” which is an empirical relationship in which the isotopic composition of precipitation in the tropics is negatively correlated with the amount of precipitation on monthly or longer time scales (Dansgaard, 1964; Risi et al., 2008). Reconstructions of surface seawater isotope ratios, meanwhile, provide a means of characterizing the surface exchange of freshwater and water mass mixing in the ocean, and therefore provide constraints on ocean salinity. While the data-model comparisons in this study are based on the assumption that the regionally coherent proxy signals are driven by regional changes in mean annual precipitation, future work should incorporate isotope-enabled model simulations that explicitly track water isotope ratios in the hydrosphere to provide more quantitative comparisons between the water isotope-based proxy records and model simulations.

2.2. Proxy Data Analyses

2.2.1. Hierarchal Cluster Analysis

Hierarchal cluster analysis (HCA; Eisen et al., 1998) was used to organize the paleoclimate records into groups with similar temporal patterns. In HCA, a binary, hierarchal cluster tree (dendrogram) links pairs of records that are in close proximity based on a specified distance metric; binary clusters are then grouped into larger clusters, forming a hierarchal tree. The height of the linkages in the dendrogram represents the distance between objects. HCA has been successfully used to divide diverse multiproxy paleoclimate data sets into groups with shared temporal patterns (Kaufman et al., 2016; Shuman et al., 2018). We constructed the hierarchal cluster tree in MATLAB (clusterdata.m) based on Euclidian pairwise distances between records and Ward's minimum variance method with a maximum of three clusters specified. For studies in
### Table 1

**List of the Paleoclimate Data Used in This Study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Lat (deg N)</th>
<th>Lon (deg E)</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Proxy archive</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Cluster #, index #</th>
<th>Avg resolution (years)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Data set URL (if available)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eastern Pacific</strong></td>
<td>El Junco Lake, San Cristobal Island, Galápagos</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
<td>−89.5</td>
<td>670</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Dinosterol $\delta^1$H</td>
<td>C1, 7</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>Atwood and Sachs (2014)</td>
<td><a href="https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.834103">https://doi.org/10.1594/PANGAEA.834103</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eastern Pacific</strong></td>
<td>El Junco Lake, San Cristobal Island, Galápagos</td>
<td>−0.9</td>
<td>−89.5</td>
<td>660</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Sediment grain size (% sand)</td>
<td>C1, 13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Conroy et al. (2008)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6109">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6109</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Eastern Pacific</strong></td>
<td>Poza de las Diablas, Isabela Island, Galápagos</td>
<td>−1.0</td>
<td>−91.0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>$\delta^1$H lipid biomarkers</td>
<td>C1, 23</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>Nelson &amp; Sachs (2016)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.pnas.org/content/suppl/2016/03/09/1516271113.DCSupplemental">https://www.pnas.org/content/suppl/2016/03/09/1516271113.DCSupplemental</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Central Pacific</strong></td>
<td>Washington Island, Kiribati</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>−160.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>$\delta^1$H of total lipid extract</td>
<td></td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Sachs et al. (2009)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.nature.com/articles/ngeo554#Sec11">https://www.nature.com/articles/ngeo554#Sec11</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South Pacific</strong></td>
<td>Harai Lake #1, Rendova Island, Solomon Islands (SHAR1)</td>
<td>−8.6</td>
<td>157.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Dinosterol $\delta^1$H</td>
<td></td>
<td>185</td>
<td>Maloney (2018)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>South Pacific</strong></td>
<td>Apu Bay, Tahaa, French Polynesia</td>
<td>−16.7</td>
<td>−151.5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Marine sediment</td>
<td>Ti/Ca</td>
<td>C1, 33</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Toomey et al. (2016)</td>
<td><a href="https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/2015PA002870">https://agupubs.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/2015PA002870</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maritime Continent</strong></td>
<td>MD98-2181</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>125.8</td>
<td>−2114</td>
<td>Marine sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O and Mg/Ca (forams)</td>
<td>C1, 40</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Stott et al. (2004)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6236">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6236</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maritime Continent</strong></td>
<td>Bukit Assam Cave, Malaysian Borneo</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>115.0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Chen et al. (2016)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/19885">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/19885</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maritime Continent</strong></td>
<td>Snail Shell Cave, Malaysian Borneo (SSC01)</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>114.9</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C3, 12</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Carolin et al. (2016)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/19806">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/19806</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maritime Continent</strong></td>
<td>MD98-2176</td>
<td>−0.2</td>
<td>133.4</td>
<td>−2382</td>
<td>Marine sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O and Mg/Ca (forams)</td>
<td>C2, 41</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>Stott et al. (2004)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6236">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6236</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maritime Continent</strong></td>
<td>Makassar Strait, Indonesia (31MC, 34GGC)</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>119.5</td>
<td>−459 to −503</td>
<td>Marine sediment</td>
<td>$\delta^1$H C30 fatty acid</td>
<td>C2, 32</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>Tierney et al. (2010)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/10438">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/10438</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maritime Continent</strong></td>
<td>Makassar Strait, Indonesia (composite: 31MC, MD60, 34GGC, 32GGC)</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td>119.5</td>
<td>−454 to −503</td>
<td>Marine sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O and Mg/Ca (forams)</td>
<td>C1, 26</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Oppo et al. (2009)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8699">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8699</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maritime Continent</strong></td>
<td>Makassar Strait, Indonesia (MD9821-60)</td>
<td>−5.2</td>
<td>117.5</td>
<td>−1185</td>
<td>Marine sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O and Mg/Ca (forams)</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Newton et al. (2006)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5534">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5534</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maritime Continent</strong></td>
<td>Ranu Lamongan, East Java, Indonesia</td>
<td>−8.0</td>
<td>113.4</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Mo% Mg in calcite</td>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Crausby et al. (2006)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/22409">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/22409</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maritime Continent</strong></td>
<td>Lake Lading, SW Indonesia</td>
<td>−8.0</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>324</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>$\delta^1$H n-alkanoic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Koneckey et al. (2013)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/14129">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/14129</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Site name</td>
<td>Lat (deg N)</td>
<td>Lon (deg E)</td>
<td>Elevation (m)</td>
<td>Proxy archive</td>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>Cluster #, index #</td>
<td>Avg resolution (years)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Data set URL (if available)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime Continent</td>
<td>Lake Logung, eastern Java, Indonesia</td>
<td>−8.0</td>
<td>113.3</td>
<td>215</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>$\delta^{13}$C of organic material</td>
<td>C2, 28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Rodysill et al. (2012)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8632">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8632</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime Continent</td>
<td>Liang Luar Cave, Flores, Indonesia (splice: LR06-B1, LR06-B3)</td>
<td>−8.5</td>
<td>120.4</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C2, 16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Griffiths et al. (2009)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8632">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8632</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maritime Continent</td>
<td>MD98-2170</td>
<td>−10.6</td>
<td>125.4</td>
<td>−832</td>
<td>Marine sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O and Mg/Ca (forams)</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>Stott et al. (2004)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6236">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6236</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Cave KNI-51, Western Australia</td>
<td>−15.2</td>
<td>128.4</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C2, 16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Denniston et al. (2016)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/20530">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/20530</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Huangye Cave, northern China</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>105.1</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C3, 30</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Tan et al. (2010)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/11177">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/11177</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Jixian Cave, central China (C996-1)</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>109.1</td>
<td>1495</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C2, 11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cai et al. (2010)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/9742">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/9742</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Jixian Cave, central China (C996-2)</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>109.1</td>
<td>1495</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C2, 11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Cai et al. (2010)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/9742">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/9742</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Wuxiang Cave, central China</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>105.0</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C3, 37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Zhang et al. (2008)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8629">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8629</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Sanbao Cave, central China (sb43)</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>110.4</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C3, 37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dong et al. (2010)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/10445">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/10445</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Sanbao Cave, central China (sb26)</td>
<td>31.7</td>
<td>110.4</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C3, 37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Dong et al. (2010)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/10445">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/10445</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Heshing Cave, SW China</td>
<td>30.5</td>
<td>110.4</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C1, 19</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hu et al. (2008)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6095">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6095</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Dongge Cave, southern China (D-4)</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>108.1</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C1, 14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Dykoski et al. (2005)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5441">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5441</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Dongge Cave, southern China (DA)</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>108.1</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C1, 35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Wang et al. (2005)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5439">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5439</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Dongge Cave, southern China (DX1)</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>108.1</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C1, 35</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Zhao et al. (2015)</td>
<td>ftp.ncdc.noaa.gov/pub/data/paleo/speleothem/Asia/China/Dongge2015.xls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Xiang Yun Lake, China</td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>102.8</td>
<td>1721</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (authigenic)</td>
<td>C3, 18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hillman et al. (2014)</td>
<td><a href="http://ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/17515">http://ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/17515</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>South China Sea</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>117.4</td>
<td>−1727</td>
<td>Marine sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (diatoms)</td>
<td>C2, 36</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Wang et al. (1999)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/2598">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/2598</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Dandak Cave, India</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>82.0</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C2, 16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Berkelhammer et al. (2010)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8639">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8639</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Jhumar Cave, India</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>81.9</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C2, 16</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Sinha et al. (2011)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/11937">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/11937</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Site name</td>
<td>Lat (deg N)</td>
<td>Lon (deg E)</td>
<td>Elevation (m)</td>
<td>Proxy archive</td>
<td>Measurement</td>
<td>Cluster #, index #</td>
<td>Avg resolution (years)</td>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Data set URL (if available)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Cattle Pond, Dongdao Island, South China Sea (DY2)</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>112.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Sediment grain size</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Yan et al. (2011)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/11197">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/11197</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Cattle Pond, Dongdao Island, South China Sea (DY4)</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>112.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Sediment grain size</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>Yan et al. (2011)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/11197">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/11197</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South/East Asia</td>
<td>Cattle Pond, Dongdao Island, South China Sea (DY6)</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>112.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Sediment grain size</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Yan et al. (2011)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/11197">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/11197</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Lake Bosumtwi, Ghana</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>−1.4</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (authigenic)</td>
<td>C1, 29</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Shanahan et al. (2009)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8657">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8657</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Lake Edward, Uganda/Democratic Republic of the Congo</td>
<td>−0.4</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>% Mg in calcite</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Russell et al. (2007)</td>
<td><a href="https://figshare.com/articles/Proxy_data/4787575/1">https://figshare.com/articles/Proxy_data/4787575/1</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Dante Cave, Namibia</td>
<td>−19.4</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>Sletten et al. (2013)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/14268">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/14268</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Africa</td>
<td>Lake Sibaya, South Africa</td>
<td>−27.3</td>
<td>32.6</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>% Planktonic diatoms</td>
<td>C2, 39</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Stager et al. (2013)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/14629">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/14629</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>Tzabnah Cave, Yucatan, Mexico</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>−89.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (Cytheridella ilosvayi)</td>
<td>C1, 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Curtis et al. (2006)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5484">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5484</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>Lake Punta, Yucatan, Mexico</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>−87.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (Pyrgophorus coronatus)</td>
<td>C1, 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Curtis et al. (1996)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5484">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5484</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>Lake Punta, Yucatan, Mexico</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>−87.5</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (Pyrgophorus coronatus)</td>
<td>C1, 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>Curtis et al. (1996)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5484">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5484</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>Laguna de Juanacatlán, Mexico</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>−104.7</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Ti concentration</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Metcalfe et al. (2010)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/19768">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/19768</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>Lake Chichancanab, Yucatan, Mexico</td>
<td>19.9</td>
<td>−88.8</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Lake sediment density</td>
<td>C1, 3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hodell et al. (2008)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6108">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6108</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>Aguada X’caamal Lake, Yucatan, Mexico</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>−89.7</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (Pyrgophorus coronatus)</td>
<td>C3, 2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Hodell et al. (2005)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6107">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/6107</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>Lake Aljojuca, Mexico</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>−97.5</td>
<td>2376</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (authigenic)</td>
<td>C1, 8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>Bhattacharya et al. (2015)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/17735">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/17735</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>Juxtlahuaca Cave, Mexico</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>−99.2</td>
<td>934</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C2, 21</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Lachniet et al. (2012)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/12972">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/12972</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>Peten-Itza Lake, Guatemala</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>−89.8</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Magnetic susceptibility</td>
<td>C2, 15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Escobar et al. (2012)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/13675">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/13675</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1
Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Site name</th>
<th>Lat (deg N)</th>
<th>Lon (deg E)</th>
<th>Elevation (m)</th>
<th>Proxy archive</th>
<th>Measurement</th>
<th>Cluster #, index #</th>
<th>Avg resolution (years)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Data set URL (if available)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>Peten-Itza Lake, Guatemala</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>−89.8</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (Cochliopina sp.)</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>Curtis et al. (1998)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5482">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5482</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>Peten-Itza Lake, Guatemala</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>−89.8</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (Cytheridella ilosvayi)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Curtis et al. (1998)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5482">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5482</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>Peten-Itza Lake, Guatemala</td>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>−89.8</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (Pyrgophorus sp.)</td>
<td>C3, 5</td>
<td>Curtis et al. (1998)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5482">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5482</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesoamerica</td>
<td>El Gancho Lake, Nicaragua</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td>−85.9</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (ostrocod)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>Stansell et al. (2012)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/13195">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/13195</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Cariaco Basin</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>−65.2</td>
<td>−893</td>
<td>Marine sediment</td>
<td>Ti concentration</td>
<td>C3, 17</td>
<td>Haug et al. (2001)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/2560">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/2560</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Laguna de Ubaque, Colombia</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>−73.9</td>
<td>2070</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>% Lithics</td>
<td>C3, 10</td>
<td>Bird et al. (2017)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/22275">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/22275</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Laguna Pallcacocha, Ecuador</td>
<td>−2.8</td>
<td>−79.2</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Red color intensity</td>
<td>C2, 22</td>
<td>Moy et al. (2002)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5488">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5488</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Laguna Pallcacocha, Ecuador</td>
<td>−2.8</td>
<td>−79.2</td>
<td>4200</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Greyscale</td>
<td>C1, 27</td>
<td>Rodbell et al. (1999)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5487">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/5487</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Palestina Cave, Peru</td>
<td>−5.9</td>
<td>−77.4</td>
<td>870</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C2, 38</td>
<td>Apaéstegui et al. (2014)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/19301">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/19301</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>El Condor Cave, Peru</td>
<td>−5.9</td>
<td>−77.3</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Cheng et al. (2013)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/13836">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/13836</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Tigre Perdido Cave, Peru (NC-A)</td>
<td>−5.9</td>
<td>−77.3</td>
<td>960</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C2, 6</td>
<td>van Breukelen et al. (2008)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/9790">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/9790</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Cascayunga Cave, Peru</td>
<td>−6.1</td>
<td>−77.2</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Reuter et al. (2009)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8630">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/8630</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Lake Pumacocha, Peru</td>
<td>−10.7</td>
<td>−76.1</td>
<td>4300</td>
<td>Lake sediment</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O (authigenic)</td>
<td>C1, 9</td>
<td>Bird et al. (2011)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/11174">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/11174</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Huagapo Cave, Peru</td>
<td>−11.3</td>
<td>−75.8</td>
<td>3850</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C1, 20</td>
<td>Kanner et al. (2013)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/16405">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/16405</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Diva de Maura, Brazil</td>
<td>−12.4</td>
<td>−41.6</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C3, 24</td>
<td>Novello et al. (2012)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/13670">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/13670</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Quelccaya ice cap, Peru</td>
<td>−13.9</td>
<td>−70.8</td>
<td>5670</td>
<td>Glacial ice</td>
<td>Ice $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C2, 31</td>
<td>Thompson et al. (2013)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/14174">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/14174</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South America</td>
<td>Pau d’Alho Cave, Brazil</td>
<td>−15.2</td>
<td>−56.8</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>Speleothem</td>
<td>Carbonate $\delta^{18}$O</td>
<td>C1, 25</td>
<td>Novello et al. (2016)</td>
<td><a href="https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/20043">https://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/paleo/study/20043</a></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
which more than one data set was generated to reconstruct hydroclimate at a given site, all relevant data sets from that study were averaged together. Records with missing data in more than two 100-years windows across the 350–1950 CE period of analysis were excluded from the cluster analysis; records with missing data in ≤ 2 windows were linearly interpolated and extrapolated. The Sletten et al. (2013) speleothem δ¹⁸O record from Namibia and the Stansell et al. (2012) ostracod δ¹⁸O record from Nicaragua were omitted to improve the stability of the cluster analysis. After these adjustments, 41 unique records remained in the cluster analysis. Composite records for each cluster were calculated by averaging all binned data from each cluster. The 95% confidence intervals were calculated based on 1,000 iterations of bootstrap sampling with replacement.

