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Abstract

Ground-based disdrometer measurements of particle size and fall speed distributions are compared among samples obtained in mixed precipitation (rain and wet snow) and rain in the Oregon Cascade Mountains and in dry snow in the Rocky Mountains of Colorado. Coexisting rain and snow particles are distinguished using a classification method based on their size and fall speed properties.

The bimodal distribution of the particles’ joint fallspeed-size characteristics at air temperatures of 0.5°C to 0°C suggests that wet snow particles transition quickly to rain once melting has progressed sufficiently. As air temperatures increase to 1.5°C, the reduction in the number of very large aggregates with \( D > 10 \) mm coincides with the appearance of rain particles larger than 6 mm. In this setting, very large raindrops appear to be the result of aggregates melting with minimal breakup rather than formation by coalescence.

In contrast to dry snow and rain, the fall speed for wet snow has a much weaker correlation between increasing size and increasing fall speed. Wet snow has a larger standard deviation of fall speed (120-230% compared to dry snow) for a given particle size. The average fall speed for observed wet snow particles with \( D \geq 2.4 \) mm is 2 m s\(^{-1}\) with a standard deviation of 0.8 m s\(^{-1}\). The large standard deviation is likely related to the coexistence of particles of similar physical size with different percentages of melting. These results suggest that different particle sizes are not required for aggregation since wet snow particles of the same size can have different fall speeds. Given the large
standard deviation of fall speeds in wet snow, the collision efficiency for wet snow is likely larger than that of dry snow.

For particle sizes between 1 – 10 mm diameter within mixed precipitation, rain constituted 1% of the particles by volume within the isothermal layer at 0°C, and 4% of the particles by volume for the region just below the isothermal layer where air temperatures rise from 0°C to 0.5°C. As air temperatures increased above 0.5°C, the relative proportions of rain versus snow particles shift dramatically and raindrops become dominant. The value of 0.5°C for the sharp transition in volume fraction from snow to rain is slightly lower than the range from 1.1 to 1.7°C often used in hydrological models.
1. Introduction

An accurate description of the physical characteristics of coexisting rain and snow near the freezing level is important not only to cloud and regional forecast models (Tao et al. 2003; Stoelinga et al. 2003) but also to the retrieval of precipitation from satellite passive and active microwave measurements (Bauer et al. 1999; Iguchi et al. 2000; Olson et al. 2001). The assumptions about the particle size distributions (PSD) and fall speed relations used in bulk microphysics parameterizations are similar to those required to determine the absorption, scattering, and extinction coefficients within radiative transfer calculations. For the purposes of this paper, we will use the term wet snow to refer to partially melted aggregates of snow flakes and the term mixed precipitation to refer to co-existing rain and wet snow mixtures excluding graupel or hail.

Melting natural and artificial aggregates of snow crystals have been investigated in the laboratory (Mitra et al. 1990) by dropping individual flakes into the controlled environment of a vertical wind tunnel. However, examination of naturally occurring populations of melting snowflake aggregates has been lacking because of the practical difficulties of distinguishing among the coexisting particle distributions of rain and snow. Dual-polarization radar measurements can distinguish between rain-only and mixed-phase precipitation but these methods have primarily been applied to the discrimination of hail and graupel from coexisting rain (e.g. Balakrishnan and Zrnic 1990; Brandes et al. 1995). Snow particles with diameter D > 4 mm are difficult to observe with aircraft probes because they often break up in probe-associated turbulence. Additionally, aircraft icing safety concerns limit the time aircraft can spend in the melting layer. Ground-based instruments that simultaneously measure particle size and fall speed provide an
opportunity to distinguish the characteristics of co-existing of rain and snow particles within mixed precipitation.

We utilize observations of precipitation particles with \( D > 1 \) mm obtained by a PARSIVEL disdrometer, a ground-based instrument that is designed to simultaneously measure the fall speed and size of particles up to 24.5 mm in diameter. We apply a classification methodology based on particle size and fall speed properties to separate rain particles from snow particles. The separated rain and snow components of the particle size distribution (PSD) obtained near 0°C are compared to examples of rain-only and dry snow PSD observations to highlight the unique characteristics of wet snow. The implications of these results for bulk microphysics parameterizations and hydrological modeling are also examined.

2. PARSIVEL disdrometer

a. Description

The PARSIVEL disdrometer (Löffler-Mang and Joss 2000; Löffler-Mang and Blahak 2001) is an optical sensor. A laser diode produces a horizontal sheet of light 30 mm wide, 180 mm long, and 1 mm high. The horizontal sampling area is 5400 mm\(^2\), which is similar to the 5000 mm\(^2\) sampling area of the Joss-Waldvogel disdrometer (Waldvogel 1974). The laser light is received at a photo diode that samples at 50,000 Hz. When particles pass through the light sheet, a portion of the transmitted laser light is blocked and the voltage produced by the photo diode is reduced compared to when no particles are present in the beam. The amplitude of the voltage drop is related to the size of the particle. The duration of the voltage drop is related to the fall speed of the particle. The instrument measures the maximum diameter of the one-dimensional projection of the
particle, which is smaller than or equal to the actual maximum diameter. The particle size ($D$) and fall speed ($V$) for every particle detected over the measuring period are tabulated in an array whose dimensions are the number of size bins by the number of fall speed bins. Since the disdrometer instrument cannot distinguish sizes within a size interval, all particles detected within an interval are assigned the mean size for that interval, yielding a quantization error that can be important, particularly for $D > 10$ mm where the size interval is $\geq 2$ mm. For this study, the raw output arrays, which represent 1 min samples, are accumulated into longer time periods following Joss and Gori (1978). Since the height of the sample volume is a function of fall speed, $n(D,V)_{ij}$, the number concentration of particles per unit size and per unit velocity interval is first computed for each size interval ($i$) and fall speed interval ($j$). These values are summed over all the velocity bins to determine $n(D)_i$ and over all the size bins to determine $n(V)_j$.