### 2.2.2. Regional Composites

In regions of high data density, regional composites of the proxy data were constructed to identify the robust regional hydroclimate signals in the reconstructions (Figure 1). Within each of the selected regions, regional composites were constructed from the Z-scores of the 100-years binned proxy data. For studies that involved more than one data set to reconstruct hydroclimate at a given proxy site, the relevant data sets from that study were averaged together. Some records were omitted from the regional composites based on the original authors’ interpretation of those records. In particular, we omitted the records from Laguna Pallacocha in the Ecuadorian Andes from the South American composite as these records were interpreted by the authors as representing ENSO-driven episodes of alluvial deposition, in contrast to the other records from this region.

### 2.2.3. EOF/PC Analysis

Empirical Orthogonal Function (EOF)/Principle Component (PC) Analysis was employed to characterize the dominant modes of variability in both the proxy records and models and to compare the patterns of variability between the proxies and models. These analyses were conducted on the full tropical data set, as well as on selected regional subsets of the data. The record selection criteria matched that of the cluster analyses in Section 2.2.1. To facilitate data-model intercomparison, the proxy data were truncated between 850 and 1850 CE, converted to Z-scores, and binned into 100-years windows (as in the cluster analysis) before calculating the PCs and EOF loadings. For the model simulations, precipitation and surface air temperature data from the individual models and ensemble and multimodel means were binned into 100-years windows to mimic treatment of the proxy data and the EOFs and PCs were calculated from regions bounded by the subsets of proxy data.

### 2.2.4. Epoch Analysis

The proxy reconstructions were compared to climate model output during the LIA and MCA epochs by averaging all data points in each standardized proxy record across the LIA (1450–1850 CE) and MCA (950–1200 CE). An unpaired two-sample Student’s t-test was performed to assess the significance of the change between these time periods (with degrees of freedom equal to the number of data points in each epoch).

### 2.3. Model Simulations

We include two sets of model simulations in our analyses. The first set of simulations are six forced transient last millennium simulations that were performed in association with the Coupled Model Intercomparison Project Phase 5 (CMIP5)/Paleoclimate Intercomparison Project Phase 3 (PMIP3). These models are CCSM4 (Gent et al., 2011; Landrum et al., 2013); GISS-E2-R forcing ensemble members r1i1p121 and r1i1p124 (hereafter GISS 121 and GISS 124; Schmidt et al., 2006), which differ in their prescribed solar forcing, MPI-ESM-P (Marshall et al., 2003; Raddatz et al., 2007), CSIRO Mk3L version 1.2 (Phipps et al., 2012; Rotstayn et al., 2012), and HadCM3 (Collins et al., 2001; Pope et al., 2000; Schurer et al., 2013). The second set of simulations are from the Community Earth System Model (CESM) Last Millennium Ensemble (LME) version 1.1 (with version 5 of the Community Atmosphere Model; CAM5), consisting of a set of 13 experiments with all last millennium climate forcings included (orbital, volcanic, solar, and greenhouse gas), and the following single-forcing experiments: volcanic-only (n = 5), solar-only (n = 4), orbital-only (n = 3), greenhouse gas-only (n = 3), and land use only (n = 3), as detailed in Otto-Bliesner et al. (2016).
The prescribed forcings are described in Schmidt et al. (2011) and Otto-Bliesner et al. (2016); the volcanic and orbital forcings are also shown in Figures S1 and S2 of this study.

Two different reconstructions of volcanic aerosols and three different methods for implementing aerosols were used in the CMIP5/PMIP3 and CESM simulations analyzed in this study. The volcanic aerosol reconstructions were taken from either (a) the Gao et al. (2008) data set of stratospheric sulfate loading, where the loading is a function of month, latitude in 10 bands, and height from 9 to 30 km at 0.5-km resolution, or (b) the Crowley et al. (2008) data set of aerosol optical depth (AOD) (Schmidt et al., 2011). Substantial differences exist between the Gao et al. (2008) and Crowley et al. (2008) reconstructions with respect to the global mean and interhemispheric asymmetry of the aerosol forcing (Figure S1). The CCSM4 and CESM simulations prescribed sulfate loading from Gao et al. (2008), and stratospheric aerosols are prescribed in the models as a fixed single-size distribution in the three layers in the lower stratosphere above the tropopause (Landrum et al., 2013; Otto-Bliesner et al., 2016). The GISS 121, GISS 124, MPI, and HadCM3 simulations are forced by a prescribed aerosol effective radius and AOD from Crowley et al. (2008), which varies as a function of height and latitude in the atmosphere. In the CSIRO model, volcanic forcing was imposed as a globally averaged perturbation to total solar irradiance (TSI) that scales with the Crowley et al. (2008) data set of AOD (Masson-Delmotte, 2013).

2.4. Energy Budget Analysis

We quantified the hemispheric asymmetry of the forcings and feedbacks in the last millennium simulations during the LIA relative to the MCA by employing the Approximate Partial Radiative Perturbation (APRP) method (Taylor et al., 2007). The APRP method is based on a single-layer, shortwave radiative model of the atmosphere and has been successfully used to quantify the strength of climate forcings and feedbacks in a wide variety of paleoclimate, historical, and future climate simulations (e.g., Atwood et al., 2016; Crucifix, 2006; Hwang et al., 2013). In the APRP method, the influence of changes in surface albedo, shortwave absorption, and scattering on the TOA energy budget are diagnosed at every model grid cell using all-sky and clear-sky model output. Changes in the top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA) shortwave and longwave energy fluxes were decomposed into individual climate forcing and feedback terms as in Atwood et al. (2016), except that here the analysis is performed separately for each hemisphere. Energy fluxes are reported as NH minus SH, where negative terms indicate more NH energy loss (greater NH cooling) and positive terms indicate more SH energy loss (greater SH cooling). Radiation data for CSIRO and the CESM simulations were not available at the time of analysis and thus these simulations were omitted from the APRP analyses.

3. Results

3.1. Cluster Analysis of Proxy Records

The hierarchical cluster analysis indicates that the most common pattern, found in 41% of the tropical hydroclimate records analyzed, is a millennial-scale isotopic depletion trend beginning around 1000 CE and reversing around 1800 CE (Cluster 1 in Figures 2a and 2b), which generally tracks global temperature trends over the last millennium (Figure 3h; PAGES 2k Consortium, 2019). This cluster includes proxies from nearly every region represented in the proxy compilation (Figure 2c). We will demonstrate that this signal is not the dominant pattern in any regional compilation, however, and thus it likely does not reflect regional changes in precipitation. Instead, it may reflect global changes in the isotopic composition of water vapor (Falster et al., 2019), possibly driven by the cooling of tropical sea surface temperatures over the last millennium.

The next most common pattern, found in 37% of the records in the cluster analysis, including the majority of records from the Maritime Continent and the southwestern tropical South America (including the
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central Andes and the southern Amazon), is dominated by a drying (and/or isotopic enrichment) trend from 1600 CE to present (Cluster 2; Figures 2d and 2e). An opposing trend is observed in the third cluster, which contains 22% of the records, including those from northern and eastern South America, and parts of Mesoamerica and East Asia (Cluster 3; Figures 2f and 2g). In this cluster, the dominant pattern is drying (and/or isotopic enrichment) beginning ca. 1300 CE and peaking during the LIA around 1600–1700 CE, followed by a wetting trend to present.

3.2. Regional Composites of Proxy Records

When the records are compiled by region, several robust regionally coherent hydroclimate patterns emerge that provide more insight into the patterns found in the cluster analysis. From 800 to 1000 CE, encompassing the early part of the MCA, three lake records from two islands in the eastern equatorial Pacific indicate notably dry (and/or isotopically enriched) conditions relative to the average over the Common Era (Figure 3a), a pattern that is also found in half (5/10) of the records from Mesoamerica, especially those clustered in the northern part of the region (Figure 3b). The remaining 5/10 Mesoamerican records, which extend farther south, indicate a distinctly different centennial pattern, characterized by a steady drying (and/or isotopic enrichment) trend from 1200 CE to present (Figure 3c).

During the second half of the last millennium, records from the major monsoon systems of Asia and South America demonstrate antiphased behavior. In South and East Asia, nearly all records demonstrate anomalously dry (and/or isotopically enriched) conditions ca. 1400–1700 CE followed by a coherent wetting (and/or isotopic depletion) trend to present (Figure 3f). In contrast, nearly all records from the central Andes and Southern Amazon in South America indicate anomalously wet (and/or isotopically depleted) conditions ca. 1500–1700 CE, followed by a drying trend to the present (Figure 3e). During the LIA, the South American records are characterized by an opposing pattern between the southern/western sites and the northern/eastern sites: southwestern South America experienced a wetting (and/or isotopic depletion) trend from 1300 to 1600 CE while northern South America and Nordeste Brazil experienced a drying (and/or isotopic enrichment) trend (Figures 3d and 3e). From 1600 CE to present, northern South America and Nordeste Brazil remained anomalously dry while southwestern South America experienced a drying trend.
Figure 3. (a–g) Regional compilations of the tropical hydroclimate records, converted into Z-scores and averaged over 100-years bins. For all records, higher Z-scores indicate wetter conditions, based on interpretation in the original study (from Table 1). The average and standard deviation of all records in each region are depicted by the black line and shading, respectively. The maps indicate the location of the records, where the symbol denotes the type of proxy archive (circle = speleothem, triangle = lake, square = marine sediment, diamond = ice) and the color indicates whether the record is based on water isotopes (green) or not (orange with asterisk). The legend indicates the relevant reference for each record (see Table 1). Overlapping symbols have been slightly offset for visual clarity. (h) The reconstructed global mean temperature anomaly (relative to 1000–1200 CE) from PAGES 2k Consortium (2019) is shown in black, where the solid line is the 31-year low-pass filtered ensemble median, and the shading is the 2.5th and 97.5th percentiles of all reconstruction members; the Neukom et al. (2014) reconstructed interhemispheric (NH-SH) temperature difference is shown in blue (30-years loess-filtered ensemble mean). The averages over 100-years bins are also shown for both temperature reconstructions.
Hydroclimate records from the Maritime Continent generally oppose the hydroclimate patterns observed in Asia. Namely, the composite of marine records from the Maritime Continent indicates isotopically depleted precipitation and seawater (and by inference lower salinity) from 1500 to 1700 CE (Figure 3g), followed by an isotopic enrichment trend to present. The coherence is especially pronounced in the four marine records from the Makassar Strait and south of the Philippine Islands (Figure S3d). A single speleothem record from northern Australia reflects the Asian monsoon trends to some extent, with drier (and/or isotopically enriched) conditions ca. 1400–1600 CE, relative to much of the prior 500 years, followed by a wetting (and/or isotopic depletion) trend to present (Figure S3c). These features provide some evidence of coherence between the northern and southern margins of the Asian-Australian rain belt. However, while the LIA conditions in Asia are anomalous with respect to the average conditions of the Common Era, this is not the case for the northern Australia record. Additional hydroclimate records from northern Australia are needed to confirm the regional patterns in this area, as only a single record that spanned the last millennium was publicly available at the time of analysis.

3.3. Simulated Tropical Precipitation Changes Over the Last Millennium

To investigate the mechanisms of the robust hydroclimate changes inferred from the proxy records, including distinguishing between forced and unforced variability, we compared the reconstructions to a large suite of transient climate model simulations of the last millennium. The forced response of tropical rainfall is weak on centennial time scales in the models, as indicated by the small (<10%) LIA precipitation changes relative to the models' climatology in the CMIP5/PMIP3 multimodel mean (Figure 4b) and in the CESM ensemble mean (Figure 4d). The weak forced responses are due to large differences in tropical rainfall anomalies during the LIA across different models (Figure S4a) and across different ensemble members of the same model (CESM; S4b).

However, the precipitation changes in the CMIP5/PMIP3 multimodel average show several areas of broad agreement with the ensemble average changes in CESM (Figure 4), suggesting similar forced precipitation responses across models in these regions. For example, during the LIA relative to the MCA, nearly all CMIP5/PMIP3 models and all CESM ensemble members simulate large-scale drying in South and East Asia, drying over the Sahel, wetting in the equatorial Atlantic Ocean that extends to the northeastern edge of South America, drying along the southwestern edge of the South Pacific Convergence Zone, and large-scale drying of the midlatitudes and high latitudes (Figure S4). These features are qualitatively similar between the first and second half of the LIA, but are more pronounced in the second half of the LIA (Figure S5). The CESM single-forcing experiments indicate that these tropical rainfall changes are largely driven by orbital forcing in the models, with drying over Asia and wetting along the northeast coast of South America also driven by volcanic forcing (Figure 5). Further details of the tropical hydroclimate responses to orbital forcing are provided in the supporting information (Text S1). Notably, in tropical South America, the models do not simulate a strengthening of the South American Summer Monsoon (SASM) during the LIA, and few coherent rainfall changes occur over South America in the simulations during the height of the monsoon season in austral summer (DJF; Figures S6a and S6c).

In the tropical Pacific, the precipitation changes are notably different between the CESM ensemble average and CMIP5/PMIP3 multimodel average, tracking differences in the tropical cooling patterns in the two sets of model simulations over the last millennium (Figure S7). Namely, the CMIP5/PMIP3 multimodel mean precipitation response features a robust meridional pattern, with drying in the northern branch of the Pacific ITCZ, while the northern subtropical Pacific and southeastern Pacific become wetter, consistent with a weakened local Hadley circulation in this region (Figures 4 and S7a). This meridional rainfall pattern is consistent with the change in tropical surface temperatures which features enhanced cooling in the northern tropical Pacific, including under the northern branch of the Pacific ITCZ (Figure S7b). In contrast, the forced precipitation trend in the CESM simulations features an enhanced Pacific Walker Circulation, with drying in the central and eastern equatorial Pacific that extends all the way to the far western Pacific (Figures S7c and S7d). The internal variability in the Indo-Pacific is generally larger than the forced signal in CESM however (Figure S8), and thus the long-term strengthening of the Walker circulation is only weakly significant (see the lack of stippling in much of the Indo-Pacific in Figures 4c and 4d). The internal variability is characterized by centennial variations in the Walker Circulation with a node in the precipitation...
anomalies near the date line, while the forced response is characterized by a weak enhancement of the Walker Circulation with a drying trend that extends farther west and wetting in the Maritime Continent west of Papua New Guinea (Figure S8). The forced response in CESM is driven by a combination of forcings: orbital and volcanic forcing drive an enhanced Pacific Walker Circulation, while land use forcing drives drying in the far western Pacific (Figure 5). However, this tropical Pacific response to the last millennium forcings appears to be unique to CESM since none of the CMIP5/PMIP3 models simulate a strengthening of the Walker Circulation over the last millennium (Figures S4 and 6; though multiple ensemble members of the CMIP5/PMIP3 simulations would be required to identify the true forced response in those models). In CESM, the forced response and the large unforced centennial variations in the Walker Circulation may be related to stronger tropical Pacific ocean-atmosphere coupling in that model, as suggested by the larger interannual to centennial climate variability in the tropical Pacific in the CESM last millennium simulations as compared to the CMIP5/PMIP3 last millennium simulations (Figure S10). The magnitude of tropical Pacific climate variability varies widely across models, ranging from weak variability in the GISS and CSIRO last millennium simulations, in which the variance of Niño 3 SST anomalies is around 30% of that observed over the last 40 years, to large variability in CESM, in which the variance of Niño 3 SST anomalies is around 150% of that observed (Figure S11).

Figure 4. Multimodel mean change in precipitation during the Little Ice Age (LIA, 1450–1850 CE) relative to the Medieval Climate Anomaly (MCA). (a and b) ∆P in the six CMIP5/PMIP3 LM simulations in units of (a) mm/day and (b) percent, relative to mean annual precipitation during the MCA. (c and d) ∆P in the 13 all-forcing CESM LME simulations in units of (c) mm/day and (d) percent, relative to mean annual precipitation during the MCA. Unfilled contours are mean annual precipitation during the MCA (with contours at 4 and 8 mm/day). Stippling indicates where ≥83% of the models agree on the sign of the change (5/6 models in panels a, b and 11/13 simulations in panels c, d). The markers indicate the paleo-hydroclimate records in Table 1, where the type of symbol denotes the proxy archive (circle = speleothem, triangle = lake sediment, square = marine sediment, diamond = ice), the size of the marker scales with log of the difference in Z-scores, and the color represents the sign of the inferred precipitation change (blue = positive/wetter, red = negative/drier, gray = no significant change) during the LIA relative to the MCA, based on an unpaired two-sample Student's t-test.
3.4. Data-Model Intercomparison

Point-to-point comparisons between the proxy data and models are generally not meaningful because of the structural uncertainties in simulated precipitation climatology associated with the coarse spatial resolution and large tropical mean state biases in the models (e.g., PAGES Hydro2k Consortium, 2017). In addition, most of the proxy records included in this analysis are based on water isotopes, hence they record regional, as well as local, hydroclimate variations. For these reasons, we focus on comparing the proxy records to simulated precipitation over a regional scale.