The particle diameter as measured by the PARSIVEL disdrometer is calculated from the maximum reduction of the voltage. A spheroid model\(^2\) derived from Andsager et al. (1999) is used to estimate the size of the particles as a function of voltage reduction. Particles with $D < 1$ mm are assumed to be spherical (axis ratio = 1). For particles with $D > 5$ mm, an axis ratio of 1.3 is used. For particles with $D$ between 1 and 5 mm, the assumed axis ratio varies linearly from 1 to 1.3. Rain particles are assumed to be symmetric in the horizontal. Snow particles are often not horizontally symmetric, and thus particle sizes for snow frequently underestimate of actual maximum particle diameter (Löffler-Mang and Blahak 2001). The effect of the porosity of snow flake aggregates on the measured size and fall speed has not been investigated. Another

---

\(^2\) An earlier version of the PARSIVEL disdrometer used a spherical assumption for all particle sizes (Löffler-Mang and Joss 2000).
important assumption in PARSIVEL data analysis is that it is rare for two particles to be in the light sheet at the same time (Löffler-Mang and Joss 2000). Such a juxtaposition of particles would yield data indicating a large particle falling at either the same speed or slower (if the particles were slightly offset in the vertical) compared to the constituent particles.

b. Data quality issues

When only a portion of a particle intersects the beam, the sensor registers a small particle falling faster than other particles observed at that size. The removal of these “margin fallers” (U. Blahak, personal communication, 2003) requires assumptions on the natural distribution of fall speeds for small particles. For rain, the natural fall speed distribution is relatively narrow and margin fallers can be distinguished and removed. For snow, the situation is more ambiguous as the size-fall speed distributions for small snow particles and margin fallers overlap. While empirical studies have addressed average fall speeds of ice particles (e.g. Zikmunda 1972; Locatelli and Hobbs 1974), information on the distribution of snow fall speeds is scarce. In order to minimize misclassification of margin fallers as small ice particles, we have taken the drastic step in our data analysis of removing all small particles with \( D < 1 \) mm even though the instrument is capable of detecting particles as small as 0.3 mm diameter. Particles with \( D < 1 \) mm are important to the calculation of integrated quantities of the particle size distribution such as mixing ratios. However, given a PSD over the full precipitation size range, one can obtain comparable mixing ratios to those obtained in this study by limiting the calculation to size bins with \( D > 1 \) mm.
Wind and vibration can degrade the performance of the PARSIVEL disdrometer. The manufacturer recommends against deployment of the instrument in windy conditions. The instrument was sheltered from the wind at both locations where data was collected for this study (Sec. 3).

A possible complication in the measurement of particle size and fall speeds of snow aggregates is that they may exhibit complex fall trajectories including spinning, spiraling, and shaking (Lew et al. 1986). Since the depth of the light beam is only 1 mm, it is assumed that the influence of complex fall trajectories on the results is negligible.

3. Observations

a. Locations

As part of IMPROVE II (Stoelinga et al. 2003), a suite of instrumentation was deployed at McKenzie Bridge (MKB), Oregon (494 m altitude MSL) in the foothills of the Oregon Cascade Mountains during December 2001. The instrument site was in the McKenzie river valley within a flat grassy area adjacent to a rarely used airstrip. In addition to the PARSIVEL disdrometer, other instruments at the site included a vertically pointing S-band Doppler radar (White et al. 2000), a 915 MHz wind profiler (Weber et al. 1993) and surface meteorology instrumentation provided by the NOAA Environmental Technology Laboratory (ETL). The MKB site was well sheltered by surrounding trees. Wind gusts $\geq 4$ m s$^{-1}$ occurred for less than 10% of the sampling periods.

To provide a contrast to the rain and mixed precipitation data obtained in Oregon, the PARSIVEL disdrometer was deployed at the Desert Research Institute’s Storm Peak Laboratory (SPL) at 3200 m altitude MSL (Borys and Wetzel 1997) near Steamboat
Springs, CO during February and March 2003. The objective was to obtain measurements in dry snow. Since the SPL is a mountain-top facility that often experiences high winds, the PARSIVEL disdrometer was placed in an open-topped enclosure near the center of the roof where snow flakes were observed to fall close to vertical. The horizontal light sheet of the disdrometer was about 24 cm from the top of the enclosure. The perturbed airflow over the enclosure would have largest impact on the trajectories of the smallest, lightest particles and least impact on the largest, heaviest particles (Folland 1988).

b. Joint distributions of particle size and fall speed

A rain-only period from 0300-0800 UTC on 17 December 2001 (Table 1, Fig. 1a) is presented first to familiarize the reader with the data matrix of particle size and fall speed obtained by the PARSIVEL disdrometer. During this 5-hour observation period, the air temperature varied from 2.5 to 5.5°C (Fig. 2a) and relative humidity was 98%. The plot shows the quality controlled matrices of raw particle counts by size and fall speed. Superimposed on the color-coded matrix (Fig. 1) are empirical fall speed relationships for rain r (Berry and Pranger 1974), lump graupel g (Locatelli and Hobbs 1974), and aggregates of unrimed dendrites d (Locatelli and Hobbs 1974). As expected, the distribution of observed fall speeds as a function of diameter clusters closely around the empirical fall speed relation for rain.