The simulations highlight three large-scale hydroclimate features that are worthy of consideration when interpreting the proxy records. The first is the large-scale drying pattern over South and East Asia from the MCA to LIA, which appears in both the proxies and models (Figure 4) and is driven by volcanic and orbital forcing in CESM (Figure 5). Importantly, however, the temporal evolution of the drying trend from the LIA to present is notably different between the models and proxies; this distinction becomes more evident when an EOF analysis is performed only on the Asian records and precipitation fields (Figure S12). The proxy data (comprised of Asian speleothem and lake sediment records) features a coherent drying trend that peaks around 1600 CE, followed by a reversal (wetting trend) through 1850 CE (Figure S12a). In contrast, the models, particularly the CMIP5/PMIP3 models, are characterized by a persistent drying trend over Asia through the last millennium and enhanced drying from 1600 to 1850 CE (Figures S12b and S12c).

A second large-scale hydroclimate feature in the models that may be relevant to the proxy reconstructions is increased rainfall in the South American monsoon entrance region, upstream of the SASM. The proxy records in the central Andes and southern Amazon indicate isotopically depleted conditions ca. 1500–1700 CE, consistent with a greater degree of upstream rainout (Vuille & Werner, 2005). Contrary to previous interpretations of the South American proxy records (e.g. Vuille et al., 2012), there is no indication of enhanced SASM activity in the models, however, precipitation increases in the equatorial Atlantic and extends into the monsoon entrance region in nearly all models (Figures 4 and S4), driven by orbital and volcanic forcing in CESM (Figure 5). Under orbital forcing, the increase in equatorial Atlantic rainfall occurs alongside a contraction in the Atlantic ITCZ (i.e., a reduced seasonal migration of the Atlantic ITCZ), which we discuss in more detail in Section 4.3.3.
The third large-scale hydroclimate feature is shared between the proxy data and select CESM simulations. This feature is characterized by wetter LIA conditions in the Maritime Continent coupled with dry conditions in the central tropical Pacific (Figures 4 and S4). In CESM, this feature is associated with an enhanced Pacific Walker Circulation and occurs in 8/13 ensemble members (Figure S4; Figure S8) and also occurs in the ensemble mean response (Figure 4). In the proxies, evidence of this feature exists in the Maritime Continent records and in the single central Pacific record; but is notably lacking in the eastern equatorial Pacific records where there is pronounced data-model mismatch (the three records from the Galápagos Islands indicate wetter conditions during the LIA, while the models indicate drying or no change; Figures 4 and 3a). Thus, additional hydroclimate records from the Pacific cold tongue are needed to support any inference of a change in the Pacific Walker Circulation during the LIA.

3.5. Small but Robust Zonally Averaged Tropical Rainfall Response in Models

Despite large differences in regional precipitation across models, some coherence is observed in the zonally averaged context: all models demonstrate a small zonal mean southward shift in tropical precipitation (20°S:20°N) during the LIA relative to the MCA (Figure 7). The change in the precipitation asymmetry (∆P_NH-SH: the precipitation averaged between 0°N and 20°N minus 0 and 20°S) is small (≤0.3 mm/day), representing a −15% to +10% change in precipitation asymmetry across models. To put the magnitude of these changes into context, the multimodel mean change in the tropical precipitation centroid (defined as the median of tropical precipitation from 0°N to 20°N) is −0.03° latitude in the last millennium simulations (data not shown), which is 6% as large as the −0.53° multimodel mean shift in the PMIP2 and PMIP3 Last Glacial Maximum simulations and 1% as large as the −2.48° shift in 1 Sv North Atlantic meltwater simulations (Atwood et al., 2020).

This robust zonal mean precipitation shift occurs in association with anomalous northward cross-equatorial atmospheric energy transport in all models that is primarily driven by volcanic forcing (i.e., greater volcanic aerosol loading in the northern hemisphere) and land use changes (i.e., cropland expansion in Eurasia), and is amplified by the surface albedo feedback (e.g., through the growth of Arctic sea ice in response to orbital forcing) and longwave feedbacks (Figure S13). While these zonal mean changes are small (∆P_NH-SH ≤ 0.3 mm/day; ∆AHT Φ=0 < 0.2 W/m²), they demonstrate a perceivable influence of the hemispherically asymmetric forcings (namely volcanic forcing and Eurasian cropland expansion) and feedbacks (e.g., the surface albedo feedback) on the zonal mean tropical precipitation response in the models. Additional details of the energy budget analysis can be found in the supporting information (Text S2).

The fact that the zonal mean precipitation changes are fairly consistent across models indicates that the net hemispheric asymmetry in the forcings and feedbacks are generally consistent across models and also indicates that the zonal mean precipitation response is less sensitive to structural uncertainties in the models than the regional rainfall responses are. However, the zonal mean rainfall changes are clearly irrelevant to our analysis of regional rainfall patterns, since they are too small to be of consequence for interpreting the
changes seen in the proxy records and since zonal mean changes have little bearing on regional rainfall patterns (e.g., Atwood et al., 2020).

4. Discussion

Three robust signatures of tropical hydroclimate change over the Common Era have emerged from our analyses of the centennial patterns in the proxy records. These are: (a) a pan-tropical isotopic depletion trend in the water isotope-based records over the past millennium (Cluster 1), (b) anomalously dry conditions in the eastern tropical Pacific Ocean and Mesoamerica between 800 and 1000 CE, and (c) a range of widespread hydroclimate anomalies across the tropics from 1400 to 1700 CE, including drier and/or isotopically enriched conditions in South and East Asia, wetter and/or isotopically depleted marine conditions in the Maritime Continent, and a dipole pattern in South American hydroclimate. We discuss each of these robust regional patterns in more detail below.

4.1. Isotopic Depletion Trend in Pan-Tropical Records Over the Last Millennium

The dominant pattern of centennial hydroclimate variability, found in 41% of the tropical hydroclimate records analyzed (14 out of 17 of which are based on water isotopes) and appearing in nearly every region of the tropics represented in the proxy compilation, is an isotopic depletion trend beginning around 1000 CE and reversing around 1800 CE (Figures 2a–2c) (Falster et al., 2019), which tracks global temperature trends over the Common Era (Figure 3h; PAGES 2k Consortium, 2013, 2019). In model simulations, global cooling of 0.2°C–0.3°C over the last millennium (Figure S14a) is accompanied by a perceptible but very weak decrease in tropical area-averaged precipitation (Figure S14b; $\Delta P_{\text{NH,SH}}$ averaged over the 13-member CESM last millennium ensemble is shown by the dashed horizontal line (the radiation data needed to calculate $\Delta AHT_{\phi=0}$ was not obtained for the CESM LME)). However, the magnitude of these precipitation changes would be far too small to be detectable in nonwater isotope-based proxy archives (which indirectly reflect changes in precipitation), or in water isotope-based records via the amount effect. Water isotope tracers, however, are sensitive to both precipitation and evaporative fluxes (the latter of which supply the atmospheric water vapor reservoir from which all precipitation is derived). Thus, the millennial-scale isotopic depletion trend observed in many of the proxy records is likely not associated with changes in precipitation, but rather with decreased evaporation rates (and increased fractionation during evaporation) under cooler tropical sea surface temperatures (Risi, Bony, Vimeux, & Jouzel, 2010). This hypothesis is supported by the models’ robust pan-tropical cooling trend over the last millennium (Figures S8 and S9) and is currently being explored using model simulations with water isotope tracers (Falster et al., 2019). The response of the global water cycle to cooling over the last millennium—and how those changes are recorded in water isotope tracers—is worthy of further investigation using such tools.

4.2. Dry Conditions in the Eastern Pacific and Mesoamerica ~ 800–1000 CE

One of the robust regional hydroclimate signals to emerge from our analysis is the pronounced drying event from 800 to 1000 CE recorded in a series of lake sediment records from the Galápagos Islands in the eastern equatorial Pacific (Figure 3a; 1°S, 90°–91°W) and in many lake and speleothem records from Mesoamerica (Figure 3b). This signal is evident in a variety of different types of paleo-hydroclimate archives, including minerology and water isotope-based records from lake sediments as well as speleothem records, suggesting a common mechanism of regional precipitation changes in these regions. This event is apparent in the regional composites from the eastern equatorial Pacific (Figure 3a) and northern Mesoamerica (Figure 3b) as well as in the cluster analysis (Figures 2a–2c). Evidence of a pronounced drying event during this interval has also been found in a sediment mineralogy record from a brackish lake in Kiritimati Atoll in the central equatorial Pacific (Higley et al., 2018).
A period of extended drought across Mesoamerica from 800 to 1050 CE has been inferred in earlier studies and implicated in major social disruptions in pre-Columbian Mesoamerican civilizations (e.g., Bhattacharya et al., 2017; Hodell et al., 2005). The consistency in timing between the dry intervals in Mesoamerica and the tropical Pacific cold tongue is suggestive of a dynamical linkage between the eastern Pacific climatology and the Mesoamerican monsoon during this time.

4.3. Widespread Tropical Hydroclimate Changes During the LIA ~1400–1700 CE

The second half of the last millennium is characterized by a set of widespread, nearly synchronous hydroclimate changes throughout the tropics from 1400 to 1700 CE, including: (a) isotopically enriched (drier) conditions in South and East Asia, (b) isotopically depleted (fresher) surface ocean conditions in the Maritime Continent, and (c) a dipole pattern in tropical South America. We investigate each of these regional signals and discuss their possible interpretations, below.

4.3.1. Dry/Isotopically Enriched Conditions in South and East Asia

Hydroclimate reconstructions from South and East Asia generally indicate isotopically enriched (drier) conditions from 1400 to 1700 CE relative to present and to the background conditions of the Common Era, followed by progressively depleted (wetter) conditions to present (Figure 3f). LIA drying in this region is also apparent in the LIA-MCA epoch analysis (Figure 4), and in the EOF/PC analysis (Figure S12a). Because all of the proxy records from Asia are water isotope records (10 speleothem δ18O records and one lake carbonate δ18O record) (Figure 3f), which integrate climate information on large spatial scales, the widespread signature of isotopic enrichment in these records likely reflects reduced upstream rainout associated with a weakened South, and possibly East Asian, monsoon during the LIA.

This interpretation is consistent with past studies that have characterized the LIA as a time of weakened South and East Asian summer monsoon strength, marked by a series of monsoon droughts in India, China, and Southeast Asia (Sinha et al., 2011; Zhang et al., 2008). Proposed mechanisms for the weakened monsoons include widespread extratropical NH cooling that drove a southward shift of the rain belt in the Indian Ocean (Sinha et al., 2011) and reduced land-sea temperature contrast between Asia and the tropical Indo-Pacific (Zhang et al., 2008). We do indeed see weakening of the South and East Asian monsoons over the last millennium in the models under enhanced cooling over land, particularly in the NH, that is driven by orbital and volcanic forcing (Figures 4, 5, and S7). However, the temporal pattern of the changes is notably different between the reconstructions and models. In the reconstructions, aridity in the Asian records peaks around 1600 CE, followed by a wetting trend to the present era, while in the models, the drying trend extends to at least 1800 CE (Figure S12). Thus, though the models indicate that the weakening of the Asian monsoons during the LIA may have been driven in part by orbital and/or volcanic forcing, there are clear limitations in the models’ ability to capture this important feature of tropical hydroclimate change over the last millennium.