A snow event at SPL on 27 February 2003 from 0000 to 1400 UTC is used to illustrate the size and fall speed distribution of dry snow particles. The quality controlled data matrix from SPL is shown in Fig. 1b. The mode of the joint size-fall speed distribution is centered on the empirical fall speed relation for dendrites. The distribution
for dry snow tails off as particle diameters approach 10 mm, consistent with the absence
of very large snowflake aggregates ($D > 10$ mm). During the observation period, the
temperature dropped from -5° to -10°C (Fig. 2b). Relative humidity increased from 92%
at 0000 UTC to 100% at 0200 UTC, held steady near 100% until 1000 UTC, and then
dropped to 96% at 1400 UTC (not shown). The average wind speed was 4.2 m s\(^{-1}\) with a
standard deviation of 1.2 m s\(^{-1}\) (not shown).

The rain-only and dry snow observations contrast with those in mixed
precipitation from MKB on 18-19 December 2001 (Fig. 3). The air temperature dropped
from 1.5° to 0°C between 2030 UTC on 18 December and 0050 UTC on 19 December
and then remained at 0°C while precipitation continued to fall for nearly 7 hours (Fig.
2c). Quality controlled matrices of raw particle counts by size and fall speed are shown in
Fig. 3 corresponding to three time periods during which the air temperature decreased
from 1.5° to 0.5°C (2030-2345 UTC on 18 December), decreased from 0.5° to 0°C (2346
UTC 18 December to 0049 UTC 19 December), and held steady at 0°C (0050-0600 UTC
on 19 December). The precipitation was associated with several pre- and post-frontal
rainbands. The vertically pointing S-band radar data (Fig. 4a) shows the decreasing
altitude and then disappearance of the higher radar reflectivities in the radar bright band
associated with the melting layer at the top of the rain layer as it drops below the lowest
range gate (200 m AGL or 700 m MSL) of the radar at 0000 UTC and then intersects the
surface. The hourly averaged profiles of horizontal wind velocity shown in Fig. 4b
indicate a near-surface wind shift from southerly to westerly as the front passed at about
1800 UTC. Near-surface winds at the observation site (not shown), measured at 10 m
AGL, were light and averaged 0.5 m s\(^{-1}\) from 1400 UTC until 0000 UTC when the
anemometer froze up. Relative humidity (not shown) remained at 99% throughout the time period. Further details on the environmental setting and observations at McKenzie Bridge, OR and Storm Peak, CO are presented in Table 1.

The distribution of fall speeds for particles of a given size at temperatures from 1.5-0.5°C (Fig. 3a) is wider than that for the rain-only time period (Fig. 1a). The 1.5-0.5°C PSD distribution likely includes some particles that are not 100% melted. At temperatures between 0.5° and 0°C, the distribution of particles is bimodal with distinct populations of rain and snow particles (Fig. 3b). The bimodal distribution of rain and snow particles is not as distinct but also evident during the period when temperatures held steady at 0°C (Fig. 3c). The maximum size of particles increased as temperatures decreased toward 0°C, in agreement with previous aircraft in situ studies of the melting layer (Stewart et al. 1984; Willis and Heymsfield 1989).

4. Methodology

a. Classification of rain, not-rain, and ambiguous subsets

The particles in the raw data matrix are classified into rain, not-rain, and ambiguous subsets using the masks shown in Fig. 5a. The rain classification is based on the identification of rain particles by their size and fall speed characteristics. The bottom edge of the rain mask is defined as the velocity bin two bins lower than the velocity bin nearest the empirical fall speed relation for rain. This lower boundary of the rain mask was determined empirically, based on the location of the local minima in the bimodal distributions in Fig. 3b and c. In both rain and dry snow situations, particles were
observed with $D < 2.4$ mm and with fall velocities between 3 to 8 bins lower than the empirical fall speed relation for rain. These particles are classified as ambiguous since the combination of size and fall velocity information is insufficient to distinguish snow versus rain. All particles not classified as either rain or ambiguous within the joint size fall speed matrix are classified as not rain. The not rain category encompasses the empirical fall speed relation for dendrites and also includes all particles with $D > 8$ mm diameter independent of fall speed. The rain, not-rain, and ambiguous subsets of a particular particle distribution are identified (Fig. 5b,c) by applying the relevant mask to the quality controlled raw distribution (e.g. Fig. 3b). The same classification mask is used for all cases of mixed precipitation. The time-accumulated particle size distributions for the particles classified as ambiguous are shown in Fig 6. The largest concentrations of ambiguous particles occurred during mixed precipitation at temperatures of 0°C and 0°C to 0.5°C (Fig. 6a and b) when small particles with properties between those of ice and rain are most likely to be present.

b. Particle distribution descriptions

Following the notation of Smith (1982), the number concentration of particles with diameters in the interval $D$ to $D + \Delta D$ is denoted by $N(D)$ where

$$N(D) = n(D) \Delta D \quad [1]$$

and $n(D)$ represents the number concentration of particles with diameters in the interval $D$ to $D + \Delta D$ per unit size interval. In this notation, the exponential size distribution is
defined as \( n(D) = n_0 e^{-\Delta D} \). Smith’s notation emphasizes the dependence of \( N(D) \), which is the quantity the instrument measures, on the size interval \( \Delta D \). The conversion from \( N(D) \) to \( n(D) \) used in this study relies on the implicit assumption that the average size of particles within each size interval is well approximated by the center value of the size interval. We use the notation \( N(V) \)

\[
N(V) = n(V) \Delta V
\]

[2]
to describe the number concentration of particles with fall speeds in the interval \( V \) to \( V + \Delta V \) and \( n(V) \) represents the number concentration of particles with fall velocities in the interval \( V \) to \( V + \Delta V \) per unit fall velocity interval. We use the notation \( \sigma_D(V) \) for the standard deviation of diameter for a given fall speed, and \( \sigma_I(D) \) for the standard deviation of fall speed for a given diameter.