4.3.2. Wet/Isotopically Depleted Conditions in the Maritime Continent

Further evidence that the period from 1400 to 1700 CE was characterized by widespread tropical hydroclimate changes comes from the Maritime Continent. In this region, marine records generally indicate isotopically depleted precipitation and surface ocean conditions around 1400–1700 CE, relative to present and to the background conditions over the Common Era (Figure 3g). One of the six marine records is a hydrogen isotope leaf wax record from the West Sulawesi margin, which is a proxy for the isotopic composition of precipitation in the region (Tierney et al., 2010). The remaining records are seawater δ18O reconstructions based on δ18O and Mg/Ca ratios in planktonic foraminifera. Four out of the six marine records from the Maritime Continent demonstrate especially strong coherence over the last millennium, characterized by a steady trend to more depleted (fresher/wetter) conditions from 1200 to 1700 CE, followed by a drying trend to present (Figure S3d). That this pattern is not shared by all marine records in this region is somewhat expected given the complexity of the surface ocean circulation in the Indonesian Archipelago. The inferred freshening across a broad sector of the Maritime Continent generally opposes the drying pattern in the South and East Asian records (to the north of the Maritime Continent) (Figure 3f) and also somewhat opposes the weak drying pattern in the single record from northern Australia (to the south of the Maritime Continent) (Figure S3c).
Notably, the reconstructed hydroclimate trends in the Maritime Continent are sensitive to the type of proxy archive (Figure S3); namely, this LIA signal is absent in many terrestrial records from the region, including two out of three lake sediment records (Figure S3e) and three out of three speleothem records (Figure S3f) from Indonesia and Borneo. These differences suggest that large-scale changes in moisture balance in the region may have been integrated into a regionally coherent seawater δ¹⁸O signal, while terrestrial records reflect more localized precipitation changes and/or changes in moisture source and trajectories (e.g., Carolin et al., 2013). This interpretation is supported by the fact that there is considerable spatial heterogeneity in rainfall throughout the Indonesian archipelago, both in the annual mean and seasonal rainfall (As-syakur et al., 2013), which has been attributed to a complex interplay between the ocean, islands, topography, and the multitude of regional atmospheric circulation patterns in this region. Given this complexity, the marine reconstructions from the Maritime Continent offer a valuable set of additional hydroclimate constraints and provide robust evidence for coherent hydroclimate changes in this region during the LIA.

4.3.3. Dipole Pattern in South American Hydroclimate

The final piece of evidence that the LIA was marked by widespread changes in tropical hydroclimate comes from tropical South America, where the reconstructions show a distinct dipole pattern between the southwestern and northeastern sites between the LIA and MCA (Figure 4). Records from the central Andes and the southern Amazon generally indicate wet (and/or isotopically depleted) conditions from 1400 to 1700 CE, followed by a pronounced drying trend to the present (Figure 3e), while records from northern South America and Nordeste Brazil display a coherent drying (and/or isotopic enrichment) trend from 1300 to 1700 CE, followed by persistently dry conditions (Figure 3d). This dipole pattern is also apparent in the cluster analysis (Figures 2d–2g), the epoch analysis of the LIA versus MCA (Figure 4), and the EOF/PC analysis (Figure 6a).

Because all nine proxy records in the southwestern composite are water isotope records (Figure 3e), which integrate climate information over large spatial scales, the widespread signature of isotopic depletion in these records during the LIA is likely reflective of increased upstream rainout (either under enhanced SASM activity or increased rainfall in the monsoon entrance region), rather than changes in local rainfall amount (Vuille et al., 2012). The model results presented here do not support enhanced rainfall over the Amazon basin as the cause of the LIA isotopic depletion pattern in the central Andes and southern Amazon. Instead, they support upstream rainout in the monsoon entrance region in northeastern South America, as has also been found in isotope-enabled simulations with CESM (Orrison & Vuille, 2020).

Another possibility is that precipitation changes in tropical South America were caused by changes in tropical Pacific SSTs. However, if ENSO is the template, then the hydroclimate anomalies in northern South America and the Nordeste should be in phase with those in the central Andes, e.g., the warm phase of ENSO causes a drying in far northern South America and the Nordeste (Davey et al., 2014) as well as drying and isotopic enrichment in the central Andes and Amazon basin (Vuille & Werner, 2005), which is inconsistent with the proxy data.

An alternative explanation of the tropical South American records during the LIA is a southward shifted Atlantic ITCZ, as the ITCZ serves as the major conduit for moisture transport in the SASM region and responds sensitively to changes in the cross-equatorial SST gradient (Chiang, 2009; Chiang et al., 2002). There is ample evidence showing covariation in the Atlantic ITCZ and isotopic variations in the central Andes. For example, during the millennial-scale warm and cold events of the last ice age (i.e., Dansgaard-Oeschger oscillations) shifts of the Atlantic ITCZ, inferred from reflectance records from the Cariaco Basin (Deplazes et al., 2013), closely paralleled changes in the strength of the SASM (inferred from speleothem δ¹⁸O records from the central Andes (Kanner et al., 2012)). However, the paleoclimate data from Nordeste Brazil are difficult to reconcile with the interpretation of a meridional shift of the Atlantic ITCZ during the LIA under northern tropical Atlantic cooling (Novello et al., 2012), as we would expect to see a strong antiphased relationship between the northern sites and Nordeste Brazil in response to a meridional shift of the ITCZ, when in fact we see the opposite.

A close in-phase relationship between hydroclimate records from the Cariaco Basin and Nordeste Brazil, such as observed over the last millennium, has also been documented from the last glacial period through the Holocene (Cruz et al., 2009; Novello et al., 2012). In those studies, the authors theorized that during
times of enhanced SASM activity, intense convection in the Amazon basin produces compensating large-scale subsidence in surrounding regions (more specifically, enhanced convective heating in the southwest Amazon intensifies the Bolivian High-Nordeste Low pressure system, which leads to increased subsidence and decreased summer precipitation over northeast Brazil) (Lenters & Cook, 1997; Novello et al., 2012).

We present a plausible alternative interpretation of the covariation between the northern South America and Nordeste Brazil records, namely that these records depict contractions and expansions of the Atlantic ITCZ, driven by coordinated changes in the northward and southward migrations of the Atlantic Ocean rain belt through the year. The Cariaco Basin sits beneath the northward extent of the western Atlantic ITCZ (Figure 1; Deplazes et al., 2013), while a substantial fraction of annual rainfall in Nordeste Brazil occurs when the Atlantic ITCZ is at its southernmost position between March and May (Novello et al., 2012), thus rainfall in these regions should be sensitive to changes in the northward and southward seasonal extremes of the ITCZ, respectively.

Indeed, recent modeling studies have indicated that the ocean rain bands expand and contract in response to changes in the interhemispheric temperature gradient under precessional forcing (Singarayer et al., 2017). The mechanism of the expansion/contraction in the models relates to the differential response of the hemispheres to insolation forcing. Since 1000 CE, insolation has decreased in boreal summer/fall when the Atlantic ITCZ is farthest north (Figure S2), and thus the ITCZ is not pulled as far away from the equator today compared to 1000 CE. In boreal winter/spring, when the ITCZ is farthest south, insolation has increased, and because it does so in both the northern and southern hemispheres, although the southern hemisphere warms, the northern hemisphere warms more because of the greater proportion of land there. Consequently, the boreal winter ITCZ shifts less far south in response. If these principles prevailed over the last millennium, as boreal summer/fall insolation decreased and boreal winter/spring insolation increased (Figure S2), the annual mean Atlantic ITCZ would be expected to contract, consistent with the drier conditions observed in both the Cariaco Basin and Nordeste Brazil (Figure 3d).

Additional support for this hypothesis is found in the early Holocene, when hydroclimate records indicate wetter conditions in the Cariaco Basin and Nordeste Brazil in the early Holocene relative to today (Cruz et al., 2009). During the early Holocene, boreal summer insolation was higher and boreal winter insolation was lower than present, and thus the boreal summer ITCZ would be expected to shift farther north and the boreal winter ITCZ would be expected to shift farther south, resulting in an expansion of the ITCZ, which is consistent with the wetter conditions recorded in both the Cariaco Basin and Nordeste Brazil during this time (Cruz et al., 2009). In model simulations, this behavior of the Atlantic ITCZ has been documented in time slice simulations of the last glacial cycle with the HadCM3 coupled climate model (Singarayer et al., 2017). In the present study, we see this behavior as a contraction of the Atlantic ITCZ over the last millennium in both the full forcing and orbital-only simulations with CESM (Figures 4, 5, and S4b), as well as in a number of the CMIP5/PMIP3 simulations (Figure S4a). The opposing seasonal rain band shifts are clear in the solstice seasons of all models, i.e., a northward shift in DJF and southward shift in JJA (see S6 for the ensemble means; individual models not shown), though they are more muted in the annual mean response of the CMIP5/PMIP3 models (Figure S4a).

While the simulated drying trend along the northern and southern edges of the Atlantic ITCZ does not extend over the South American continent in most models, the large spatial scale of this hydroclimate feature warrants serious consideration in interpreting the proxy reconstructions, particularly given the large uncertainties associated with the coarse spatial resolution and large tropical mean state biases in the models (PAGES Hydro2k Consortium, 2017). The clear covariation of paleo-hydroclimate records from northern South America and Nordeste Brazil over the precessional cycle and during the last millennium, in concert with the simulated contraction/expansion of the Atlantic ITCZ in response to precessional forcing in a diverse array of models, provides compelling evidence that a contraction of the Atlantic ITCZ is a plausible mechanism of the observed hydroclimate changes in northern South America and Nordeste Brazil over the last millennium. Notably, the central Andes and southern Amazon records more closely track the Asian monsoon records (with an antiphase relationship) than they do the records from northern South America and Nordeste Brazil, especially during the reversal of the LIA hydroclimate trends around 1600 CE, suggesting that the tropical Atlantic rain band evolved somewhat differently from rainfall in monsoon regions over the last millennium.
4.3.4. Correspondence Between the Tropical Hydroclimate and Temperature Changes

As discussed above, the period from 1400 to 1700 CE stands out as a time of pronounced tropical hydroclimate change across the tropics. These changes include drier (and/or isotopically enriched conditions) in South and East Asia, wetter (and/or isotopically depleted) conditions in the central Andes and southern Amazon, and fresher (and/or isotopically depleted) conditions in the Maritime Continent. Previous studies have highlighted the general correspondence between these hydroclimate changes and changes in the large-scale (global or northern hemisphere mean) temperature or changes in the interhemispheric temperature gradient (e.g., Sinha et al., 2011; Vuille et al., 2012; Yan et al., 2011). However, we find notable dissimilarities between the timing of these hydroclimate changes and the evolution of global mean temperature over the last millennium based on recent temperature reconstructions (Figure 3h; PAGES 2k Consortium, 2019). In particular, the hydroclimate composites from Asia (Figure 3f), southwestern South America (Figure 3e), and the Maritime Continent (Figure 3g) all indicate a reversal in the LIA hydroclimate trends around 1600–1700 CE (Figures 3e–3g, S12a, and S3d), while the reversal of global cooling occurs substantially later, around 1800 CE, in the ensemble median of the PAGES 2k Consortium (2019) temperature reconstructions (Figure 3h). There is substantial uncertainty across the statistical methods used to reconstruct global mean surface temperature, however, and one of the seven reconstruction methods (the Bayesian hierarchical model) indicates an early termination to the LIA around 1600–1700 CE, mirroring the pattern observed in the hydroclimate reconstructions.