Mixing ratios (g kg\(^{-1}\)) for rain (\( q_r \)), dry snow (\( q_s \)), and wet snow (\( q_{ws} \)) are also calculated as appropriate for the different PSD (Table 1) using

\[
q = 10^{-6} \frac{\rho}{\rho_a} \frac{\pi}{6} \sum_i N(D_i)D_i^3
\]

[3]

where \( \rho_a \) is the density of air at the observed temperature and pressure, and \( \rho \) is the particle density: \( 10^6 \) g m\(^{-3}\) for rain, \( 5 \times 10^4 \) g m\(^{-3}\) for aggregates of dry snow (Heymsfield et al. 2002), and using an estimate of \( 10^5 \) g m\(^{-3}\) for wet snow. Since the analysis for this study only considers particles with \( D > 1 \) mm, the \( q \) values discussed below will differ from those computed when \( D < 1 \) mm are included.
5. Particle size distribution characteristics

a. Rain and dry snow

The particle size distributions ($D > 1$ mm) shown in Fig. 7 correspond to a time period of rain at MKB (0300-0800 UTC on 17 December 2001) and dry snow at SPL (0000-1400 UTC on 27 February 2003), respectively. Similar to findings of previous studies (e.g. rain, Marshall and Palmer 1948; snow, Houze et al. 1979), these distributions are well approximated by an exponential size distribution. The consistency of the measurements in rain and dry snow with previous results yields confidence in application of the instrument and processing techniques to mixed precipitation.

b. Mixed precipitation

Size distributions computed for the rain and not-rain subsets of particles collected at 0°C are shown in Fig. 8a and b. The associated distributions for the ambiguous subset of particles are shown in Fig. 6a. The maximum size of not-rain particles was comparable to those observed in Barthazy’s (1998) analysis of in situ observations of stratiform precipitation from a mountain side in Switzerland. Particles with $D > 10$ mm are observed in the not-rain subset. Given their size and associated environmental temperature of 0°C, these large particles are likely partially melted snowflake aggregates (wet snow). The sample volume$^3$ is $\sim 39$ m$^3$ for an hour of not-rain data, which is equivalent to the sample volume of an aircraft 2DP probe along 52 km of flight track that is sampling particles at concentrations of 1 per liter (A. Rangno, personal communication 2004).

$^3$ The sample volume is computed assuming an average 2 m s$^{-1}$ fall speed and 180 mm $\times$ 30 mm instrument sampling area.
During the time period when the temperature decreases from 0.5°C to 0°C (Fig. 8c and d), large not-rain particles D > 10 mm are still present but at lower concentrations than at 0°C. The appearance of large raindrops with D > 6 mm (Fig. 8e) coincides with the disappearance of very large snowflake aggregates with D > 13 mm (Fig. 8f) within the mixed precipitation as temperature decreases from 1.5 to 0.5°C. The large raindrops with D > 6 mm are likely the result of the melting of the larger snowflake aggregates with minimal breakup.

6. Fall speed–size relations

The PARSIVEL measurements permit an examination of fall speed versus size relationships for the rain and not-rain subsets of particles. Figure 9 contrasts the fall speed \( V \) as a function of \( D \) for wet snow, dry snow, and rain. Following Kessler (1969), an air density correction is applied to adjust the data sets to conditions at 1000 hPa and 0°C in Figures 9-11. The SPL data (Fig. 9b) indicates the fall speed for dry snow is a monotonically increasing function of particle size. The relationship between fall speed and particle size in the SPL data is similar to that of Locatelli and Hobbs (1974) for unrimed dendrite aggregates \( (V(D) = 0.8D^{0.16}) \), at least up to particles of 5 mm diameter, the maximum observed particle size in their study. In contrast to dry snow and rain, the fall speeds for wet snow have larger standard deviations and are poorly correlated to particle size for \( D \geq 2.4 \) mm (Fig. 9a). The average fall speed of wet snow with D between 2.4 mm and 11 mm is 2 m s\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 9a). The standard deviations in fall velocity for a given \( D \) are also larger (mean \( \sigma_f(D)=0.8 \) m s\(^{-1}\), maximum \( \sigma_f(D)=1 \) m s\(^{-1}\)) than that for dry snow (mean \( \sigma_f(D)=0.4 \) m s\(^{-1}\), maximum \( \sigma_f(D)=0.6 \) m s\(^{-1}\)) or rain (mean
\( \sigma_V(D) = 0.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}, \) maximum \( \sigma_V(D) = 0.5 \text{ m s}^{-1} \). Mitra et al. (1990) found that the fall velocity of melting snowflake aggregates increased nonlinearly with increasing percentage mass melted and that this relation was nearly independent of the initial size of the snowflake. We hypothesize that variations in the percentage of mass melted among sampled particles of the same diameter could explain the large standard deviations in the measured wet snow fall speeds.

The fall speed-size data in Fig. 9 are presented in terms of average diameter as a function of fall speed by summing along velocity bins rather than diameter bins. Figure 10 shows the variability in average \( D \), where \( D > 1 \text{ mm} \), for a given fall speed interval for wet and dry snow and rain. For rain, \( \sigma_D = 0.09 \text{ mm} \) for \( V = 3.4 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) and \( \sigma_D = 0.17 \text{ mm} \) at \( V = 3.4 \text{ m s}^{-1} \). For wet snow, the average size of particles falling at \( V > 1 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) first decreases and then increases. The standard deviation of the diameter for a given fall speed, \( \sigma_D(V) \), are large: \( \sigma_D = 2.2 \text{ mm} \) at \( V = 1.9 \text{ m s}^{-1} \), \( \sigma_D = 2.4 \text{ mm} \) at \( V = 3.4 \text{ m s}^{-1} \). The \( D(V) \) relation for dry snow reveals a trend of increasing variability in \( D \) with increasing \( V \). For dry snow, \( \sigma_D = 0.99 \text{ mm} \) for \( V = 1.9 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) and \( \sigma_D = 2.1 \text{ mm} \) at \( V = 3.4 \text{ m s}^{-1} \). Zikmunda (1972) attributed scatter in fall velocity of dry snow aggregates to variations in aggregate shape. Figure 11 shows the observed \( n(V) \) for the wet snow, dry snow, and rain data sets. This figure illustrates the skewed distribution of fall speeds around the modal fall speed of each PSD, \( 1.9 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) for wet snow, \( 0.54 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) for dry snow, and \( 4.3 \text{ m s}^{-1} \) for rain.