In the proxy records, the reversal of the LIA hydroclimate trends around 1600–1700 CE is remarkably consistent across the regional composites of South and East Asia, South America, and the Maritime Continent, and is also reflected in Clusters 2 and 3 from the cluster analysis (Figures 2d and 2f). While the age model uncertainty in the reconstructions must be taken into account (particularly in the 14C-dated marine and lake sediment records with few age control points; Table S1), the Asian and South American composites are dominated by well-dated speleothem records, and thus the synchronicity of this feature across a wide range of proxy archives from different regions of the tropics supports the inference of a widespread reversal in LIA hydroclimate trends around 1600–1700 CE.

The fact that Cluster 1 from the hydroclimate records tracks the reconstructed global temperature trends over the last millennium is consistent with our interpretation of this cluster as reflecting reduced evaporation rates under pan-tropical cooling (Falster et al., 2019). That the reconstructed regional hydroclimate anomalies do not track the global temperature trends is perhaps unsurprising, given that changes in tropical rainfall patterns would be expected to track the interhemispheric temperature gradient and/or regional temperature patterns more closely than global temperature trends. Recent reconstructions of the interhemispheric temperature gradient (Neukom et al., 2014) do indeed mirror the hydroclimate trends from 1400 CE to present, however the interhemispheric gradient diverges from the hydroclimate trends earlier in the millennium, e.g., during the temperature gradient minimum around 1200–1300 CE (Figure 3h). These discrepancies may reflect uncertainty in the reconstructions, or they may indicate that the regional hydroclimate anomalies during the LIA were not simply related to changes in the interhemispheric temperature gradient. Regardless, it is clear that more work needs to be done to understand the mechanisms of the widespread tropical hydroclimate changes around 1400–1700 CE. A targeted assessment of the regional temperature changes in the tropics over the last millennium would help better constrain the mechanisms.

4.4. Reevaluation of Tropical Pacific Climate Changes Over the Last Millennium

We now reexamine a number of longstanding hypotheses about the nature of tropical Pacific hydroclimate changes during the LIA. These hypotheses include: (a) a southward shift of the mean annual position of the Pacific ITCZ (Nelson & Sachs, 2016; Richey & Sachs, 2016; Sachs et al., 2009), (b) an equatorward contraction of the western Pacific and Asian-Australian rain band (Denniston et al., 2016; Griffiths et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2015), and (c) a strengthened Pacific Walker Circulation (Griffiths et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2015). We briefly evaluate the evidence for each and highlight the areas where additional corroboration is needed.
4.4.1. A Large-Scale Southward Shift of the Pacific ITCZ During the LIA?

A number of previous studies have postulated that a coordinated, large-scale southward shift of the ITCZ occurred during the relatively cool epoch of the LIA, arguing that this interpretation is consistent with dynamical theory that links the zonal mean ITCZ latitude to the interhemispheric energy budget and temperature gradient (Broecker & Putnam, 2013; Lechleitner et al., 2017; Nelson & Sachs, 2016; Richey & Sachs, 2016; Sachs et al., 2009). This theory has been invoked in the inference of a southward shift of both the Pacific rain band (Sachs et al., 2009) and Atlantic rain band (Haug et al., 2001) during the LIA.

We do not find broad support for a large-scale southward shift of the Pacific ITCZ during the LIA. First, no clear evidence of a large-scale southward shift in the Pacific rain band is observed when all available records from the central and eastern Pacific (where the Pacific ITCZ is well-defined) are compiled. While an abrupt transition to arid conditions around 1450 CE is clear in the Washington Island record from the central Pacific (Sachs et al., 2009; Figures 3a and S3b), given its location under the southern margin of the Pacific ITCZ in the present climate, dry conditions at that location would be more likely to be associated with a northerly shift of the Pacific ITCZ (Figure 1), as occurs in association with an enhanced Pacific Walker Circulation during La Niña events. Furthermore, there is also no synchronous shift in the eastern equatorial Pacific records around this time (Figure 3a), which lie just south of the southern extent of the eastern Pacific rain band (Figure 1), and thus would be expected to experience wetter conditions under a southward shift of the Pacific ITCZ. Second, the theoretical framework for a southward shift of the Pacific ITCZ during the LIA is lacking. Comprehensive climate models indicate that large-scale shifts in the tropical rain bands occur only under strong hemispheric asymmetry in radiative forcing, and even in those cases, the tropical Pacific rain band does not shift uniformly (e.g., Atwood et al., 2020). Ultimately, robust conclusions regarding changes in the Pacific ITCZ during the LIA will require more proxy data from the central and eastern Pacific, where the Pacific ITCZ is well-defined. Modern process studies would also help refine the interpretation of some existing records. For example, while all records from the Galápagos Islands demonstrate pronounced drying from 800–1000 CE, the records show less consistency in the latter part of the last millennium (Figure 3a) with the highland lake records (Atwood & Sachs, 2014; Conroy et al., 2008) agreeing with one another but showing distinct behavior from the coastal lake records (Nelson & Sachs, 2016). Ongoing efforts to develop additional hydroclimate reconstructions from the Galápagos Islands and calibrate proxy data against modern instrumental data should yield important insights in this data-sparse region of the tropical Pacific (e.g., Martin et al., 2018).

In summary, the evidence does not support a large-scale southward shift of the Pacific ITCZ during the LIA. As additional paleoclimate records are developed from the central and eastern tropical Pacific, all viable mechanisms of decadal rainfall variations in this region should be considered in the interpretation of the records, including changes in the width, intensity, and seasonal cycle of the Pacific rain band (Bartos et al., 2018; Byrne et al., 2018; Singarayer et al., 2017; Wodzicki & Rapp, 2016), as well as changes in the variability and spatial characteristics of the El Niño/Southern Oscillation.

4.4.2. Strengthened Pacific Walker Circulation During the LIA?

Other studies have compiled various hydroclimate records from the tropical Pacific and surrounding regions to infer a strengthened Pacific Walker Circulation during the LIA relative to the MCA (Griffiths et al., 2016; Yan et al., 2011; Yan et al., 2015). The marine records from the Maritime Continent indicating isotopically depleted (fresher) conditions during the LIA generally support this interpretation (Figure 3g), as does the Washington Island record in the central tropical Pacific, which indicates an abrupt shift to drier conditions around 1450 CE (Figures 3a and S3b). However, there is no evidence of drier conditions in the eastern equatorial Pacific in association with a strengthened Pacific Walker Circulation during the LIA (Figure 3a). This apparent discrepancy may be explained by the fact that the Galápagos Islands are situated in the already dry zone of the Pacific cold tongue and thus may experience little change in average rainfall under an enhanced Walker Circulation. The available data thus supports LIA freshening in parts of the Maritime Continent, with a possible linkage to central Pacific drying (based on a single record), but with no clear connection to the eastern Pacific. We therefore conclude that until further paleoclimate records are developed from the tropical Pacific, inferences of a strengthened Pacific Walker Circulation during the LIA remain speculative. Additional proxy sites near the Pacific rain bands could be especially helpful in this regard, as the rain bands...
would be expected to expand poleward (equatorward) under an enhanced (weakened) Pacific Walker Circulation as occurs in association with the El Niño/Southern Oscillation (Wallace et al., 1998).

4.4.3. Contraction of the Monsoonal Asian-Australian Rain Belt During the LIA?

Another hypothesized large-scale reorganization in tropical climate during the LIA has been proposed based on proxy data from the western edge of the Pacific basin. Various compilations of records from the Maritime Continent, Australia, and East Asia have been interpreted as representing an equatorward contraction of rainfall at various periods within the LIA (ranging from 1400 to 1640 CE (Denniston et al., 2016), 1400 to 1850 CE (Yan et al., 2015), and 1500 to 1900 CE [Griffiths et al., 2016]). Our findings of drying in Asia and wetting in the Maritime Continent near the equator generally align with these prior studies, though there are some aspects of this interpretation that remain tenuous due to limited data availability. In particular, support for an equatorward contraction and/or weakening of the Australian monsoon during the LIA presented in Denniston et al. (2016) and Griffiths et al. (2016) rely on a single record from the Australian monsoon region (Denniston et al., 2016). Our analyses indicate that this record is consistent with a modest weakening of the Australian monsoon from 1400 to 1600 CE followed by a pronounced intensification to the modern era, though notably the LIA conditions are not anomalous with respect to background conditions of the Common Era (Figure S3c). Although eight records from the southern edge of the Australian Summer Monsoon were presented in the analysis of Yan et al. (2015), only three of these records spanned the last millennium, and of these, only one record (Denniston et al., 2016) was publicly available and thus used in our analysis. In addition, in the analyses presented in Yan et al. (2015), the reference period varied between the MCA and the last 150 years for different records in their compilation, depending on record length. We see large differences between the MCA and the last 200 years in many records in our analysis, and thus we strongly advocate for a standardized treatment across all proxy records in future studies so that the trends over the last few hundred years are separable from the changes between the MCA and LIA.

To robustly test the hypothesis of a coordinated contraction of the monsoonal Asian-Australian rain belt over the last millennium, additional records from the Australian monsoon region are needed. In addition, this hypothesis would benefit from a plausible set of physical mechanisms that could explain a coordinated response of the two highly seasonal and out-of-phase monsoonal rainfall regimes in Asia and Australia.

4.5. Insights From Data-Model Comparisons

Our primary objective in comparing the hydroclimate reconstructions to last millennium climate model simulations is to shed light on the possible mechanisms of the reconstructed hydroclimate changes. There are several ways in which the models lend important insight to the reconstructions. First, the fact that both proxies and models indicate drier conditions over South and East Asia during the second half of the last millennium provides evidence that the inferred weakening of the Asian monsoons during the LIA may have been forced in part by volcanic aerosols and/or orbital changes. However, the data-model mismatch in the timing of the drying trends over Asia (i.e., aridity peaks around 1600 CE in the reconstructions while the drying trend extends to at least 1800 CE in the models) indicates that there are clear deficiencies in the models’ ability to capture this important feature of tropical hydroclimate change over the last millennium.