Ralph et al. (1995) used the variance of Doppler velocity to distinguish between snow, the melting layer, and rain using vertically pointing 404 MHz profiler data. The current study provides in situ verification of this result and clarifies that at least part of
the variance difference is related to the differing variance of fall speeds between wet and dry snow.

Turbulence is often observed near the melting layer (Willis and Heymsfield 1989; Steiner et al. 2003). However, the influence of turbulence on our fall speed measurements at MKB is likely limited. The measurement height of the PARSIVEL is 1 m above the surface. Surface friction will act to dampen any pre-existing turbulence. The recorded horizontal wind speeds at 10 m AGL were low, averaging 0.5 m s$^{-1}$. Given the higher wind speeds observed at SPL, the influence of turbulent eddies on the size-fall speed relation is likely to be greater at SPL than MKB. In addition, one would expect turbulence to have a larger impact on the smaller, lighter particles, which is the opposite of what is observed.

7. Implications

a. Microphysical processes

Aggregation is an important component of the microphysical processes as temperatures increase toward 0°C. Bulk microphysics parameterizations, such as Lin et al. (1983), account for transformations among water substance categories, but do not usually account for processes like aggregation that transform the particle size distribution within a single water-substance category. The large standard deviation of wet snow fall speeds observed at MKB indicates that different particle sizes are not required for aggregation since wet snow particles of the same size can have different fall speeds. Hence, the collision efficiency for wet snow is likely larger than that of dry snow which has a smaller standard deviation of fall speeds and average fall speeds that monotonically
increase with particle size. In the MKB observations, aggregation yielded snow
distributions that contained very large snowflake aggregates \((D > 10 \text{ mm})\).

The weak correlation between wet snow particle size and fall speed is also of
potential importance to bulk microphysical parameterizations as it calls into question the
use of a monotonic fall speed relation for wet snow. An alternative method to
parameterize the size-fall speed relationship of wet snow particles with \(D > 2 \text{ mm}\) would
be to use a probability distribution of fall speeds. For conditions similar to those sampled,
a distribution with mean \(V(D)=2 \text{ m s}^{-1}\) and standard deviation of \(\sigma_V(D)=0.8 \text{ m s}^{-1}\) would
better approximate observed fall speeds than a monotonically increasing relationship. The
ensemble behavior of such particles could be modeled to refine the aggregation rates for
wet snow.

In the MKB data, the reduction in the number of the very large snowflake
aggregates as air temperatures increased coincided with the appearance of rain particles
larger than 6 mm diameter. These results suggest that the breakup of large snowflake
aggregates in the stratiform-like conditions sampled is minimal. Lack of evidence for the
breakup of snowflake aggregates is consistent with Ohtake’s (1969) study of the size
distributions of melted snow falling at mountainside stations in Japan and Alaska. In their
observations of very large snowflakes up to 5 cm in diameter in a winter storm off the
coast of Newfoundland, Canada, Lawson et al. (1998) also found evidence of rapid
aggregation near the 0°C region without appreciable particle breakup. Drummond et al.
(1996) estimated the occurrence of aggregation versus breakup within the melting layer
using vertically pointing 915 MHz radar reflectivity and Doppler vertical velocity
measurements above and below the melting layer. They found that aggregation was
dominant most of the time. Breakup was associated with higher reflectivities and heavier precipitation rates than those sampled in this study.

b. Hydrological modeling

Hydrological models categorize precipitation by surface air temperature. Traditionally, the temperature threshold between rain and snow is defined as 34-35°F, which is equivalent to 1.1-1.7°C (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1956). Precipitation falling through surface air temperatures higher than this threshold is categorized as rain, and precipitation falling at lower temperatures is categorized as snow. This categorization by temperature is consistent with data presented in Table 2, but a sharp transition from all rain to all snow oversimplifies the situation between 1.1-0°C, where rain and snow coexist. The MKB data indicate that a dramatic shift occurs in the relative proportions of rain and snow particles near 0.5°C. Snow dominates over rain in terms of number and volume fraction for temperatures 0-0.5°C. At temperatures higher than 0.5°C, raindrops become the dominant particle type. These results indicate the majority of large snow particles are fully melted by 0.5°C at MKB, although a small fraction of larger flakes persist to higher temperatures. Willis and Heymsfield (1989) found large aggregates at air temperatures of 5°C.

The coexisting rain rates associated with snow at and near 0°C represent potential refinements to parameterizations within hydrological models for mountain flood forecasting applications. The light rainfall (Table 2) that coexists with snow at 0°C and between 0.5°C and 0°C would be difficult to observe with conventional tipping bucket rain gauges. Over several hours, the accumulation of the light rainfall, even the
underestimated rain rates excluding D < 1 mm in Table 2, may potentially be significant to hydrological forecasting. Flooding in the mountains of the western U.S. is sometimes associated with rain falling on snow (Marks et al. 1998; Taylor and Hatton 1999).

8. Conclusions

The PARSIVEL disdrometer (Löffler-Mang and Joss 2000) can simultaneously measure particle fall speed and particle size up to 24.5 mm diameter. In combination with empirical relations for fall speed, the PARSIVEL data can be subdivided into rain, not-rain, and ambiguous classes and the characteristics of each subset analyzed separately (Section 4).