South America is another region where the models potentially provide new insight into the reconstructions. At first glance, tropical South America is seemingly characterized by pronounced data/model disagreement, as the simulated precipitation and the reconstructed hydroclimate changes are both robust but differ from one another in sign at the location of the proxy sites (Figure 4). The reconstructions show a distinct dipole pattern between the southwestern and northeastern sites between the LIA and MCA, which past studies have interpreted as reflecting increased upstream rainout under enhanced SASM activity during the LIA in tandem with subsidence and decreased summer precipitation over northeastern Brazil (Lenters & Cook, 1997; Novello et al., 2012; Vuille et al., 2012). In contrast, the models do not simulate an enhanced SASM during the LIA; however, they do simulate increased rainfall in the monsoon entrance region in the far northeastern corner of South America, that is driven by orbital and volcanic forcing. The simulations thus provide an alternative interpretation of the proxy data: that the observed LIA isotopic depletion in the central Andes and southern Amazon records reflects increased upstream rainout in the monsoon entrance region in northeastern South America (instead of enhanced SASM activity in the Amazon basin). If this
was indeed the case, however, the region of enhanced precipitation must have been confined to a narrow band near the equator, given the LIA drying (and/or isotopic enrichment) signal in both the northern and Nordeste records.

Also of relevance to the South American records, is the potential data-model agreement in the large-scale hydroclimate dynamics in the tropical Atlantic Ocean over the last millennium. A number of models simulate a contraction of the Atlantic ITCZ along one or both edges of the Atlantic rain band (Figures 4 and S4), while the reconstructions indicate a progressive shift to drier conditions along both the northward edge of the Atlantic ITCZ (Cariaco Basin and Columbian Andes) and the southward edge of the Atlantic ITCZ (Nordeste Brazil) (Figure 3d). To better assess the plausibility of these mechanisms in driving the observed hydroclimate signals in tropical South America, simulations with water isotope tracers should be included in future data-model comparison studies.

Finally, the models also potentially provide insight into the tropical Pacific climate reconstructions. The tropical Pacific proxy records are most closely aligned with individual CESM ensemble members that feature unforced centennial-scale changes in the strength of the Pacific Walker Circulation (Figure S8) and are also aligned with the CESM ensemble mean response that is characterized by a weak equatorial Pacific drying trend and wetting in the Maritime Continent west of Papua New Guinea (Figures 4c and 4d). Given the larger magnitude of internal variability relative to the forced response in CESM, and the fact that the pattern of internal variability projects onto the forced response in the regions of the tropical Pacific proxy data, these results suggest that, to the extent that CESM is representative of the real world, the observed tropical Pacific hydroclimate changes during the LIA are likely due to natural variations in the strength of the Walker Circulation. However, because the magnitude of tropical Pacific climate variability varies widely across models (and is largest in CESM where the variance of Niño 3 SST anomalies is around 150% of that observed over the last several decades), we caution that the model uncertainty precludes firm confidence in the interpretation of an unforced strengthening of the Pacific Walker Circulation during the LIA.

Outside of these potential areas of data-model agreement, one unresolved aspect of the reconstructed hydroclimate changes that is not reproduced by the models is the timing of widespread LIA hydroclimate changes in Asia, South America, and the Maritime Continent from 1400 to 1700 CE. There are several possible reasons for this data-model mismatch: (a) the reconstructed LIA hydroclimate changes were unforced, (b) the observed changes were forced but model uncertainty renders an unrealistic model response, (c) the observed changes were forced but uncertainty in the forcing renders an unrealistic model response, and/or (d) the proxy records, which are predominately derived from water isotope-based archives, reflect something other than changes in regional mean annual precipitation amount. We discuss each of these possibilities in more detail below.

With regard to (a), it is entirely possible that the reconstructed hydroclimate changes from 1400 to 1700 CE were produced by natural variability, although the broadly synchronous nature of the hydroclimate anomalies and hemispheric-scale temperature changes around this time is somewhat suggestive of a forced response in the climate system. However, with regards to (b), it is also expected that coupled ocean-atmosphere general circulation models would have difficulty reproducing the regional tropical hydroclimate response to last millennium forcings, given the highly parameterized nature of convection and cloud physics, and the limited ability of CMIP5 models to reproduce observed regional hydroclimate dynamics associated with major hydroclimate phenomena such as the Asian and North American monsoons (Flato, 2013; PAGES Hydro2k Consortium, 2017). Future data-model comparisons of the last millennium should address the ability of the models to simulate the first-order features of the key hydroclimate phenomena of interest. It has also been suggested that coupled climate models may underestimate the strength and persistence of the hydroclimate response to volcanic forcing (Tejedor et al., 2021), which if true, could severely limit the ability of such models to realistically capture the long-term hydroclimate responses to volcanic forcing over the last millennium. Finally, paleoclimate simulations do not typically include processes such as dynamic vegetation (which require ocean-atmosphere-vegetation general circulation models), although it is known that land surface processes are integral to the cycling of water between the land and atmosphere. Indeed, vegetation changes have been shown to reduce model-data discrepancies in simulations of the mid-Holocene (Braconnot et al., 2012).
With regards to (c), data-model discrepancies may result from errors in the radiative forcings and feedbacks. There are substantial uncertainties in the radiative forcings over the last millennium. For example, the radiative forcing during the LIA differs by more than a factor of 2 across the CMIP5/PMIP3 models due to differences in volcanic forcing (associated with both the aerosol data set used and the implementation method of the volcanic aerosols; see Section 2) (Atwood et al., 2016). These differences contribute to a factor of 2 spread in the amplitude of global cooling during the LIA across these models. These differences in volcanic forcing likely lead to differing tropical rainfall responses in the models, since tropical rainfall patterns are known to be sensitive to the timing, magnitude, and spatial footprint of volcanic eruptions (e.g., Colose et al., 2016; PAGES Hydro2k Consortium, 2017). Furthermore, because the volcanic aerosol loadings have changed (in both their magnitude and hemispheric distributions) in recently updated last millennium reconstructions (Figure S1; Sigl, McConnell, et al., 2014; Sigl, Wnstrup, et al., 2015; Toole & Sigl, 2017), the next iteration of model simulations may be expected to differ in their representation of volcanically forced tropical precipitation changes over the last millennium. In addition to the uncertainties in radiative forcing, there are also uncertainties in the strength and pattern of climate feedbacks among models. For example, the total effective feedback parameter during the LIA differs by a factor of 2 across the CMIP5/PMIP3 models (ranging from −1.0 to −2.0 W/m²/K), largely due to differences in the shortwave cloud feedback, the lapse rate feedback, and the surface albedo feedback (Atwood et al., 2016). The degree of interhemispheric asymmetry in the feedback strengths also varies across models (Figure S13). Errors in the interhemispheric asymmetry of the forcings and feedbacks over the last millennium would be expected to lead to erroneous interhemispheric temperature asymmetry in the models. Indeed, the models, which reasonably reproduce the long-term global cooling trends in reconstructions, tend to substantially underestimate changes in the interhemispheric temperature asymmetry over the last millennium (Neukom et al., 2014). Given the evidence that the tropical hydroclimate anomalies may have been linked to the interhemispheric temperature gradient during the LIA, future data-model comparison efforts should target simulations that reasonably capture the interhemispheric temperature asymmetry during the LIA.

Finally, with regards to (d), it is likely that the proxy records used in this analysis, many of which are derived from water isotope-based archives, do not solely reflect changes in regional mean annual precipitation amount. The stable isotopic composition of meteoric water integrates information about many other aspects of the water cycle over space and time, including the seasonality of precipitation, sources of moisture, advective transport, evaporation, and water recycling over land. It is clear that more work needs to be done to understand the mechanisms of the widespread tropical hydroclimate changes around 1400–1700 CE. Future work should therefore employ climate models that simulate water isotope tracers and incorporate proxy system models to provide more quantitative comparisons between proxy reconstructions and model simulations of the last millennium.

5. Conclusions

We review the evidence for large-scale tropical hydroclimate changes over the Common Era based on a compilation of 67 tropical hydroclimate records and assess the consistency between the reconstructed hydroclimate changes and those simulated by forced transient model simulations of the last millennium. A hierarchical cluster analysis indicates that 41% of the records, distributed throughout the tropics, demonstrate a millennial-scale isotopic depletion/wetting trend beginning around 1000 CE and reversing around 1800 CE that generally tracks global temperature trends over the last millennium, which we speculate is associated with the influence of decreased tropical sea surface temperatures on the isotopic composition of water vapor.

Our synthesis of the proxy records also reveals a number of regionally coherent hydroclimate patterns. During the onset of the MCA around 800–1000 CE, records from the eastern equatorial Pacific and Mesoamerica indicate a pronounced drying event, relative to background conditions of the Common Era. The second half of the last millennium is characterized by a set of pronounced and synchronous hydroclimate changes across the tropics from 1400 to 1700 CE, including drier (isotopically enriched) conditions in South and East Asia, fresher (isotopically depleted) surface ocean conditions in the Maritime Continent, and a dipole pattern in tropical South America, with wet (isotopically depleted) conditions in the southwest and dry (isotopically enriched) conditions in northern South America and Nordeste Brazil. While previous studies
have linked these hydroclimate changes to global or hemispheric-scale cooling patterns, we find notable dissimilarities between the regional hydroclimate changes and global-scale and hemispheric-scale temperature reconstructions, indicating that more work needs to be done to understand the mechanisms of the widespread tropical hydroclimate changes during the LIA.

Considering previous interpretations of tropical Pacific climate change during the LIA, we do not find support for a large-scale southward shift of the Pacific ITCZ. We find that the available data generally support a strengthened the Pacific Walker Circulation during the LIA, with freshening in parts of the Maritime Continent, and a possible linkage to central Pacific drying (based on a single lake sediment record), but with no clear connection to the eastern Pacific. We therefore conclude that until further paleoclimate records are developed from the tropical Pacific, inferences of a strengthened Pacific Walker Circulation during the LIA remain speculative. Regarding the evidence for an equatorward contraction of the monsoonal Asian-Australian rain belt during the LIA, we caution that more work needs to be done to characterize the hydroclimate changes in the Australian monsoon region.

Lastly, transient climate model simulations of the last millennium provide several important insights to the interpretation of the reconstructions: (a) the observed drying and/or isotopic enrichment in South and East Asia during the LIA may reflect weakened summer monsoon activity driven in part by volcanic and orbital forcing, (b) the observed LIA isotopic depletion in the central Andes and southern Amazon may reflect upstream rainout in the SASM monsoon entrance region driven by orbital and volcanic forcing (rather than a strengthening of the monsoon), (c) the observed coordinated drying in northern South America and Nordeste Brazil over the last millennium may reflect a contraction of the Atlantic ITCZ, and (d) isotopically depleted conditions in the Maritime Continent during the LIA are consistent with a strengthening of the Pacific Walker Circulation, though the large model uncertainty in the tropical Pacific precludes confidence in this interpretation. One aspect of the reconstructed hydroclimate changes that remains unresolved is the mechanism of the LIA hydroclimate changes in Asia, South America, and the Maritime Continent from 1400 to 1700 CE, followed by a reversal of the LIA conditions to the present era. To better assess the mechanisms of the reconstructed LIA hydroclimate changes, future work should employ climate models that reasonably simulate tropical climate variability, represent the key hydroclimate phenomena of interest with reasonable accuracy, and explicitly track water isotope ratios in the hydrosphere to improve comparisons between proxy reconstructions and model simulations of the last millennium.

Data Availability Statement

The proxy data used in this study were uploaded to Figshare (https://figshare.com/articles/Hydroclimate_proxy_records_zip/12085092) and published with https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.12085092. The records were originally downloaded from the NOAA Paleoclimatology and PANGAEA databases (see data set URLs in Table 1), or obtained directly from the authors (see references in Table 1).
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Text S1. Monsoon Responses to Orbital Forcing in CESM

Orbital forcing during the last millennium was primarily due to changes in precession. From 850 CE to the present, a 20-day shift in perihelion (from 15 December to 4 January) occurred, leading to a decrease in insolation in the second half of the year (June to December relative to the first half of the year (January to May; Fig. S2). Over the last millennium, insolation in the NH high latitudes declined from July-September (late boreal summer and fall) by ~3 Wm⁻², and declined in the SH high latitudes from October-December (late austral spring and summer), by a similar magnitude (Schmidt et al. 2011).

In CESM, orbital forcing causes the NH monsoon systems of South Asia and West Africa to weaken in association with strong cooling across the NH continents (including Asia and North Africa) during boreal summer and fall (Fig. 5). These features are present, though more muted, in the all-forcing CESM simulations and in the CMIP5/PMIP3 simulations (Fig. 4), indicating an important role for orbital forcing in driving the forced long-term tropical hydroclimate response over the last millennium in the models. In the model runs, the lower late summer and early fall insolation cools the NH high latitudes, which is conducive to the development of more extensive and thicker sea ice going into boreal winter. The resultant cooling of the NH extratropics is most pronounced over land (due to differences in the partitioning of sensible and latent heating over land and water) and thus gives rise to reduced land-sea temperature contrast between Asia and the Indo-Pacific in boreal summer and fall. The same feature is found in the West African monsoon—i.e. enhanced cooling of northern Africa in boreal summer and fall leads to weaker temperature contrast between West Africa and the tropical Atlantic and a weakening of the West African monsoon. This cooling also extends into the NH extratropical oceans and over parts of the tropical oceans, including the northern tropical Atlantic, where enhanced cooling during the onset of the South American monsoon season in austral spring (SON) gives rise to an anomalous meridional SST gradient in the tropical Atlantic, shifting rainfall southward over the equatorial Atlantic Ocean and into the South American monsoon entrance region (Fig. S6).

Text S2: Zonally-averaged precipitation changes and cross-equatorial heat transport

Despite the large data-model disagreement in regional precipitation changes over the last millennium, some coherency is observed in the zonally averaged context: all CMIP5/PMIP3 models demonstrate a small zonal mean southward shift in tropical precipitation (ΔP_{NH-SH}) during the LIA and a decrease in the cross-equatorial atmospheric energy transport (ΔAHT_{ϕ=0}), as expected from energetic arguments (Fig. 7; Donohoe et al., 2013; Kang et al., 2008). The decreased cross-equatorial atmospheric energy transport is associated with greater cooling of the NH atmosphere through vertical energy fluxes during the LIA. Possible sources of this hemispheric asymmetry in atmospheric cooling include asymmetric climate forcings (e.g. volcanic forcing), symmetric forcings (due to the seasonal cycle in planetary albedo), and/or asymmetry in the climate feedbacks,
including those associated with changes in surface albedo and clouds. In addition, asymmetry in the surface energy fluxes (e.g. through a change in the Atlantic Meridional Overturning Circulation) could lead to asymmetric atmospheric cooling.

Our energy budget analysis with the single layer atmosphere radiation model (the APRP analysis) indicates that the southward tropical precipitation shift occurs in tandem with anomalous northward atmospheric energy transport that is primarily driven by volcanic forcing (i.e. greater volcanic aerosol loading in the northern hemisphere), and secondarily by land use changes (i.e. cropland expansion in Eurasia) and by the surface albedo feedback (e.g. through the growth of Arctic sea ice in response to precessional forcing; Fig. S13). Of the LW feedbacks, the lapse rate feedback is the largest positive feedback. Although both hemispheres experience greater cooling near the surface than aloft poleward of around 30°-40° latitude, these lapse rate changes are substantially larger in the Northern Hemisphere than the Southern Hemisphere (see Fig. S2 in Atwood et al., 2016), likely due to a combination of land-use forcing, increased high-latitude snow cover and sea ice in the Northern Hemisphere, and the greater land area in the Northern Hemisphere (as it is easier to have surface-trapped cooling over land, especially in winter). LW cloud and water vapor feedbacks also contribute to greater cooling of the Northern Hemisphere. The positive LW water vapor feedback occurs in response to the lower atmospheric water vapor concentration in the Northern Hemisphere (the saturation vapor pressure decreases as the atmosphere cools, as given by the Clausius–Clapeyron equation). In addition, the SW water vapor feedback represents a small additional positive feedback in all of the models, consistent with lower absorption of incoming SW radiation by atmospheric water vapor in the cooler Northern Hemisphere.

The net forcing displays larger hemispheric asymmetry in the second half of the LIA in all models, due to larger asymmetry in both the volcanic and land use forcings. During the earlier half of the LIA, however, changes in ocean heat transport (OHT) generally reinforce the asymmetry imposed by the forcings and shortwave feedbacks, and in the two GISS simulations, these OHT changes are larger than the combined forcing (Fig. S13). Ultimately, however, the net change in cross-equatorial atmospheric heat transport is modest (≤ -0.2 W/m²) throughout the LIA in all models, because the hemispheric asymmetry imposed by the forcings and positive feedbacks is opposed by changes in outgoing longwave radiation associated with greater cooling of the NH (i.e. the Planck feedback).
Figure S1. Volcanic aerosol forcing over the last millennium, represented in terms of the stratospheric aerosol optical depth (AOD) from Crowley et al. (2008), Gao et al. (2008), and Toohey et al. (2017). For Gao et al. (2008), AOD was estimated from the sulfate loading by dividing the loading by 150 Tg (Schmidt et al., 2011). The globally averaged AOD (top) and hemispheric asymmetry (bottom) were filtered using a Gaussian filter ($\sigma = 10$ years). The differences in AOD averaged over the LIA (1450-1850 CE) minus the MCA (950-1200 CE) are shown in the legends.

Figure S2. Insolation difference between the LIA (1450-1850 CE) and MCA (950-1200 CE) in W/m$^2$ as a function of latitude and Julian day. Data were derived from the Berger et al. (1978) equations.
Figure S3. Regional compilations of the tropical hydroclimate reconstructions from 350-1850 CE, converted into Z-scores and averaged over 100-year bins (as in Fig. 3). (A-B) The eastern and central Pacific records plotted separately, (C) the northern Australian record, (D) the four marine records from the Makassar Strait and the Philippine Islands, (E) all lake records from the Maritime Continent, (F) all speleothem records from the Maritime Continent, (G) all records (marine and terrestrial) from the Maritime Continent. For all records, higher Z-scores indicate wetter conditions, based on interpretation in the original study (from Table 1). The average and standard deviation of all records in each region is depicted by the black line and shading, respectively. The maps indicate the location of the records, where the symbol denotes the type of proxy archive (circle = speleothem, triangle = lake, square = marine sediment, diamond = ice) and the color and asterisk indicates whether the record is based on water isotopes (green) or not (orange and asterisk).
Figure S4. Change in mean annual precipitation in the individual A) CMIP5/PMIP3 last millennium simulations and B) CESM Last Millennium Ensemble simulations during the LIA (1450-1850 CE) relative to the MCA (950-1200 CE) (colors) overlaid on contours of mean annual precipitation over the MCA.

Figure S5. As in Fig. 4 of the main text but for different definitions of the LIA. The early LIA (1400-1650 CE) is shown in the top panels and the late LIA (1600-1850 CE) is shown in the bottom panels.
Figure S6. (A-C) Seasonal climatology of the multi-model mean change in precipitation (colors) during the LIA (1400-1650 CE) relative to the MCA (950-1200 CE) in A) the PMIP3/CMIP5 last millennium simulations and C) the CESM Last Millennium Ensemble simulations. Unfilled contours indicate the mean annual precipitation over the MCA in the respective simulations (contour interval 4 mm/day). Stippling indicates where ≥ 80% of the models agree on the sign of the change. (B,D) Seasonal climatology of the multi-model mean change in surface air temperature (colors) during the same period. Unfilled contours indicate the change in precipitation; contour interval is 0.15 mm/day, solid (dashed) contours represent positive (negative) anomalies.
Figure S7. Normalized EOF 1 loadings and PC 1 of the CMIP5/PMIP3 multi-model mean and CESM ensemble mean tropical precipitation and surface temperature (TS), binned into 100-yr windows prior to EOF analysis (A) CMIP5/PMIP3 precipitation; (B) CMIP5/PMIP3 surface temperature, (C) CESM precipitation, (D) CESM surface temperature.
Figure S8. Normalized EOF 1/PC 1 of tropical precipitation (left two panels) and surface temperature (right two panels) in each of the CESM LME full forcing ensemble members and the ensemble mean (MME). The data were binned into 100-yr windows prior to EOF analysis.
Figure S9. As in Fig. S8 but for each of the CMIP5/PMIP3 last millennium simulations and the multi-model mean (MME). The data were binned into 100-yr windows prior to EOF analysis.
Figure S10. Power spectra of 3-month running mean Niño 3 SSTAs in (A) observations (ERSST v3b; 1971-2010), (B-G) the CMIP5/PMIP3 last millennium simulations, and (H-J) ensemble members 1-3 of the CESM LME. The power spectra were computed using a forward Fast Fourier Transform. Periodogram estimates were averaged together using modified Daniell smoothing with weights $1/13$ for the observational data and $1/213$ for the model data.
Figure S11. Time series of 3-month running mean Niño 3 SSTAs in (A) observations (ERSST v3b; 1971-2010), (B-G) the first 100 years of the CMIP5/PMIP3 last millennium simulations, and (H-J) the first 100 years of ensemble members 1-3 of the CESM LME. The variance of each time series (1971-2010 for the observations and all 1,000 years of the model simulations) is indicated in the right corner of each panel.
Figure S12. Normalized EOF1 loadings and PC1 for A) proxy data (Z scores) and (B,C) precipitation over Asia in the CESM LME (ensemble mean) and the PMIP3 ensemble mean. The proxy and model data were binned into 100-yr windows prior to EOF analysis. Higher values of the loadings indicate wetter (more isotopically depleted) conditions.
Figure S13. Difference in Northern Hemisphere minus Southern Hemisphere vertical energy fluxes into the atmosphere during the first (1400-1650 CE) and second (1600-1850 CE) half of the LIA relative to the MWP (950-1200 CE) due to contributions from: SW and LW forcings (volcanic, land use, solar, greenhouse gas), SW feedbacks (surface albedo, SW water vapor (q), SW cloud, SW residual), and LW feedbacks (Planck, lapse rate, LW water vapor (q), LW cloud, LW residual). The difference in Northern Hemisphere minus Southern Hemisphere surface energy flux (OHT) and net change in vertical atmospheric energy flux (AHT) is also plotted. Negative values indicate a decrease in atmospheric energy flux in the Northern Hemisphere relative to the Southern Hemisphere. See Methods and Atwood et al. (2016) for details. Decomposition of energy fluxes was not performed for CSIRO due to the implementation of the volcanic forcing as a perturbation to the total solar irradiance (see text for details), while decomposition of LW feedbacks for HadCM3 wasn’t performed due to limited data availability.
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