On 18-19 December 2001, a mixed precipitation event occurred with a combination of rain and wet snow as the temperature at McKenzie Bridge, OR dropped from 1.5°C to 0°C over 4.3 hours and then remained at 0°C for 7.2 hours (Fig. 2c). The stratiform nature of the precipitation at McKenzie Bridge is evident from the layered structure of the radar data (Fig. 4). We contrast these data with those obtained during a 5-hour rain event at McKenzie Bridge when the average air temperature was 3.6°C and a 14-hour dry snow event at Storm Peak, Colorado when the temperatures were between -5 and -10°C (Fig. 2). Particle classification using size and fall speed (Fig. 5) applied to surface in situ measurements obtained at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon and at Storm Peak, Colorado illustrates some key differences in joint fall speed-size characteristics within rain, dry snow, and wet snow.

Within mixed precipitation, the bimodal distribution of the joint fallspeed-size characteristics at air temperatures of 0.5°C to 0°C (Fig. 3b) suggests that once melting has progressed sufficiently, wet snow particles transition quickly to rain and do not linger
in a state whose characteristics are intermediate between those of wet snow and rain. The disappearance of very large snowflake aggregates (D > 13 mm) as air temperatures increase above 0.5°C coincides with the appearance of large raindrops with D > 6 mm (Fig. 8), suggesting that breakup of large aggregates is minimal in the conditions sampled. For particles with D > 2.4 mm, the average fall speed has a much weaker correlation with size in comparison to dry snow or rain (Fig. 9). The standard deviation of fall speed for wet snow is between 120-230% of the standard deviation for similar-sized particles of dry snow. The fall velocity of wet snow particles with D ≥ 2.4 mm obtained at 0°C is 2 m s⁻¹ ± 0.8 m s⁻¹. The large standard deviation is likely related to the coexistence of particles of similar physical size with different degrees of melting. Given the large standard deviation of fall speeds, different particle sizes are not required for aggregation and aggregation rates are likely higher for wet snow as compared to dry snow.

As expected, raindrops constituted a small fraction of the larger precipitation particles at 0°C. For particle sizes between 1 – 10 mm diameter within mixed precipitation, rain constituted 1% of the particles by volume within the isothermal layer at 0°C, and 4% of the particles by volume for the region just below the isothermal layer where air temperatures rise from 0°C to 0.5°C (Table 2). The associated light rainfall coexisting with snow is not currently included in most hydrological models. Persistent light rainfall for several hours could potentially yield accumulations relevant to hydrological forecasting. Near 0.5°C, the relative proportions of rain versus snow particles shift dramatically and raindrops become dominant. The majority of snow particles are fully melted by the time they descend to air temperatures of 0.5°C. These
observational results differ slightly from the temperature threshold of 1.1-1.7°C differentiating rain and snow commonly used in hydrological models (U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 1956).

Future measurements are needed to extend the in situ data set, particularly for large particles within mixed precipitation and under a wider range of conditions. Collocated radar and passive microwave sensors would provide context for the in situ measurements and help define the natural variability of these remote-sensing measurements in relation to the variability of the particle size distributions. The winter precipitation climatology and mountain topography of Oregon and Washington are well suited to observing the melting layer with surface-based instruments.
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Appendix A: Raw counts by fall speed and D

Table A1. Quality controlled raw particle counts (# m$^{-3}$) shown in Fig. 9 by size class for not-rain particles from MKB 0°C and SPL data sets and for rain particles from MKB rain data set. Size classes 1 and 2 are outside instrument range and not used. Particles in size classes smaller than 1 mm are removed in the quality control (Sec. 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>Mean Size (mm)</th>
<th>Width (mm)</th>
<th>MKB 0°C</th>
<th>SPL</th>
<th>MKB Rain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.312</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.437</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.812</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.937</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.062</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9351</td>
<td>5536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.187</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>6336</td>
<td>3411</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.375</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>589</td>
<td>8949</td>
<td>3332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.625</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>623</td>
<td>6722</td>
<td>1269</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.875</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>420</td>
<td>5667</td>
<td>553</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.125</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>4760</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.375</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>510</td>
<td>3814</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.750</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>630</td>
<td>4588</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>3.250</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>421</td>
<td>2097</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.750</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>285</td>
<td>1130</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.250</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>198</td>
<td>680</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.750</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>357</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>6.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>8.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>9.500</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>11.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>13.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>17.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>19.000</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>21.500</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>24.000</td>
<td>3.000</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table A2. Quality controlled raw particle counts (# m\(^{-3}\)) by velocity class shown in Fig. 10 for not-rain particles from MKB 0°C and SPL data sets and for rain particles from the MKB rain data set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>i</th>
<th>Mean Velocity (m s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Width (m s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>MKB 0°C</th>
<th>SPL</th>
<th>MKB Rain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>473</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1473</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3118</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4798</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>6299</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>7227</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>6718</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>5532</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>538</td>
<td>7987</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.30</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>748</td>
<td>4905</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.50</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>2899</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.70</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1207</td>
<td>1674</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1279</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2205</td>
<td>659</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1537</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>693</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>3.40</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>241</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>462</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.20</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>6.00</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>753</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>7.60</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>8.80</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>10.40</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>12.00</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>13.60</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>15.20</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>17.60</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>20.80</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 1. Environmental conditions and PSD statistics for each of the five particle distribution samples shown in Figs. 7 and 8. Note that mixing ratio ($q$) values are computed for the subset of particles with $D > 1$ mm. $q$ is underestimated compared to estimates that include smaller particle sizes. MKBA, MKBB, and MKBC refer to time periods and air temperature ranges defined in Fig. 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MKB rain</th>
<th>SPL snow</th>
<th>MKB A</th>
<th>MKB B</th>
<th>MKB C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pressure (hPa)</td>
<td>952</td>
<td>676</td>
<td>957</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Temperature °C</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>-8</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minutes with precipitation</td>
<td>289</td>
<td>637</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raw particles after QC</td>
<td>14503</td>
<td>54870</td>
<td>12095</td>
<td>5628</td>
<td>11575</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># rain</td>
<td>14457</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11545</td>
<td>2282</td>
<td>1373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># not rain</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>54870</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>1773</td>
<td>4782</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td># ambiguous</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>1573</td>
<td>5420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_r$ (g kg$^{-1}$) for $D &gt; 1$ mm</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_s$ (g kg$^{-1}$) for $D &gt; 1$ mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$q_{ss}$ (g kg$^{-1}$) for $D &gt; 1$ mm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Relative proportions of rain subset of particles during mixed precipitation at McKenzie Bridge, OR. Note that statistics are calculated for subset of particles with $1 \, \text{mm} \leq D \leq 10 \, \text{mm}$.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Air Temperature</th>
<th>% rain particles of total conc (# m$^{-3}$)</th>
<th>% rain volume of total vol.</th>
<th>Average rain rate (mm hr$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Rain $D_{\text{max}}$ (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0°C</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5°C-0°C</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5°C-0.5°C</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure captions

**Figure 1.** Accumulated joint size and fall speed distributions of observed particles after quality control is applied (Sec. 2). (a) Rain-only event at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon between 0300-0800 UTC 17 December 2001, and (b) dry snow event at Storm Peak, Colorado from 0000-1400 UTC 27 February 2003. Empirical fall speed relations from Locatelli and Hobbs (1974) are indicated by red lines (see text). r=rain, g=graupel, and d=dendrite.

**Figure 2.** Time series of the number of particles measured in 10-min intervals by a PARSIVEL disdrometer (solid line) and 10-min average air temperature (dotted line). (a) Rain-only event at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon from 0000 UTC to 1400 UTC 17 December 2001. (b) Dry snow event at Storm Peak, Colorado from 0000 UTC to 1400 UTC 27 February 2003. (c) Mixed precipitation event at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon from 1400 UTC 18 December 2001 to 1100 UTC 19 December 2001. During the mixed precipitation event, the time periods A, B, and C correspond to precipitation samples when air temperature decreased from 1.5 to 0.5°C, from 0.5 to 0°C, and held steady at 0°C. In (c), dashed line shows 0°C temperature.

**Figure 3.** As in Fig. 1 except for a mixed precipitation event at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon. (a) 2030 - 2345 UTC 18 December 2001, (b) 2346 UTC 18 December - 0049 19 December 2001, and (c) 0050 UTC - 0600 UTC 19 December 2001. Times represented in panels (a) - (c) correspond to intervals A, B, C defined by temperature in Fig. 2.
Figure 4. (a) Time-height plot of 8-sec average radar reflectivity measured by the NOAA ETL S-band profiler in 300 ns (low sensitivity) mode at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon from 1800 UTC 18 December 2001 to 0600 UTC 19 December 2001. The time periods A, B, and C are as shown in Fig. 2. The intensity of the radar bright band varies during this example. Higher reflectivity portions of the bright band have Z > 35 dBZ. Horizontal bands of blue and green correspond to increasing minimum detectable reflectivity with increasing height. The signal was attenuated by snow accumulation on the radar antenna during portions of the time period shown. (b) Time-height plot of hourly NOAA ETL wind profiler data from 14 UTC 18 December 2001 to 1000 UTC 19 December 2001. Wind barbs point into the wind. Wind barb scale: flag = 50 m s$^{-1}$, full barb = 10 m s$^{-1}$, half barb = 5 m s$^{-1}$.

Figure 5. (a) Mapping of rain (green), not-rain (yellow), and ambiguous (red) classifications on PARSIVEL joint size and fall speed matrix. Application of masks to data in Fig. 3b. (b) Application of rain classification mask yielding rain subset of particles. (c) Application of not-rain classification mask. (d) Application of ambiguous classification mask.

Figure 6. Time-accumulated particle size distributions for ambiguous subset of classified matrices. (a) McKenzie Bridge, Oregon 0050 UTC – 0600 UTC 19 December 2001, (b) McKenzie Bridge, Oregon 2346 UTC 18 December - 0049 UTC 19 December 2001, (c) McKenzie Bridge, Oregon 2030 UTC – 2345 UTC 18 December 2001, (d) McKenzie

**Figure 7.** Accumulated particle size distributions of (a) rain observed at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon 0300-0800 UTC 17 December 2001, and (b) dry snow observed at Storm Peak, Colorado from 0000-1400 UTC 27 February 2003. Note that x-axis scale differs between plots.

**Figure 8.** Time-accumulated particle size distributions for rain and not-rain subsets of classified PARSIVEL matrices from McKenzie Bridge, Oregon. (a) and (b) From 0050 UTC - 0600 UTC 19 December 2001, (c) and (d) from 2346 UTC 18 December - 0049 UTC 19 December 2001, (e) and (f) from 2030 UTC - 2345 UTC 18 December 2001. Note that x-axis scale differs between left and right columns.

**Figure 9.** Mean and standard deviation of particle fall speed as a function of particle size $D$ for (a) wet snow at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon 0050 UTC - 0600 UTC 19 December 2001 while air temperatures held steady at 0°C. (b) For dry snow observed at Storm Peak, Colorado from 0000-1400 UTC 27 February 2003 while air temperatures dropped from -5 to -10°C. (c) For rain observed at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon 0300-0800 UTC 17 December 2001 when temperatures varied between 2.5 and 5.5°C. Plot shows only data bins for raw particle counts $\geq 20$ (Table A1). Y-axis is at same relative scale (3.5 m s$^{-1}$) for all three plots.
Figure 10. Data sets as in Fig. 9. Mean and standard deviation of particle size (for subset of particles with $D > 1$ mm) as a function of fall speed. Plot shows only data bins for raw particle counts $\geq 20$ (Table A2).

Figure 11. Accumulated fall velocity distributions, $n(V)$, for (a) wet snow (not-rain) subset of classified PARSIVEL matrix observed at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon from 0050-0600 UTC 19 December 2001 at 0°C, (b) dry snow observed at Storm Peak, Colorado from 0000-1400 27 February 2003, and (c) rain observed at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon from 0300-0800 UTC 17 December 2001. Note that x-axis scale differs among plots but the dynamic range is 6 m s$^{-1}$ for all three plots.
Figure 1. Accumulated joint size and fall speed distributions of observed particles after quality control is applied (Sec. 2). (a) Rain-only event at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon between 0300-0800 UTC 17 December 2001, and (b) dry snow event at Storm Peak, Colorado from 0000-1400 UTC 27 February 2003. Empirical fall speed relations from Locatelli and Hobbs (1974) are indicated by red lines (see text). r=rain, g=graupel, and d=dendrite.
Figure 2. Time series of the number of particles measured in 10-min intervals by a PARSIVEL disdrometer (solid line) and 10-min average air temperature (dotted line). (a) Rain-only event at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon from 0000 UTC to 1400 UTC 17 December 2001. (b) Dry snow event at Storm Peak, Colorado from 0000 UTC to 1400 UTC 27 February 2003. (c) Mixed precipitation event at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon from 1400 UTC 18 December 2001 to 1100 UTC 19 December 2001. During the mixed precipitation event, the time periods A, B, and C correspond to precipitation samples when air temperature decreased from 1.5 to 0.5°C, from 0.5 to 0°C, and held steady at 0°C. In (c), dashed line shows 0°C temperature.
Figure 3. As in Fig. 1 except for a mixed precipitation event at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon. (a) 2030 - 2345 UTC 18 December 2001, (b) 2346 UTC 18 December - 0049 19 December 2001, and (c) 0050 UTC - 0600 UTC 19 December 2001. Times represented in panels (a) - (c) correspond to intervals A, B, C defined by temperature in Fig. 2.
Figure 4. (a) Time-height plot of 8-sec average radar reflectivity measured by the NOAA ETL S-band profiler in 300 ns (low sensitivity) mode at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon from 1800 UTC 18 December 2001 to 0600 UTC 19 December 2001. The time periods A, B, and C are as shown in Fig. 2. The intensity of the radar bright band varies during this example. Higher reflectivity portions of the bright band have $Z > 35$ dBZ. Horizontal bands of blue and green correspond to increasing minimum detectable reflectivity with increasing height. The signal was attenuated by snow accumulation on the radar antenna during portions of the time period shown. (b) Time-height plot of hourly NOAA ETL wind profiler data from 14 UTC 18 December 2001 to 1000 UTC 19 December 2001. Wind barbs point into the wind. Wind barb scale: flag $= 50$ m s$^{-1}$, full barb $= 10$ m s$^{-1}$, half barb $= 5$ m s$^{-1}$.
Figure 5. (a) Mapping of rain (green), not-rain (yellow), and ambiguous (red) classifications on PARSIVEL joint size and fall speed matrix. Application of masks to data in Fig. 3b. (b) Application of rain classification mask yielding rain subset of particles. (c) Application of not-rain classification mask. (d) Application of ambiguous classification mask.
Figure 7. Accumulated particle size distributions of (a) rain observed at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon 0300-0800 UTC 17 December 2001, and (b) dry snow observed at Storm Peak, Colorado from 0000-1400 UTC 27 February 2003. Note that x-axis scale differs between plots.
Figure 8. Time-accumulated particle size distributions for rain and not-rain subsets of classified PARSIVEL matrices from McKenzie Bridge, Oregon. (a) and (b) from 0050 UTC - 0600 UTC 19 December 2001, (c) and (d) from 2346 UTC 18 December - 0049 19 December 2001, (e) and (f) from 2030 UTC - 2345 UTC 18 December 2001. Note that x-axis scale differs between left and right columns.
Figure 9. Mean and standard deviation of particle fall speed as a function of particle size $D$ for (a) wet snow at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon 0050 UTC - 0600 UTC 19 December 2001 while air temperatures held steady at 0°C. (b) For dry snow observed at Storm Peak, Colorado from 0000-1400 UTC 27 February 2003 while air temperatures dropped from -5 to -10°C. (c) For rain observed at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon 0300-0800 UTC 17 December 2001 when temperatures varied between 2.5 and 5.5°C. Plot shows only data bins for raw particle counts ≥ 20 (Table A1). Y-axis is at same relative scale (3.5 m s$^{-1}$) for all three plots.
Figure 10. Data sets as in Fig. 9. Mean and standard deviation of particle size (for subset of particles with $D > 1$ mm) as a function of fall speed. Plot shows only data bins for raw particle counts $\geq 20$ (Table A2).
Figure 11. Accumulated fall velocity distributions, \( n(V) \), for (a) wet snow (not-rain) subset of classified PARSIVEL matrix observed at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon from 0050-0600 UTC 19 December 2001 at 0°C, (b) dry snow observed at Storm Peak, Colorado from 0000-1400 27 February 2003, (c) rain observed at McKenzie Bridge, Oregon from 0300-0800 UTC 17 December 2001. Note that x-axis scale differs among plots but the dynamic range is 6 m s\(^{-1}\) for all 3 plots.